# **Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program**

July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017

# Technical Reference Manual (TRM) PY 2016

**Measure Savings Calculations** 



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# **Table of Contents**

l.	In	trodu	ction	5
2.	Fo	rmat	Description	5
3.	Co	ommo	on Tables	e
1.	Co	mme	rcial Measures	7
	4.1	Арр	oliances	7
	4.	1.1	Refrigerator	7
	4.2	Bui	lding Envelope	12
	4.	2.1	Cool Roof	12
	4.	2.2	Window Film	14
	4.3	Cor	nmercial Kitchen	16
	4.	3.1	Combination Ovens	16
	4.	3.2	Convection Ovens	20
	4.	3.3	Demand Controlled Ventilation	24
	4.	3.4	Electric Griddle	27
	4.	3.5	Electric Steam Cooker	32
	4.	3.6	Fryer	35
	4.	3.7	Hot Food Holding Cabinet	40
	4.	3.8	Ice Machine	44
	4.	3.9	Low Flow Spray Nozzles for Food Service (Retrofit)	48
	4.	3.10	Solid Door Refrigerators & Freezers	51
	4.4	Ene	rgy Study Grant	54
	4.	4.1	Design Assistance	54
	4.	4.2	Energy Study	56
	4.5	HV	AC - Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning Measures	59
	4.	5.1	Chillers	59
	4.	5.2	Conventional Air Conditioners and Condensing Units—Packaged/Split	64
	4.	5.3	VFD on Chilled Water/Condenser Water Pump; AHU	67
	4.	5.4	Variable Refrigerant Flow (VRF) Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps: Packaged/Split	70
	4.	5.5	Hotel Room Energy Management System (EMS) Controls	74



# Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

4.6	Ligh	ting Measures	76
4.6	5.1	Compact Fluorescent Lighting (CFL)	76
4.6	5.2	Fluorescent Delamping	80
4.6	5.3	Linear Fluorescent Lamps	84
4.6	5.4	Linear LED Lamps	87
4.6	5.5	Non-Linear LED Lamps	89
4.6	6.6	LED Corn Cob	94
4.6	5.7	LED Exit Signs	98
4.6	8.8	LED Recessed Can	100
4.6	5.9	LED Refrigerated Case Lighting	103
4.6	5.10	LED Street and Exterior Lighting	105
4.6	5.11	LED Troffer	109
4.6	5.12	LED U-bend	112
4.6	5.13	Controls: Occupancy Sensor	115
4.6	5.14	Controls: Stairwell Bi-Level Dimming Lights	117
4.7	Plu	g/Process Load Measures	120
4.7	'.1	Anti-Sweat Heater Controls	120
4.7	'.2	Transformer	123
4.7	'.3	Vending Miser	127
4.7	'.4	Water Cooler Timer (H₂Off)	129
4.8	Pun	nps and Motors	133
4.8	3.1	Booster Pumps	133
4.8	3.2	Electronically Commutated Motors (ECM): No Anti-Sweat Heater control	136
4.8	3.3	Pool Pump VFD	138
4.9	Sub	metering	141
4.9	.1	Condominium Submetering	141
4.9	.2	Small Business Submetering Pilot	146
4.10	٧	Vater Heating	151
4.1	0.1	Heat Pump Water Heater	151
4.1	0.2	Solar Water Heater	153



# Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

	4.11	Other Commercial Measures	156
	4.11	.1 Re-Commission / Retro-Commission	156
5	Resi	dential Measures	159
	5.1	Appliances	159
	5.1.1	Clothes Washer	159
	5.1.2	2 Clothes Dryer	163
	5.1.3	Refrigerator	165
	5.2	Electronics	170
	5.2.1	L Televisions	170
	5.2.2	2 Soundbars	173
	5.3	HVAC	175
	5.3.1	L Window AC & VRF AC	175
	5.3.2	2 Central AC Retrofit	178
	5.3.3	3 Central AC Tune Up	181
	5.3.4	1 Ceiling Fans	184
	5.3.5	Solar Attic Fan	187
	5.3.6	6 Whole House Fan	189
	5.4	Lighting	191
	5.4.1	Residential Compact Fluorescent Lamp	191
	5.4.2	2 Residential LED	195
	5.5	Plug/Process Load	199
	5.5.1	L Advanced Power Strips	199
	5.6	Pumps & Motor	202
	5.6.1	L Pool Pump VFD	202
	5.7	Water Heating	206
	5.7.1	L Heat Pump Water Heaters	206
	5.7.2	2 Solar Water Heater	210
	5.7.3	Solar Water Heating Tune-up	214
	5.8	Other Residential Measures	
	5.8.1	Multifamily Direct-Install Kits	216



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

5.8.2	Peer Group Comparis	on	. 2	2	2
J.O.Z	reel Gloup Collipails	VII	. 4	_	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 1. Introduction

The Hawaii Energy Technical Reference Manual (TRM) provides methodologies and deemed values for estimating demand and energy savings from residential and non-residential efficiency measures approved in the Hawaii Energy program plan. Previous versions of the TRM can be found at <a href="https://hawaiienergy.com/about/information-reports">https://hawaiienergy.com/about/information-reports</a>

### 2. Format Description

Eligible measures are grouped in general categories of similar technologies. The appropriate calculation approaches, input variables and assumptions, and outputs are consistently presented for each general measure category as follows:

**Hawaii Energy Nomenclature**: Approved program measures are assigned a specific naming convention that follows equipment group, equipment type, equipment subtype, and equipment size.

Unit of Measure: the fundamental unit by which demand and energy savings are defined.

**Baseline equipment**: a description of the assumed, less efficient or standard option that could be or would have been installed.

**Efficient equipment**: a description of the higher-efficiency option that is supported by the HE program.

**Program criteria**: a description of performance specifications, installation and/or operating conditions required for that equipment to be eligible in the HE program.

**Savings algorithms**: Commonly accepted mathematical formulas for estimating demand and energy savings expected from eligible efficiency measure operation at the customer site. First year and/or annual savings are indicated unless otherwise specified.

**Definitions and assumptions**: descriptions and assumed values for variables and parameters used in energy savings algorithms. These model characteristics of the equipment and/or the customer site where the equipment is installed and operating. Measure life, the expected duration of measure operation with full realization of estimated demand and energy savings, is also defined here.

**Demand and Energy Savings Estimates (per unit)**: Approved and/or calculated unit savings values for the range of assumed equipment and/or site characteristics considered eligible for the Hawaii Energy program.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# 3. Common Tables

Table 3.1 Lighting Operating Hours and Peak Load Coincidence by Building Type

<b>Building Type</b>	LFL	CFL	LED	LFL/CFL/LED
	EFLH	EFLH	EFLH	CF
Misc. Commercial	3655	3386	4290	0.3
Cold Storage	3420	2760	4325	0.5
Education	2298	2340	4160	0.2
Grocery	4910	3890	2653	0.85
Health	4710	3885	5824	0.65
Hotel/Motel	1950	1670	6474	0.6
Industrial	3220	2580	4941	0.5
Office	2615	2990	2808	0.5
Restaurant	4830	4830	5278	0.75
Retail	3825	4180	4210	0.6
Warehouse	4770	4730	4160	0.45

Source: Hours from Itron, Inc., KEMA, JJ Hirsh. DEER Database: 2011 Update Documentation Appendices. November 8, 2011.

<a href="http://www.deeresources.com/files/DEER2011/download/2011">http://www.deeresources.com/files/DEER2011/download/2011</a> DEER Documentation Appendices.pdf. LFL=linear fluorescent.

LFL/CFL/LED CF and LED EFLH from LED Tables reported in PY15 TRM, p.92. Original CFs applied to linear fluorescent lamps not found at the cited link.

Table 3.2: Cooling Load Interactive Factors by Building Type

Building Type	IF_e	IF_d
Misc. Commercial	1.056	1.075
Cold Storage	1.423	1.220
Education	1.061	1.039
Grocery	1.043	1.114
Health	1.122	1.233
Hotel/Motel	1.115	1.236
Industrial	1.043	1.074
Office	1.068	1.102
Restaurant	1.051	1.073
Retail	1.054	1.085
Warehouse	1.019	1.053

Source: Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY 2015, July 1 2015-June 30, 2016. Measure Savings Calculations, p.7



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4. Commercial Measures

#### 4.1 Appliances

#### 4.1.1 Refrigerator

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

Equipment Group Appliances
Equipment Type Refrigerator
Equipment Subtype Trade-up
Equipment Size None

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

**Draft Date** February 24, 2010

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** October 5, 2011

#### Unit of Measure:

One refrigerator

#### Baseline equipment:

Baseline energy usage based on 2009 Energy Star Information for the appliances are as follows:

#### Table 4.1.1.a

	Demand Baseline (kW)	Energy Baseline (kWh)	Notes
Non ES Qualifying Refrigerator		540	19.0-21.4 Top Freezer

#### Efficient equipment:

The high efficiency case Energy Star energy usage based on 2009 Energy Star Calculator Information and DOE Refrigerator Market Profile for the appliances is as follows:

*Table 4.1.1.b* 

	Demand Baseline (kW)	Energy Baseline (kWh)	Notes
ES Qualifying Refrigerator		435	19.0-21.4 Top Freezer

#### Program criteria:

Appliance must comply with Energy Star. Energy Star refrigerators utilize improvements in insulation and compressors.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### **ALGORITHMS**

$$\Delta E_{replace} = E_{base} - E_{he}$$

$$\Delta E_{replace \& turn in} = (E_{base} - E_{he}) + 717$$

$$\Delta P_{replace} = \frac{E_{base} - E_{he}}{HRS}$$

$$\Delta P_{replace \& turn in} = \frac{(E_{base} - E_{he}) + 717}{HRS}$$

#### Table 4.1.1.c

<b>DEFINITIONS</b> 8	DEFINITIONS & ASSUMPTIONS						
Ebase	Energy usage of the baseline equipment	540	kWh				
Ehe	Energy usage of the higher efficiency equipment	435	kWh				
$\Delta E$	Energy reduction	Calculated	kWh				
$\Delta P$	Power demand reduction	Calculated	kW				
CF	Coincidence factor, percent of time equipment load corresponds with utility peak load	100	%				
PF	Persistence factor, % of measures installed and operating	100	%				
HRS	Equivalent full load hours, or hours of lighting for business operation	8760	hrs				
Measure Life	Expected duration of energy savings	14	yrs				

#### **SAVINGS**

Table 4.1.1.d

145/6 1.1.1.4		
Opportunity	Energy Usage	Reference
New Non-ENERGY STAR	540	Table 4.1.1.f
New ENERGY STAR Refrigerator	435	Table 4.1.1.f
	105kWh/Year	Table 4.1.1.e
#1 - Purchase of ENERGY STAR Refrigerator	105	Table 4.1.1.e
#2 - Removal of Old Unit from Service (off the grid)	+717	Table 4.1.1.e
#1 + #2 = Purchase ES and Recycle old unit	822kWh/Year	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

	Energy Usage	Ratio Co	ntribution	
Post-1993 Refrigerator	640	55%	354.54	Table 4.1.1.g
ŭ				
Pre-1993 Refrigerator	1,131	45% <u> </u>	504.46	Table 4.1.1.g
			859kWh,	/Year

Table 4.1.1.e

Energy Savings Opportunities for Program Sponsors							
		Annual	Savings				
Opportunity	Per	Unit	Aggregate U	.S. Potential			
	kWh	\$	MWh	\$ million			
<ol> <li>Increase the number of buyers that purchase ENERGY STAR qualified refrigerators.</li> </ol>							
<ul> <li>9.3 million units were sold in 2008.</li> <li>70 percent were not ENERGY STAR.</li> <li>6.5 million potential units per year could be upgraded.</li> </ul>	105	11.64	675,928	75			
Decrease the number of units kept on the grid when new units are purchased.							
<ul> <li>8.7 million primary units were replaced in 2008.</li> <li>44 percent remained in use, whether they were converted to second units, sold, or given away.</li> <li>3.8 million units are candidates for retirement every year.</li> </ul>	717	79.53	2,746,062	305			
3. Decrease the number of second units.  • 26 percent of households had a second refrigerator in 2008.  • 29.6 million units are candidates for retirement.	859	95.28	25,442,156	2,822			
4. Replace pre-1993 units with new ENERGY STAR qualified models.  • 19 percent of all units in use in 2008 were manufactured before 1993.  • 27.3 million total potential units are candidates for targeted replacement.	730	81	19,946,440	2,212			

Sources: See endnote 10.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.1.1.f

# Energy and Cost Comparison for Upgrading to ENERGY STAR

Purchase Decision	New Non-ENERGY STAR Qualified Refrigerator	New ENERGY STAR Qualified Refrigerator
A	540 kWh	435 kWh
Annual Consumption	\$60	\$48
A   C	-	105 kWh
Annual Savings	-	\$12
Average Lifetime	12 years	12 years
1.7.1.	-	1,260 kWh
Lifetime Savings	-	\$140
Price Premium	-	\$30 - \$100
Simple Payback Period	-	3-9 years

Note: Calculations based on shipment-weighted average annual energy consumption of 2008 models. An ENERGY STAR qualified model uses 20 percent less energy than a new non-qualified refrigerator of the same size and configuration.

Source: See endnote 10.

Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.1.1.g

# Energy and Cost Comparison for Removing a Second Refrigerator from the Grid

	Post-1993 Unit		Pre-1993 Unit		
Fate of Unit	Remains on the Grid	Removed from the Grid	Remains on the Grid	Removed from the Grid	
Annual Consumption	640 kWh	_	1,131 kWh	-	
Annual Consumption	\$71	-	\$125	-	
Appual Cavings	-	640 kWh	-	1,131 kWh	
Annual Savings	-	\$71	-	\$125	
Average Lifetime*	6	-	6	-	
Lifetines Continues	-	3,840 kWh	-	6,788 kWh	
Lifetime Savings*	-	\$426	-	\$753	
Removal Cost	-	\$50 - \$100	-	\$50 - \$100	
Simple Payback Period	_	1-2 years	_	<1 year	

<sup>\*</sup>Assumes unit has six years of functionality remaining.

Sources: See endnote 10.

Table 4.1.1.h

	Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh)
ES Refrigerator	0.012	105
ES Refrigerator with Turn-In	0.176	822



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.2 Building Envelope

#### 4.2.1 Cool Roof

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Building Envelope

Equipment Type Cool Roof
Equipment Subtype None
Equipment Size None

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date Revision Date

**Review Date** October 5, 2011

#### **Referenced Documents:**

- Evergreen TRM Review 2/23/12
- (1) Maximum value to meet Cool Roof standards under California's Title 24
- (2) Itron. 2004-2005 Database for Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) Update Study. December 2005
- (3) 2008 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2008.2.05,
   "Effective/Remaining Useful Life Values", California Public Utilities Commission, December 16,
   2008
- (4) 2005 Database for Energy-Efficiency Resources (DEER), Version 2005.2.01, "Technology and Measure Cost Data", California Public Utilities Commission, October 26, 2005
- (5) Coincidence factor supplied by Duke Energy for the commercial HVAC end-use. Pending verification based on information from the utilities.

#### Description

This section covers installation of "cool roof" roofing materials in commercial buildings with mechanical cooling. The cool roof is assumed to have a solar absorptance of 0.3(1) compared to a standard roof with solar absorptance of 0.8(2). Energy and demand saving are realized through reductions in the building cooling loads. The approach utilizes DOE-2.2 simulations on a series of commercial prototypical building models. Energy and demand impacts are normalized per thousand square feet of roof space.

#### **Definition of Efficient Equipment**

The efficient condition is a roof with a solar absorptance of 0.30.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### **Definition of Baseline Equipment**

The baseline condition is a roof with a solar absorptance of 0.80.

#### **Deemed Lifetime of Efficient Equipment**

The expected lifetime of the measure is 15 years.

#### **Deemed Measure Cost**

The full installed cost for retrofit applications is \$8,454.67 per one thousand square feet (4).

#### **Deemed O&M Cost Adjustments**

There are no expected O&M cost adjustments for this measure.

#### **Coincidence Factor**

The coincidence factor (CF) is 0.50.

#### **Energy Savings**

 $\Delta kWh = SF / 1000 * \Delta kWhkSF$ 

 $\Delta kWh = 0.25 kWh / square feet$ 

#### **Demand Savings**

 $\Delta kW = \Delta kW \times CF$ 

Demand Savings per square feet

 $\Delta$ kW = 0.0001/SF \* 0.50

 $\Delta kW = 0.00005 \, kW / square feet$ 

#### **Baseline Adjustment**

There are no expected future code changes to affect this measure.

#### **Deemed O&M Cost Adjustment Calculation**

There are no expected O&M costs or savings associated with this measure.

Unit energy, demand, and gas savings data is based on a series of prototypical small commercial building simulation runs.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.2.2 Window Film

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group Equipment Type**Building Envelope
Window Film

**Equipment Subtype** New | Replacement

**Equipment Size** None

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** March 4, 2016

Revision Date Review Date

#### **Referenced Documents:**

Basis for a Prescriptive Window Film Rebate Program (Attachment G) prepared for HECO (XENERGY Inc.) November 5, 1999

#### **TRM Review Actions:**

• 10/5/11 – Currently Under Review.

#### **Major Changes:**

- Rebate increased from \$0.35 to \$1.00 per square foot
- Changed from 0.4 shading coefficient (SC) to 0.5 SC
- 3/4/2016 added section for baseline efficiency description

#### **Description:**

- Warranty Film must have a minimum five-year manufacturer's warranty and one-year installer's warranty
- Conditioned Space Rebates shall be paid on actual square footage of glass in a conditioned space
- Eligible Types Windows may be clear or factory tinted, single or double pane, but must not have reflected glass. All orientations are eligible.
- Unshaded Windows significantly shaded by buildings, trees or awnings are not eligible for rebates.
- Replacement Film Replacement of deteriorated window film is eligible for 50% of the rebate if the customer did not receive a rebate for the existing film.

#### **Equipment Qualifications:**

• Shading Coefficient < 0.5



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

- Solar Heat Gain Coefficient (SHGC) < 0.435
- SC = 0.87\*SHGC
- Replacement of deteriorated window film is eligible for 50% of the incentive if the customer did not receive an incentive from the existing window film. The incentive will be rounded up.

#### **Baseline Efficiency:**

The baseline efficiency for this measure is no window tinting.

#### **Energy and Demand Savings:**

Table 4.2.2.a

Savings	Hotel	Office	Other	Average
Energy Savings (kWh/ft2)	5.6	4.5	4.5	4.9
Demand Savings (kW/ft2)	0.0014	0.0008	0.0016	0.0013

#### **Persistence Factor**

1.0

#### **Coincidence Factor**

1.0

#### Lifetime

10 years (DEER)



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.3 Commercial Kitchen

#### 4.3.1 Combination Ovens

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Commercial Kitchen **Equipment Type** Combination Oven

**Equipment Subtype** None

**Equipment Size** <15 Pans | 15 – 18 Pans | >28 Pans

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date Revision Date Review Date

#### **Referenced Documents:**

- U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Star website: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?fuseaction=find\_a\_product.showProductGroup&pgw\_code=COO
- Energy Star Commercial Kitchen Equipment Savings Calculator
- PG&E Work Paper PGEFST105 (Revision 3) June 8, 2012
- Arkansas TRM Version 2.0 Volume 2
- KEMA report titled "Business Programs: Deemed Savings Parameter Development", November 2009 -Coincidence factor for food service building type listed as 0.84

#### **TRM Review Actions:**

Currently Under Review.

#### **Measure Description:**

Commercial combination ovens offer the ability to steam food in the oven cavity. These oven are capable of steaming, proofing and reheating various food products in addition to the normal functions of baking and roasting. Foods can be cooked in a variety of ways: in a convection oven dry heat only mode, a steam only mode, and a combination of dry heat and steam modes. Food to be cooked partially in one mode at a certain temperature and then finished in another mode and at a separate temperature by utilizing the programmability of combination ovens. Combination ovens range in size from 6 pan countertop models up to 40 pan stand-alone models.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### **Baseline Efficiency:**

Table 4.3.1.a

Parameter	< 15 Pans	15-28 Pans	> 28 Pans
Assumptions			
% Time in Steam Mode	50%	50%	50%
Preheat Energy (kWh/day)	3.0	3.75	5.63
Convection Idle Energy Rate (kW)	1.5	3.75	5.25
Steam Idle Energy Rate (kW)	10.0	12.5	18.0
Convection Cooking Energy Efficiency (%)	65%	65%	65%
Steam Cooking Energy Efficiency (%)	40%	40%	40%
Convection Production Capacity (lbs/hour)	80	100	275
Steam Production Capacity (lbs/hour)	100	150	350
Lbs of Food Cooked/day	200	250	400
Total Energy			
Annual Energy Consumption (kWh)	35,263	48,004	74,448
Demand (kW)	6.8	9.2	14.3

## **High Efficiency:**

Table 4.3.1.b

Parameter	<15 Pans	15-28 Pans	> 28 Pans
Assumptions			
% Time in Steam Mode	50%	50%	50%
Preheat Energy (kWh/day)	1.5	2.0	3.0
Convection Idle Energy Rate (kW)	1.0	2.5	4.0



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Steam Idle Energy Rate (kW)	5.0	6.0	9.0
Convection Cooking Energy Efficiency (%)	70%	70%	70%
Steam Cooking Energy Efficiency (%)	50%	50%	50%
Convection Production Capacity (lbs/hour)	100	152	325
Steam Production Capacity (lbs/hour)	120	200	400
Lbs of Food Cooked/day	200	250	400
Total Energy			
Annual Energy Consumption (kWh)	23,658	32,001	50,692
Demand (kW)	4.5	6.1	9.7

#### **Energy Savings**

Energy usage calculations are based on 12 hours a day, 365 days per year (4,380 hours/year). The different sizes for the combination ovens (< 15 pans, 15-28 pans, and > 28 pans) have proportional operating energy rates.

Table 4.3.1.c

Performance	< 15 Pans	15-28 Pans	> 28 Pans
Annual Energy Savings (kWh)	11,604	16,003	23,756
Estimated Demand Reduction (kW)	2.6	3.7	5.4

#### **Operating Hours**

12 hrs/day, 365 day/year = 4,380 hours/year

#### **Demand Coincidence Factor**

CF = 0.84



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### Lifetime

12 years



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.3.2 Convection Ovens

#### HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE

**Equipment Group** Commercial Kitchen **Equipment Type** Convection Oven

**Equipment Subtype** None

**Equipment Size** Full Size | Half Size

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date Revision Date Review Date

#### **Referenced Documents:**

- U.S. Department of Energy, Energy Star website: http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?fuseaction=find\_a\_product.showProductGroup&pgw\_code=COO
- Energy Star Commercial Kitchen Equipment Savings Calculator
- PG&E Work Paper PGEFST105 (Revision 3) June 8, 2012
- Arkansas TRM Version 2.0 Volume 2
- KEMA report titled "Business Programs: Deemed Savings Parameter Development", November 2009 -Coincidence factor for food service building type listed as 0.84

#### **TRM Review Actions:**

Currently Under Review.

#### **Measure Description:**

Commercial convection ovens are widely used in the foodservice industry and have a wide variety of uses from baking and roasting to warming and reheating. Convection ovens are also used for nearly all types of food preparation, including foods typically prepared using other types of appliances (e.g., griddles, fryers, etc.). ENERGY STAR commercial ovens are about 20 percent more energy efficient than standard models.

<u>Full-size electric convection ovens</u> are defined by the ability to accept a minimum of five (5) standard full-size sheet pans (18 in. x 26 in. x 1 in.). Qualifying ovens must meet Energy Star requirements by having a tested heavy-load (potato) cooking efficiency in accordance with ASTM F1496. Cooking energy efficiency must be greater than or equal to 70 percent (≥70%) and must not exceed the maximum idle energy rate of 1.6 kW (≤ 1.6kW).



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Half-size electric convection ovens are defined by the ability to accept a minimum of five (5) sheet pans measuring (18 in. x 13 in. x 1 in.). Qualifying ovens must meet Energy Star requirements by having a tested heavy-load (potato) cooking efficiency in accordance with ASTM F1496. Cooking energy efficiency must be greater than or equal to 70 percent (≥70%) and must not exceed the maximum idle energy rate of 1.0 kW (≤ 1.0kW).

Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### **Baseline Efficiency:**

Table 4.3.2.a

Parameter	Half Size	Full Size
Assumptions		
Preheat Energy (kWh/day)	1.0	1.5
Idle Energy Rate (kW)	1.5	2.0
Cooking Energy Efficiency (%)	65%	65%
Production Capacity (lbs/hour)	45	70
Lbs of food cooked/day	100	100
Energy per pound of food (kWh/lb)	0.0732	0.0732
Total Energy		
Annual Energy Consumption (kWh)	9,692	12,193
Demand (kW)	1.86	2.34

#### **High Efficiency:**

*Table 4.3.2.b* 

Parameter	Half Size	Full Size
Assumptions		
Preheat Energy (kWh/day)	0.9	1.0
Idle Energy Rate (kW)	1.0	1.6
Cooking Energy Efficiency (%)	70%	70%
Production Capacity (lbs/hour)	50	80
Lbs of food cooked/day	100	100
Energy per pound of food (kWh/lb)	0.0732	0.0732



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Total Energy		
Annual Energy Consumption (kWh)	7,704	10,314
Demand (kW)	1.48	1.98

#### **Energy Savings**

Energy usage calculations are based on 12 hours a day, 365 days per year. The different sizes for the holding cabinets (half size and full size) have proportional operating energy rates.

Table 4.3.2.c

Performance	Half Size	Full Size
Annual Energy Savings (kWh)	1,988	1,879
Estimated Demand Reduction (kW)	0.38	0.36

#### **Operating Hours**

12 hrs/day, 365 day/year = 4,380 hours/year

#### **Demand Coincidence Factor**

CF = 0.84

#### Lifetime

12 years



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.3.3 Demand Controlled Ventilation

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Commercial Kitchen

**Equipment Type** Demand Control Ventilation

**Equipment Subtype** Kitchen Fan

**Equipment Size** None

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date
Revision Date

**Review Date** October 5, 2011

#### **Measure Description:**

Kitchen ventilation with DCKV hood exhaust. Demand ventilation uses temperature and/or smoke sensing to adjust ventilation rates. This saves energy comparing with the traditional 100% on/off kitchen ventilation system.

Unit of Measure: Fan HP

#### **Baseline equipment:**

100% on/off kitchen exhaust fan

#### **Efficient equipment:**

Kitchen ventilation with demand-controlled ventilation according to temperature and/or smoke sensing

#### Program criteria:

To qualify for a Hawaii Energy Commercial Kitchen Demand Ventilation Controls Rebate, the following conditions must be met:

- 1. The control system must be used in conjunction with variable speed fan motor controls.
- 2. All motors must meet NEMA Premium Efficiency standards and be UL® Approved
- 3. Temperature and optical sensors must have the ability to sense and ramp up or down the ventilation rate based on the presence of temperature, smoke, or steam from cooking activity
- 4. Temperature and Infrared cooking sensors must have the ability to measure temperature at the cooking surface to ramp ventilation up or down based on when cooking starts
- 5. Hawaii Energy Incentive Worksheet must be submitted with rebate application

#### **ALGORITHMS**

$$\Delta P_{peak,per\ HP} = CF \times \left[ \frac{(1\ HP) \times \left(0.746 \frac{kW}{HP}\right)}{\eta} - \left(1\ HP \times P_{in,per\ HP}\right) \right]$$



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

$$\Delta E_{annual,per\ HP} = HRS \times \left[ \frac{(1\ HP) \times \left(0.746 \frac{kW}{HP}\right)}{\eta} - \left(1\ HP \times P_{in,per\ HP}\right) \right]$$

$$P_{in,per\ HP} = \frac{P_{out,per\ HP}}{\eta}$$

Table 4.3.3.a

able 4.3.3.0					
<u>DEFINITIONS &amp; ASSUMPTIONS</u>					
$\Delta P$	Power demand reduction	Calculated	kW		
$\Delta E$	Energy reduction	Calculated	kWh		
$P_{in,per\ HP}$	Input demand of controlled fan per HP	0.38	kW	Table 4.3.1.b <sup>1</sup>	
Pout,per HP	Output power of fan per HP	Calculated	kW		
η	Efficiency factor of fan system	0.90		Table 4.3.1.b <sup>1</sup>	
CF	Coincidence factor, percent of time equipment load corresponds with utility peak load	100	%		
PF	Persistence factor, % of measures installed and operating	100	%		
HRS	Annual operating hours; 16 hrs/day, 7 days/wk, 52 wks/yr	5824	hrs		
Measure Life	Expected duration of energy savings	15	yrs		

#### **SAVINGS**

Table 4.3.3.b: Impact of Reduced Fan Speed and Ventilation on Load and Energy Use

% rated	% Run	Operating	Output	System	Input	kWh/HP/y
RPM	Time	Hours/Yr	kW/HP	Efficiency	kW/HP	r
100%	5%	291.2	0.746	0.9	0.829	241
90%	20%	1164.8	0.544	0.9	0.604	704
80%	25%	1456	0.382	0.9	0.424	618
70%	25%	1456	0.256	0.9	0.284	414
60%	15%	873.6	0.161	0.9	0.179	156
50%	10%	582.4	0.093	0.9	0.103	60
40%	0%	0	0.048	0.9	0.053	0
30%	0%	0	0.02	0.9	0.022	0
20%	0%	0	0.015	0.9	0.017	0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Original manufacturer report is no longer available



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

	10%	0%	0	0.01	0.9	0.011	0
Totals		100%	5824	2.28		2.53	2194.03
:		100%	3824	2.20		2.55	2154.03
					Weight		
					Avg.	0.38	
					$(P_{in,per\ HP})$		

Source: Melink Detailed Energy Savings Report referenced in Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY 2015, July 1 2015-June 30, 2016. Measure Savings Calculations pp.136-137

Table 4.3.3.c: Kitchen Demand-Controlled Ventilation Power Demand & Energy Savings

	Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh/yr)
Kitchen Demand-Controlled Ventilation	0.45	2633



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.3.4 Electric Griddle

#### HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE

**Equipment Group** Commercial Kitchen **Equipment Type** Electric Griddle

Equipment Subtype None Equipment Size None

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

**Draft Date** 

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** 

#### **Referenced Documents:**

- The industry standard for energy use and cooking performance of griddles are ASTM F1275-03: Standard Test
- Method for the Performance of Griddles and ASTM F1605-01: Standard Test Method for the Performance of Double-Sided Griddles
- ENERGY STAR Commercial Griddles Program Requirements Version 1.1, effective May 2009 for gas griddles and effective January 1, 2011 for electric.
- Database for Energy Efficient Resources, 2008, http://www.deeresources.com/deer0911planning/downloads/EUL\_Summary\_10-1-08.xls
- Assumptions based on PG&E Commercial Griddles Work Paper developed by FSTC, May 22, 2012.

#### **TRM Review Actions:**

• Currently Under Review.

#### **Major Changes:**

New measure

#### **Measure Description:**

This measure applies to ENERGY STAR or equivalent electric commercial griddles in retrofit and new construction applications. This appliance is designed for cooking food in oil or its own juices by direct contact with either a flat, smooth, hot surface or a hot channeled cooking surface where plate temperature is thermostatically controlled.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Energy-efficient commercial electric griddles reduce energy consumption primarily through the application of advanced controls and improved temperature uniformity.

#### **Baseline and Efficiency Standard**

Key parameters for defining griddle efficiency are Heavy Load Cooking Energy Efficiency and Idle Energy Rate. There are currently no federal minimum standards for Commercial Griddles, however, the American Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) publishes Test Methods155 that allow uniform procedures to be applied to each commercial cooking appliance for a fair comparison of performance results.

ENERGY STAR efficiency requirements apply to single and double sided griddles. The ENERGY STAR criteria should be reviewed on an annual basis to reflect the latest requirements.

Table 4.3.4.a: ENERGY STAR Criteria for Electric Single and Double Sided Griddles

Performance Parameters	Electric Griddles
Heavy-Load Cooking Energy Efficiency	>= 70%
Idle Energy Rate	<= 320 watts per ft <sup>2</sup>

#### **Energy Savings:**

Annual savings can be calculated by determining the energy consumed by a standard efficiency griddle as compared with an ENERGY STAR rated griddle.

 $\Delta kWh$  = kWh(base) - kWh(eff)

 $\Delta kWh(base or eff) = kWh(cooking) + kWh(idle) + kWh(preheat)$ 

 $kWh(cooking) = [LB(food) \times E(food)/Cook(eff)] \times Days$ 



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

kWh(idle) = IdleEnergy x [DailyHrs – LB(food)/Capacity – PreheatTime/60] x Days

kWh(preheat) = PreheatEnergy x Days

Table 4.3.4.b

Parameter	Description	Value	Source
Daily Hrs	Daily Operating Hours	12 hours	FSTC
Preheat Time	Time to Preheat (min)	15 min	FSTC
E(food)	ASTM defined Energy to Food	0.139 kWh/lb	FSTC
Days	Number of days of operation	365 days	FSTC
CookEff	Cooking energy efficiency (%)		FSTC,
IdleEnergy	Idle energy rate (kW)		ENERGY STAR
Capacity	Production capacity (lbs/hr)	See Table below	FSTC
Preheat Energy	kWh/day		FSTC
LB(food)	Food cooked per day (lb/day)		FSTC

General assumptions used for deriving deemed electric savings are values taken from the Food Service Technology Center (FSTC) work papers. These deemed values assume that the griddles are 3 x 2 feet in size. Parameters in the table are per linear foot, with an assumed depth of 2 feet.

Table 4.3.4.c: Baseline and Efficient Assumptions for Electric Griddles

Parameter	Baseline Electric Griddles	Efficient Electric Griddles
Preheat Energy (kWh/ft)	1.33	0.67
Idle Energy Rate (kW/ft)	0.80	0.64
Cooking Energy Efficiency (%)	65%	70%
Production Capacity (lbs/h/ft)	11.7	16.33
Lbs of food cooked/day/ft	33.33	33.33



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### Table 4.3.4.d

Base (kWh/year) per linear foot		
Cooking	2602	
Idle	2599	
Preheat	485	
Total Base Energy Usage (kWh)		
Demand (kW)	1.30	

Efficient (kWh/year) per linear foot		
Cooking	2416	
Idle	2268	
Preheat	245	
Total Efficient Energy Usage (kWh)	4929	
Demand (kW)	1.13	

Energy Savings (kWh/year) per linear foot	757
Demand Savings (kW)	0.17

#### **Operating Hours**

The average steam cooker is assumed to operate 4,380 hours per year.

#### **Demand Coincidence Factor**

Coincidence factor is 1.0 because the cooking equipment is assumed to operate throughout the on-peak demand periods (5PM – 9PM).

#### **Persistence**

100% persistence factor



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### Lifetime

12 years - DEER (2008)

#### **Measure Costs and Incentive Levels**

Incremental cost = \$774

(Assumptions based on PG&E Commercial Griddles Work Paper developed by FSTC, May 22, 2012).



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.3.5 Electric Steam Cooker

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Commercial Kitchen

**Equipment Type** Steam Cooker

**Equipment Subtype** None

**Equipment Size** 1-pan | 2-pan | 3-pan | 4-pan | 5-pan | 6-pan

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

**Draft Date** 

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** 

#### **Referenced Documents:**

- ENERGY STAR Commercial Kitchen Equipment Savings Calculator: Steam Cooker Calcs.
- PG&E Work Paper PGECOFST104 Commercial Steam Cooker Revision #4 (5/22/12)

#### **TRM Review Actions:**

• Currently Under Review.

#### **Measure Description:**

The installation of a qualified ENERGY STAR commercial steam cooker. ENERGY STAR steam cookers save energy during cooling and idle times due to improved cooking efficiency and idle energy rates.

#### **Baseline Efficiencies:**

The Baseline Efficiency case is a conventional electric steam cooker with a cooking energy efficiency of 30%, pan production of 23.3 pounds per hour, and an idle energy rate of 1.2 kW.

#### **High Efficiency:**

The High Efficiency case is an ENERGY STAR electric steam cooker with a cooking energy efficiency of 50%, pan production capacity of 16.7 pounds per hour, and an idle energy rate of 0.4 kW.

#### **Energy Savings:**

Unit savings are deemed based on study results:



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

 $\Delta$ kWh/year = 3,258 kWh/pan

 $\Delta kW = 2.23 kW$ 

#### **Savings Algorithms**

#### Table 4.3.5.a

#### Steam Cooker Calculations for the ENERGY STAR Commercial Kitchen Equipment Calculato

Inputs

	USER ENTRY	
	Electric	
Average daily operation	12	hours
Annual days of operation	365	days
Food cooked per day	100	pounds
Number of pans per unit	3	
Incremental cost	\$2,000	

**Assumptions** 

	Elec	Electric	
	Conventional	ENERGY STAR	
Туре	steam generator	boilerless	
Water Use	40	3	gallons/hour
Time in constant steam mode	40%	40%	
Cooking energy efficiency	30%	50%	
Production capacity per pan	23.3	16.7	pounds/hour
Number of preheats per day	1	1	
Preheat length	15	15	minutes
Preheat energy rate	6,000	6,000	$\bigvee$
Idle energy rate	1,200	400	$\bigvee$
ASTM energy to food	30	0.8	Wh/pound
Equipment lifetime	12		years

#### Calculations

	Electric		
	Conventional	ENERGY STAR	
Annual operation	4,380		hours
Daily preheat energy	1,500	1,500	Wh
Daily cooking energy	10,267	6,160	Wh
Daily idle time	10.32	9.75	hour
Daily idle energy	37,052	14,382	Wh
Total daily energy	48,819	22,042	Wh

Annual energy consumption per steam cooker

	Conventional	ENERGY STAR	Savings (3 Pan)	Savings per Pan
Electric Usage (kWh/year)	17.819	8.045	9.774	3258

#### **Operating Hours**

The average steam cooker is assumed to operate 4,380 hours per year.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### **Demand Coincidence Factor**

CF = 1.0

#### Persistence

100% persistence factor

#### Lifetime

12 years

#### **Measure Costs**

Incremental cost = \$2,000



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.3.6 Fryer

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Commercial Kitchen **Equipment Type** Commercial Fryer

**Equipment Subtype** None

Equipment Size Large Vat | Standard Vat

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date Revision Date Review Date

#### **Referenced Documents:**

- The industry standards for energy use and cooking performance of fryers are ASTM Standard Test Method for the Performance of Open Deep Fat Fryers (F1361) and ASTM Standard Test Method for the Performance of Large Vat Fryers (FF2144).
- ENERGY STAR Version 2.0, effective April 22, 2011
- Assumptions based on PG&E Commercial Fryers Work Paper developed by FSTC, June 13, 2012

#### **TRM Review Actions:**

• Currently Under Review.

#### **Measure Description:**

This measure applies to ENERGY STAR or its equivalent electric commercial open-deep fat fryers in retrofit and new construction applications. Commercial fryers consist of a reservoir of cooking oil that allows food to be fully submerged without touching the bottom of the vessel. Electric fryers use a heating element immersed in the cooking oil. High efficiency standard and large vat fryers offer shorter cook times and higher production rates through the use of heat exchanger design. Standby losses are reduced in more efficient models through the use of fry pot insulation.

#### **Baseline and Efficiency Standard**

Key parameters for defining fryer efficiency are Heavy Load Cooking Energy Efficiency and Idle Energy Rate. ENERGY STAR requirements apply to a standard fryer and a large vat fryer. A standard fryer measures 14 to 18 inches wide with a vat capacity from 25 to 60 pounds. A large vat fryer measures 18



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

inches to 24 inches wide with a vat capacity greater than 50 pounds. The ENERGY STAR criteria should be reviewed on an annual basis to reflect the latest requirements.

There are currently no federal minimum standards for Commercial Fryers, however, the American

Society of Testing and Materials (ASTM) publishes Test Methods183 that allow uniform procedures to be applied to each commercial cooking appliance for a fair comparison of performance results.

Table 4.3.6.a: ENERGY STAR Criteria and FSTC Baseline for Open Deep-Fat Electric Fryers

Performance Parameters	ENERGY STAR Electric Fryer Criteria			
. Gromando i arametero	Standard Fryers	Large Vat Fryers		
Heavy-Load Cooking Energy Efficiency	>= 80%	>= 80%		
Idle Energy Rate	<+ 1.0 kW	<= 1.1 kW		

### **Energy Savings:**

Annual savings can be calculated by determining the energy consumed by a standard efficiency fryer as compared with an ENERGY STAR rated fryer.

 $\Delta kWh$  = kWh(base) - kWh(eff)

 $\Delta kWh(base or eff) = kWh(cooking) + kWh(idle) + kWh(preheat)$ 

 $kWh(cooking) = [LB(food) \times E(food)/Cook(eff)] \times Days$ 

kWh(idle) = IdleEnergy x [DailyHrs – LB(food)/Capacity – PreheatTime/60] x Days

kWh(preheat) = PreheatEnergy x Days



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.3.6.b

Parameter	Description	Value	Source
Daily Hrs	Daily Operating Hours	12 hours	FSTC
Preheat Time	Time to Preheat (min)	15 min	FSTC
E(food)	ASTM defined Energy to Food	0.167 kWh/lb	FSTC
Days	Number of days of operation	365 days	FSTC
CookEff	Cooking energy efficiency (%)		FSTC,
IdleEnergy	Idle energy rate (kW)		ENERGY STAR
Capacity	Production capacity (lbs/hr)	See Table below	FSTC
Preheat Energy	kWh/day		FSTC
LB(food)	Food cooked per day (lb/day)		FSTC

General assumptions used for deriving deemed electric savings are values taken from the Food Service Technology Center (FSTC) work papers.

Table 4.3.6.c

Baseline and Efficient Assumptions for Electric Standard and Large Vat Fryers

Parameter	Baseline Ele	ectric Fryers	Efficient Electric Fryers		
raiailletei	Standard	Large Vat	Standard	Large Vat	
Preheat Energy (kWh/ft)	2.3	2.5	1.7	2.1	
Idle Energy Rate (kW/ft)	1.05	1.35	1.00	1.1	
Cooking Energy Efficiency (%)	75%	70%	80%	80%	
Production Capacity (lbs/h/ft)	65	100	70	110	
Lbs of food cooked/day/ft	150	150	150	150	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.3.6.d

Baseline Electric Fryers	Standard	Large Vat
Cooking	12191	13062
Idle	3619	5051
Preheat	840	913
Total Energy Usage (kWh/year) per Vat	16649	19026
Demand	3.80	4.34

Efficient Electric Fryers	Standard	Large Vat
Cooking	11429	11429
Idle	3507	4170
Preheat	621	767
Total Energy Usage (kWh/year) per Vat	15557	16366
Demand	3.55	3.74

Savings	Standard	Large Vat
Energy Savings (kWh/year) per Vat	1093	2659
Demand Savings (kW)	0.25	0.61

## **Operating Hours**

The average steam cooker is assumed to operate 4,380 hours per year.

### **Demand Coincidence Factor**

Coincidence factor is 1.0 because the cooking equipment is assumed to operate throughout the on-peak demand periods (5PM – 9PM).



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## **Persistence**

100% persistence factor

## Lifetime

12 years - DEER (2008)

## **Measure Costs and Incentive Levels**

Incremental cost = \$769

(Assumptions based on PG&E Commercial Fryers Work Paper developed by FSTC, May 22, 2012).



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.3.7 Hot Food Holding Cabinet

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

Equipment Group Commercial Kitchen
Equipment Type Hot Food Holding Cabinet

**Equipment Subtype** None

**Equipment Size** Full Size | Half Size

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date Revision Date Review Date

#### **Referenced Documents:**

• PG&E Work Paper PGEFST105 (Revision 3) – June 8, 2012

#### **TRM Review Actions:**

Currently Under Review.

#### **Measure Description:**

Commercial insulated hot food holding cabinet models that meet program requirements incorporate better insulation, reducing heat loss, and may also offer additional energy saving devices such as magnetic door electric gaskets, auto-door closures, or Dutch doors. The insulation of the cabinet also offers better temperature uniformity within the cabinet from top to bottom. This means that qualified hot food holding cabinets are more efficient at maintaining food temperature while using less energy.

- <u>Full-size holding cabinets</u> are defined as any holding cabinet with an internal measured volume of greater than or equal to 15 cubic feet (≥15 ft.3). This measure does not include cook-and-hold equipment. All measures must be electric hot food holding cabinets that are fully insulated and have doors. Qualifying cabinets must not exceed the maximum idle energy rate of 20 Watts per cubic foot in accordance with the ASTM Standard test method.
- Half-size holding cabinets are defined as any holding cabinet with an internal measured volume of less
  than 15 cubic feet (<15 ft.3). This measure does not include cook-and-hold or retherm equipment. All
  measures must be electric hot food holding cabinets that are fully insulated and have doors. Qualifying
  cabinets must not exceed the maximum idle energy rate of 20 Watts per cubic foot in accordance with the
  ASTM Standard test method.</li>



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### **Baseline Efficiency:**

The baseline equipment is assumed to be a standard hot food holding cabinet with an idle energy rate of 40 watts per cubic foot.

### **High Efficiency:**

The efficient equipment is assumed to be an ENERGY STAR qualified hot food holding cabinet with an idle energy rate of 20 watts per cubic foot.

### **Energy Savings:**

Energy usage calculations are based on 15 hours a day, 365 days per year operation at a typical temperature setting of 150°F. The different sizes for the holding cabinets (half size and full size) have proportional operating energy rates. Operating energy rate for the full size holding cabinets was obtained in accordance with the ASTM Standard.

The energy savings calculations listed in the following tables use Title 20 (California) as the baseline for potential energy savings requiring all hot food holding cabinets sold in California to meet a normalized idle energy rate of 40 Watts/ft<sup>3</sup>.

Table 4.3.7.a: Insulated Hot Food Holding Cabinet - Full Size

Performance	Baseline	High Efficiency Qualifying Model
Demand (kW)	1	0.28
Annual Energy Use (kWh/year)	5475	1533
Estimated Demand Reduction (kW)	-	0.72
Annual Energy Savings (kWh/year)	-	3942
Incremental Measure Cost (\$)		2336
Estimated Useful Life (years)	12	12

Table 4.3.7.b: Insulated Hot Food Holding Cabinet - Half Size

Performance	Baseline	High Efficiency Qualifying Model
-------------	----------	-------------------------------------



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Demand (kW)	0.38	0.05
Annual Energy Use (kWh/year)	2081	274
Estimated Demand Reduction (kW)	-	0.33
Annual Energy Savings (kWh/year)	-	1807
Incremental Measure Cost (\$)		381
Estimated Useful Life (years)	12	12

The demand reduction estimation is based on measured data for standard efficiency insulated holding cabinets and for high-efficiency insulated holding cabinets. The measured data are derived from tests conducted under ASTM Standard Test Method for the Performance of Hot Food Holding Cabinets.

Table 4.3.7.c: Measure ASTM test results for Hot Food Holding Cabinets

Cabinet Size	Cabinet Volume (ft³)	Normalized Idle Energy Rate (W/ft³)	Total Cabinet Idle Energy Rate (W)
Full-Size	25	11.3	0.28
Half-Size	10	5.7	0.05

### **Operating Hours**

15 hr/day, 365 day/year = 5,475 hours/year

#### **Demand Coincidence Factor**

CF = 1.0

### Lifetime

12 years

### **Measure Costs**

The incremental cost for ENERGY STAR hot food holding cabinet is \$2,336 (full size) & \$381 (half size)



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.3.8 Ice Machine

#### HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE

**Equipment Group** Commercial Kitchen **Equipment Type** Commercial Ice Machine

**Equipment Subtype** None

**Equipment Size** IHR 101-300 | IHR 301-500 | IHR 501-1000 | IHR 1001-1500 | IHR >1500

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date Revision Date Review Date

#### **Referenced Documents:**

PG&E Work Paper PGECOFST108 Commercial Ice Machines Revision 3 – May 30, 2012

#### **TRM Review Actions:**

• Currently Under Review.

### **Major Changes:**

New measure

## **Measure Description:**

This measure applies to Energy Efficient air-cooled commercial ice makers in retrofit and new construction applications installed in conditioned spaces. Commercial ice makers are classified into three equipment types; ice-making heads (IMHs), remote condensing units (RCUs) and self-contained units (SCUs). The measure described here applies to ice makers that use a batch process to make cubed ice.

The industry standard for energy use and performance of commercial ice machines is AHRI Standard 810. Key parameters reported for ice makers include the Equipment Type, Harvest Rate (lbs of ice/24hrs) and Energy Consumption Rate. The AHRI Directory of Certified Equipment150 lists these values by equipment manufacturer and model number.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### **Baseline and Efficiency Standard:**

The Energy Efficient criteria for ice makers define efficiency requirements for both energy and potable water use.

### **Market Applicability**

Hospitals account for 39.4 percent of all commercial icemaker purchases, followed by hotels (22.3 percent), restaurants (13.8 percent), retail outlets (8.5 percent), schools (8.5 percent), offices (4.3 percent), and grocery stores (3.2 percent).

#### **Measure Savings Calculations:**

Annual electric savings can be calculated by determining the energy consumed for baseline ice makers compared against ENERGY STAR performance requirements using the harvest rate of the more efficient unit. Peak demand savings can then be derived from the electric savings.

 $\Delta kWh = (kWh_{base, per 100lb} - kWh_{ee, per 100lb})/100 \times DC \times H \times 365$ 

 $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh / HRS$ 

### Where:

- 100 = conversion factor to convert kWh<sub>base</sub>, per 100lb and kWh<sub>ee</sub>, per 100lb into maximum kWh consumption per pound of ice.
- DC = Duty Cycle of the ice maker representing the percentage of time the ice machine is making ice
- H = Harvest Rate (lbs of ice made per day)
- 365 = days per year
- kWh = Annual energy savings
- HRS = Annual operating hours
- CF = 1.0



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

The baseline and energy efficient energy usage per 100lbs of ice produced is dependent on the category of ice maker, as well as the capacity of the energy efficient ice maker. The equations used to determine the energy per 100lbs of ice produced can be seen below.

This incentive applies towards the purchase of new or replacement energy efficient Air-cooled ice machines. Used or rebuilt equipment is not eligible. Customers must provide proof that the appliance meets the energy efficiency specifications listed in Table below.

This specification covers machines generating 60 grams (2 oz.) or lighter ice cubes, as well as flaked, crushed, or fragmented ice machines that meet the Energy Efficiency thresholds by Ice harvest (IHR) rate listed below. Only air cooled machines (icemaker heads, self-contained unites, and remote condensing units) are eligible for incentives. Performance data is based on ARI Standard 810.

Table 4.3.8.a

Energy Efficiency Requirements

Ice Harvest		Energy Effficient I	ce Makers	Federal Minimum Standard Energy Consumption Rate	
Equipment Type	Rate Range (lbs of ice/24 hrs)	Energy Consumption Rate (kWh/100 lbs ice) (H = Harvest Rate)	Potable Water Use Limit (gal/100 lbs ice)	(kWh/100 lbs ice) (H = Harvest Rate)	
Ice Making Heads	<450	<u>&lt; 8.72</u> - 0.0073H	<u>≤</u> 20	10.26 - 0.0086H	
ice Making neads	<u>&gt;</u> 450	≤ 5.86 - 0.0009H	<u>&lt;</u> 20	6.89 - 0.0011H	
Remote	< 1,000	≤ 7.52 - 0.0032H	<u>&lt;</u> 20	8.85 - 0.0038H	
Condensing Units	<u>&gt;</u> 1,000	<u>&lt;</u> 4.34	<u>≤</u> 20	5.10	
Remote	< 934	≤ 7.52 - 0.0032H	<u>&lt;</u> 20	8.85 - 0.0038H	
Condensing Units	<u>&gt;</u> 934	<u>&lt;</u> 4.51	<u>&lt;</u> 20	5.30	
Self-Contained Units	< 175	≤ 15.3 - 0.0399H	<u>≤</u> 30	18.0 - 0.069H	
Sen-Contained Offics	<u>≥</u> 175	<u>≤</u> 8.33	<u>≤</u> 30	9.80	

### **Example Savings Calculations**

Savings calculation for varying Harvest Rates (H) can be seen below:



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.3.8.b

Performance	IHR	IHR	IHR	IHR	IHR
Ice Harvest Rate (IHR) (lbs per 24 hrs.)	101-300	301-500	501-1,000	1,001- 1,500	> 1,500
Average IHR Used in Energy Calculations (lbs/day)	200	400	750	1,250	1,750
Baseline Model Energy Usage (kWh/100 lbs)	9.8	6.82	6.07	5.1	5.1
Energy Efficient Model Energy Usage (kWh/100 lbs)	8.33	5.8	5.19	4.34	4.34
Baseline Model Daily Energy Consumption (kWh)	14.7	20.5	34.1	47.8	66.9
Energy Efficient Model Daily Energy Consumption (kWh)	12.5	17.4	29.2	40.7	57
Baseline Model Average Demand (kW)	0.613	0.853	1.421	1.992	2.789
Energy Efficient Model Average Demand (kW)	0.521	0.725	1.215	1.695	2.373
Estimated Demand Reduction (kW)	0.092	0.128	0.206	0.297	0.416
Baseline Model Annual Energy Consumption (kWh/yr)	5,366	7,468	12,452	17,452	24,432
Energy Efficient Model Annual Energy Consumption (kWh/yr)	4,561	6,351	10,645	14,851	20,791
Estimated Annual Energy Savings (kWh/yr)	805	1,117	1,807	2,601	3,641
Electric Cost (\$/kWh)	\$0.25	\$0.25	\$0.25	\$0.25	\$0.25
Baseline Model Annual Energy Cost (\$/yr)	\$1,342	\$1,867	\$3,113	\$4,363	\$6,108
Energy Efficient Model Annual Energy Cost (\$/yr)	\$1,140	\$1,588	\$2,661	\$3,713	\$5,198
Estimated Annual Energy Cost Savings (\$/yr)	\$201	\$279	\$452	\$650	\$910
Estimated Incremental Cost	\$306	\$266	\$249	\$589	\$939
Estimated Useful Life (EUL)	12	12	12	12	12

## **Demand Coincidence Factor**

CF = 1.0

## Lifetime



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 12 years

### 4.3.9 Low Flow Spray Nozzles for Food Service (Retrofit)

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Commercial Kitchen **Equipment Type** Low Flow Spray Nozzle

Equipment Subtype None Equipment Size None

## **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date Revision Date

**Review Date** October 5, 2011

### **Referenced Documents:**

• Evergreen TRM Review – 1/15/14

### **TRM Review Actions:**

• 10/5/11 – Currently Under Review.

### **Major Changes:**

n/a

### **Measure Description:**

All pre-rinse valves use a spray of water to remove food waste from dishes prior to cleaning in a dishwasher. They reduce water consumption, water heating cost, and waste water (sewer) charges. Pre-rinse spray valves include a nozzle, squeeze lever, and dish guard bumper. Energy savings depend on the facility's method of water heating (electric resistance or heat pump). If the facility does not have electric water heating (i.e. gas or propane), there are no electric savings for this measure. The spray valves usually have a clip to lock the handle in the "on" position. Pre-rinse valves are inexpensive and easily interchangeable with different manufacturers' assemblies.

#### **Baseline Efficiencies:**

The baseline equipment is assumed to be a spray valve with a flow rate of 2.25 gallons per minute.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## **High Efficiency:**

The efficient equipment is assumed to be a pre-rinse spray valve with a flow rate of 1.28 gallons per minute.

## **Energy Savings:**

 $\Delta$ kWh =  $\Delta$ Water x HOT<sub>%</sub> x 8.33 x ( $\Delta$ T) x (1/EFF\*) / 3413

**ΔWater = Water savings (gallons)** 

HOT<sub>%</sub> = The percentage of water used by the pre-rinse spray valve that is heated = 69%

8.33 = The energy content of heated water (Btu/gallon/°F)

 $\Delta T$  = Temperature rise through water heater (°F) = 65°F

\*EFF1 = Water heater thermal efficiency (electric resistance) = 0.98

\*EFF2 = Water heater thermal efficiency (heat pump) = 3.0

3413 = Factor to convert Btu to kWh

#### Table 4.3.9.a

Building Type	Operating Schedule (Day/year)	kW Savings	Electric Resistance (kWh/yr) Savings	Heat Pump (kWh/yr) Savings
Restaurants/Institutions	365	1.03	4,753	1,553
Dormitories	274	0.9	3,568	1,165
K-12 Schools	200	0.79	2,604	851

#### **Demand Coincidence Factor**

TBD

#### **Persistence**

TBD



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## Lifetime

5 years

## **Measure Costs and Incentive Levels**

The actual measure installation cost should be used (including material and labor).



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 4.3.10 Solid Door Refrigerators & Freezers

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Commercial Kitchen

Equipment Type Reach-in Refrigerator | Reach-in Freezer

Equipment Subtype Sold Door | Glass Door

**Equipment Size** 0<V<15 (1 Door) | 15<V<30 (1 Door) | 30<V<50 (2 Door) | 50<V (3 Door)

### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date Revision Date

**Review Date** October 5, 2011

#### **Referenced Documents:**

Southern California Edison Work Paper SCE13CC001 Commercial Reach-In Refrigerators and Freezers – April
 6, 2012

### **Measure Description:**

This measure relates to the installation of a new reach-in commercial refrigerator or freezer meeting ENERGY STAR efficiency standards. ENERGY STAR labeled commercial refrigerators and freezers are more energy efficient because they are designed with components such as ECM evaporator and condenser fan motors, hot gas anti-sweat heaters, or high-efficiency compressors, which will significantly reduce energy consumption. This measure could relate to the replacing of an existing unit at the end of its useful life, or the installation of a new system in a new or existing building.

#### **Baseline Efficiencies:**

In order for this characterization to apply, the baseline equipment is assumed to be a solid or glass door refrigerator or freezer meeting the minimum federal manufacturing standards. It is assumed that the volume for baseline is the average of the range. For example if range is 0 to 15, the average volume is 7.5.

### **High Efficiency:**

In order for this characterization to apply, the efficient equipment is assumed to be a solid or glass door refrigerator or freezer meeting the minimum ENERGY STAR efficiency level standards.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## **Energy and Demand Savings:**

Annual Energy Savings (kWh/year) = (kWh<sub>base</sub> - kWh<sub>ee</sub>) \* 365

Demand Savings = Annual Energy Savings / Hours \* CF

## **Operating Hours**

8760 hours/year

## **Demand Coincidence Factor**

CF = 1.0

## Lifetime

12 years

Table 4.3.10.a: High Efficiency v. Baseline Efficiency Tables for Solid Door Refrigerators and Freezers

Volume (cubic feet)	Typical Volume (cubic feet)	Volumetric Factor (kWh/ft3)	Fixed Energy Usage (kWh)	Enhanced Case (kWh/day)
Solid-door Rea	ıch-in Refrigeı	rator		
0 < V < 15	7.5	0.089	1.411	2.08
15 ≤ V < 30	22.5	0.037	2.200	3.03
30 ≤ V < 50	40	0.056	1.635	3.88
50 ≤ V	60	0.060	1.416	5.02
Solid-Door Rea	ch-In Freezer			
0 < V < 15	7.5	0.250	1.250	3.13
15 ≤ V < 30	22.5	0.400	-1.000	8.00
30 ≤ V < 50	40	0.163	6.125	12.65
50 ≤ V	60	0.158	6.333	15.81
Glass-door Rea	ch-in Refrige	rator		
0 < V < 15	7.5	0.118	1.382	2.27
15 ≤ V < 30	22.5	0.140	1.050	4.20
30 ≤ V < 50	40	0.089	2.625	6.18
50 ≤ V	60	0.110	1.500	8.10
Glass-Door Rea	ach-In Freezer	•		
0 < V < 15	7.5	0.607	0.893	5.45
15 ≤ V < 30	22.5	0.733	-1.000	15.49
30 ≤ V < 50	40	0.250	13.500	23.50
50 ≤ V	60	0.450	3.500	30.50

Volume (cubic feet)	Typical Volume (cubic feet)	Volumetric Factor (kWh/ft3)	Fixed Energy Usage (kWh)			
Solid-door Rea	ch-in Refriger	ator				
0 < V < 15	7.5	0.10	2.04	2.79		
15 ≤ V < 30	22.5	0.10	2.04	4.29		
30 ≤ V < 50	40	0.10	2.04	6.04		
50 ≤ V	60	0.10	2.04	8.04		
Solid-Door Rea	ch-In Freezer					
0 < V < 15	7.5	0.40	1.38	4.38		
15 ≤ V < 30	22.5	0.40	1.38	10.38		
30 ≤ V < 50	40	0.40	1.38	17.38		
50 ≤ V	60	0.40	1.38	25.38		
Glass-door Rea	ch-in Refriger	ator				
0 < V < 15	7.5	0.12	3.34	4.24		
15 ≤ V < 30	22.5	0.12	3.34	6.04		
30 ≤ V < 50	40	0.12	3.34	8.14		
50 ≤ V	60	0.12	3.34	10.54		
Glass-Door Reach-In Freezer						
0 < V < 15	7.5	0.75	4.10	9.73		
15 ≤ V < 30	22.5	0.75	4.10	20.98		
30 ≤ V < 50	40	0.75	4.10	34.1		
50 ≤ V	60	0.75	4.10	49.1		



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.3.10.b: Energy and Demand Savings for Solid Door Refrigerators and Freezers

Volume (cubic feet)	Typical Volume (cubic feet)	Base Case (kWh/day)	Enhanced Case (kWh/day)	Energy Savings (kWh/day)	Energy Savings (kWh/year)	Demand Savings (kW)		
Solid-door Reach-in Refrigerator								
0 < V < 15	7.5	2.79	2.08	0.71	259.15	0.030		
15 ≤ V < 30	22.5	4.29	3.03	1.26	459.90	0.053		
30 ≤ V < 50	40	6.04	3.88	2.16	788.40	0.090		
50 ≤ V	60	8.04	5.02	3.02	1102.30	0.126		
Solid-Door	Reach-In Free	zer						
0 < V < 15	7.5	4.38	3.13	1.25	456.25	0.052		
15 ≤ V < 30	22.5	10.38	8.00	2.38	868.70	0.099		
30 ≤ V < 50	40	17.38	12.65	4.73	1726.45	0.197		
50 ≤ V	60	25.38	15.81	9.57	3493.05	0.399		
Glass-door	Reach-in Refr	igerator						
0 < V < 15	7.5	4.24	2.27	1.97	719.05	0.082		
15 ≤ V < 30	22.5	6.04	4.20	1.84	671.60	0.077		
30 ≤ V < 50	40	8.14	6.18	1.96	715.40	0.082		
50 ≤ V	60	10.54	8.10	2.44	890.60	0.102		
Glass-Door	Reach-In Free	zer						
0 < V < 15	7.5	9.73	5.45	4.28	1562.20	0.178		
15 ≤ V < 30	22.5	20.98	15.49	5.49	2003.85	0.229		
30 ≤ V < 50	40	34.1	23.50	10.60	3869.00	0.442		
50 ≤ V	60	49.1	30.50	18.60	6789.00	0.775		



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## 4.4 Energy Study Grant

### 4.4.1 Design Assistance

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Energy Study Grant **Equipment Type** Design Assistance

Equipment Subtype None Equipment Size None

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** September 20, 2011

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** October 5, 2011

#### **Referenced Documents:**

n/a

#### **TRM Review Actions:**

• 10/5/11 – Currently Under Review.

#### **Major Changes:**

• 12/22/11 – Program requirement changed to require project be in planning or initial design phase.

**Description:** Design Assistance is available to building owners and their design teams to encourage the implementation of energy efficient building systems. Considering energy efficiency during the initial phases of planning and design greatly increase the feasibility of implementation. Incentives for energy efficiency are project-specific and offered as upfront assistance for additional costs incurred during the design phase. The long-term benefits include energy use reduction for the state of Hawaii and a reduction in operating costs, equipment lifecycle improvement for building owners, and improved comfort for building users.

#### **Program Requirements:**

- Application with written pre-approval from Hawaii Energy
- Project in planning or initial design phase
- Total resource benefit ratio greater than or equal to 1

#### **Energy and Demand Savings:**

A base case and enhanced case model must be produced with a clear comparison. All assumptions, data, and formulas used in energy efficiency calculations must be clearly documented. Standard engineering principles must be applied, and all references cited. Energy saving calculations shall also



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

reflect the interactive effects of other simultaneous technologies to prevent the overstatement of actual savings. Proposed base and enhanced cases must be performed by a qualified person or firm. In some cases, a professional engineer may be required to provide verification of the analysis.

### **Savings Algorithms**

Gross energy and demand savings estimates for design assistance are calculated using engineering analysis and project-specific details. Custom analyses typically include a weather dependent load bin analysis, whole building energy model simulation, or other engineering analysis and include estimates of savings, costs, and an evaluation of the project's cost-effectiveness.

#### **Baseline Efficiency**

The baseline efficiency case assumes compliance with the efficiency requirements as mandated by the Hawaii State Energy Code or industry accepted standard practice.

#### **High Efficiency**

The high efficiency scenario is specific to each project and may include one or more energy efficiency measures. Energy and demand savings calculations are based on comparing a base case analysis and enhanced cased analysis on equipment efficiencies and operating characteristics and are determined on a case-by-case basis. The energy efficiency measures must be proven cost-effective, pass total resource benefit, and have a payback greater than or equal to 1.

#### **Persistence Factor**

PF = 1 since all custom projects require verification of equipment installation.

#### **Incentives**

- Incentive applications are processed on a first-come, first-serve basis
- Incentives are 50% limited to a maximum of \$15,000



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 4.4.2 Energy Study

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Energy Study Grant

**Equipment Type** Energy Study

Equipment Subtype None Equipment Size None

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** September 20, 2011

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** October 5, 2011

### **Referenced Documents:**

n/a

#### **TRM Review Actions:**

• 10/5/11 – Currently Under Review.

#### **Major Changes:**

• n/a

**Description:** The Energy Study is an indirect impact product that offers Hawaii businesses with analysis services to identify energy saving opportunities. The goal of the energy study is to provide a method for commercial and industrial customers to learn how their business uses energy today and to identify measures that will help them save energy and reduce operating costs in the future. The focus is on a customer's core energy efficiency opportunities.

#### **Program Requirements:**

- Program approval is required prior to the start of work on the energy study
- The program reserves the right to review all materials that result from a program-supported study including, but not limited to, final reports, consultant recommendations, and metered data
- The study must be performed by a qualified person or firm. A brief summary of the consultant's qualifications should be submitted with the application. In some cases, a professional engineer may be required to provide verification of the analysis
- At any time, customers may contact program staff to discuss a project, get assistance in preparing an application, or with any program-related questions

### **Energy and Demand Savings:**

All assumptions, data and formulas used in energy efficiency calculations must be clearly documented. Standard engineering principles must be applied, and all references cited. Energy saving calculations



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

shall also reflect the interactive effects of other simultaneous technologies to prevent the overstatement of the actual savings.

#### **Savings Algorithms**

Gross energy and demand savings estimates for energy studies are calculated using engineering analysis and project-specific details. Energy study analyses typically include estimates of savings, costs, and an evaluation of the cost-effectiveness of potential projects/upgrades.

### **Energy Study**

The Energy Study shall include the following information and be presented in the following format:

- 1) Executive Summary
  - a) Energy Conservation Measures (ECMs) Proposed
  - b) Summary of Baseline and Enhanced Case Assumptions
  - c) Actionable Recommendations in "loading order."
- 2) Technical Information and Analysis
  - a) Energy Consumption Analysis
    - i) Two years of billing data (weatherized and compared to some pertinent operating metric)
  - b) Description of the project
  - c) Proposed Energy Conservation Measures (ECM)
    - i) Descriptive Name
    - ii) Schematic System Drawing
    - iii) Current Peak Demand (kW), Energy Usage (kWh), Effective Full Load Run Hours
    - iv) Proposed Peak Demand (kW), Energy Usage (kWh), Effective Full Load Run Hours
    - v) % Change for above
    - vi) Estimated Installation Cost
    - vii)Project timeline
    - viii) Measure Life
    - ix) Simple Payback
  - d) Base case information
    - i) Short term/spot baseline thermal, fluid, and electrical measurements for major equipment to be changed with ECMs
    - ii) Permanent metering data (This metering will qualify for additional cost assistance)
    - iii) Sizing/Performance Reviews (Pump Curves, Cooling Bin Data etc.)
  - e) Enhanced case information
    - i) How performance will be measured in the future.
    - ii) Description of where energy savings occurs (lower run time, more efficient operations etc.)
  - f) Estimated energy and demand savings associated with your proposed project
    - i) Applicable figures and tables
    - ii) Simple payback period and/or life cycle costs



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

- g) Estimated costs including design, materials, and installation
- 3) Appendix
  - a) Raw and Analyzed Data (Cooling Models, Field Data, Pictures, Metering Data etc.)
  - b) Building Plans (Mechanical, Electrical Schedules, Layouts etc.)

### **Incentives**

Incentives are limited to 50% of the cost of the study up to \$15,000



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## 4.5 HVAC - Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning Measures

### 4.5.1 Chillers

## HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE

**Equipment Group** HVAC **Equipment Type** Chiller

Equipment Subtype Air-cooled | Centrifugal | Positive Displacement

**Equipment Size** Various

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

**Draft Date** February 24, 2011 **Revision Date** April 12, 2016

**Review Date** 

#### **Referenced Documents:**

- Econorthwest TRM Review 6/23/10
- IECC 2006

### **TRM Review Actions:**

• 6/23/10 Rec. #23 – Utilize IECC 2006 Efficiencies as the Baseline Efficiency and Efficient Packaged

Unit 15% better than IECC 2006 - Adopted

• 6/23/10 Rec. #24 - break down the savings by chiller type and size. Conduct additional research for future program years to calibrate claimed savings for Hawaii customer base. - Adopted

### **Major Changes:**

- Chiller efficiency selected at 15% improvement over IECC 2006.
- 4/12/2016 Added second requirement of 15% improvement over IECC for full load efficiency (COP) in addition to 15% improvement over IECC for part load efficiency (IPLV) per requirements of IECC 2006. Qualifying chillers must meet both COP and IPLV efficiency requirements.

**Description:** The replacement of chillers with Energy Efficiency above the code efficiency values in place at the time of permitting the project. In multiple unit chiller plants, a review of operational chillers will be conducted to determine what fraction of installed chillers will be incentivized. This is to avoid paying for standby units.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## 4.5.1.1 Water Cooled Chiller Efficiency

## High Efficiency Chiller - 15% higher than IECC 2006

Table 4.5.1.a: IECC 2006 minimum kW/Ton vs. Hawai'i Energy efficiency

		IECC 2006 IPLV (kW/Ton)	Hawaii Energy Premium Efficiency (kW/Ton)
Reciprocating	All	0.70	0.61
Rotary Screw	< 150 tons	0.68	0.59
	150-300 tons	0.63	0.55
and Scroll	> 300 tons	0.57	0.50
	< 150 tons	0.67	0.58
Centrifugal	150-300 tons	0.60	0.52
	> 300 tons	0.55	0.48

## 4.5.1.2 Air Cooled Chiller Efficiency

## Table 4.5.1.b: Air Cooled Chiller Efficiency Requirements

#### 2006 IECC

2000 ILCC						
Equipment Type	Size	Min Eff	Туре	kW/ton	15% Better kW/ton	Test Procedure
Air cooled, with	< 150	2.80	СОР	1.256	1.068	
	tons	2.80	IPLV	1.256	1.068	ARI 550/590
condenser, electrically operated	>= 150	2.50	СОР	1.407	1.196	ANI 330/330
	tons	2.50	IPLV	1.407	1.196	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## 4.5.1.3 Water Cooled Energy Savings

## High Efficiency Chiller - 15% higher than IECC 2006 - Energy Reduction (kWh/Ton)

Table 4.5.1.c: Water Cooled Chiller Energy Savings

<b>Building Type</b>	Reciprocating	Rotary Screw or Scroll			Centrifugal		
	All	<150	150-300	>300	<150	150-300	>300
Misc. Commercial	312.5	303.6	281.2	254.4	299.1	267.8	245.5
Cold Storage	536.7	521.3	483.0	437.0	513.7	460.0	421.7
Education	307.9	299.1	277.1	250.7	294.7	263.9	241.9
Grocery	536.7	521.3	483.0	437.0	513.7	460.0	421.7
Health	435.7	423.3	392.1	354.8	417.0	373.5	342.3
Hotel/Motel	312.4	303.5	281.2	254.4	299.0	267.8	245.5
Industrial	435.7	423.3	392.1	354.8	417.0	373.5	342.3
Office	520.1	505.3	468.1	423.5	497.8	445.8	408.7
Restaurant	349.0	339.0	314.1	284.2	334.1	299.2	274.2
Retail	273.9	266.1	246.5	223.1	262.2	234.8	215.2
Warehouse	536.7	521.3	483.0	437.0	513.7	460.0	421.7

## 4.5.1.4 Air Cooled Energy Savings

Table 4.5.1.d: Air Cooled Chiller Energy Savings

Air Cooled Chiller Energy Savings (kWh/Ton)					
	Chiller <150	Chiller >=			
<b>Building Type</b>	tons	150 tons			
Misc. Commercial	559.5	627.9			
Cold Storage	960.9	1078.5			
Education	551.2	618.7			
Grocery	960.9	1078.5			
Health	780.1	875.6			
Hotel/Motel	559.3	627.8			
Industrial	780.1	875.6			
Office	931.3	1045.2			
Restaurant	624.9	701.4			
Retail	490.5	550.5			
Warehouse	960.9	1078.5			



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## 4.5.1.5 Water Cooled Demand Savings

Table 4.5.1.e: Water Cooled Chiller Demand Savings

## High Efficiency Chiller - 15% higher than IECC 2006 - Demand Reduction (kW/Ton)

Building Type	Reciprocating	Rotary Screw or Scroll			Centrifugal		
	All	<150	150-300	>300	<150	150-300	>300
Misc. Commercial	0.064	0.062	0.058	0.052	0.061	0.055	0.050
Cold Storage	0.072	0.070	0.065	0.059	0.069	0.062	0.057
Education	0.084	0.082	0.076	0.068	0.080	0.072	0.066
Grocery	0.056	0.054	0.050	0.045	0.053	0.048	0.044
Health	0.071	0.069	0.064	0.058	0.068	0.061	0.056
Hotel/Motel	0.055	0.053	0.049	0.044	0.052	0.047	0.043
Industrial	0.064	0.062	0.058	0.052	0.061	0.055	0.050
Office	0.048	0.047	0.043	0.039	0.046	0.041	0.038
Restaurant	0.056	0.054	0.050	0.045	0.053	0.048	0.044
Retail	0.069	0.067	0.062	0.056	0.066	0.059	0.054
Warehouse	0.063	0.061	0.057	0.051	0.060	0.054	0.050



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## 4.5.1.6 Air Cooled Demand Savings

Table 4.5.1.f: Air Cooled Chiller Demand Savings

Air Cooled Chiller Demand Savings (kW/Ton)					
	Chiller <150	Chiller >= 150			
<b>Building Type</b>	tons	tons			
Misc. Commercial	0.094	0.106			
Cold Storage	0.094	0.106			
Education	0.038	0.042			
Grocery	0.16	0.179			
Health	0.122	0.137			
Hotel/Motel	0.113	0.127			
Industrial	0.094	0.106			
Office	0.094	0.106			
Restaurant	0.141	0.158			
Retail	0.113	0.127			
Warehouse	0.085	0.095			

## **Measure Life**

20 years (DEER)



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 4.5.2 Conventional Air Conditioners and Condensing Units—Packaged/Split

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** HVAC

Equipment Type Packaged | Split

Equipment Subtype Air-cooled | Water/Evaporatively-cooled

Equipment Size <65,000 | >=65,000 <135,000 | >=135,000 <240,000 | >=240,000 <760,000 |

>=760,000

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** February 24, 2011

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** October 5, 2011

Unit of Measure:

One ton of cooling capacity

#### **Baseline equipment:**

Existing or Honolulu energy code-compliant conventional, packaged or split air conditioners and condensing units

#### Efficient equipment:

Conventional, packaged or split air conditioners and condensing units whose rated efficiency is better than baseline equipment rated or measured efficiency

#### Program criteria:

Eligible equipment shall have a minimum rated efficiency that is at least 15% higher than the energy code-compliant standard for equivalently-sized equipment

### ALGORITHMS<sup>2</sup>

$$\begin{split} & \Delta \textit{\textbf{P}}_{\textit{peak},\textit{perton}} & = \textit{CF} \times \left(12000 \frac{\textit{BTU}}{\textit{hr}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{\textit{base}}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{\textit{he}}}\right) \left(\frac{1 \, kW}{1000 \, W}\right) \\ & \Delta \textit{\textbf{E}}_{\textit{annual},\textit{perton}} & = \textit{HRS} \times \left(12000 \frac{\textit{BTU}}{\textit{hr}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{\textit{base}}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{\textit{he}}}\right) \left(\frac{1 \, kW}{1000 \, W}\right) \end{split}$$

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> HVAC equipment of this type may be specified by cooling capacity in BTU per hour, or in tons. 1 ton of cooling capacity is equal to 12,000 BTU/hr of cooling capacity. To determine total equipment energy savings, multiply the energy savings per ton by the total rated (nominal) cooling capacity of the proposed equipment.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.5.2.a

<b>DEFINITIONS &amp; ASS</b>	<u>UMPTIONS</u>	INPUT VALUES
$\Delta P$	Power demand reduction	Calculated
$\Delta E$	Energy reduction	Calculated
$\eta_{base}$	Baseline rated efficiency, BTU/hr-W, which depends on cooling capacity of proposed equipment. (S)EER	Table 4.5.3.b
$\eta_{he}$	Proposed higher efficiency rating, BTU/hr-W. (S)EER	Table 4.5.3.b
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time savings correspond with utility peak, 5 pm to 9 pm	Table 4.5.3.b <sup>3</sup>
HRS	equivalent full load cooling hours	Table 4.5.3.c <sup>4</sup>
ton	unit of equipment cooling capacity	12,000 BTU/hr
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	15 years

Table 4.5.2.b: Packaged/Split Air Conditioner Baseline and Minimum Required Efficiencies

Unit Size, BTU/hr	η <sub>base</sub> (S)EER	Minimum $\eta_{he}$ (S)EER $^{ ext{b}}$	kW ton <sub>base</sub>	$\frac{kW}{ton_{he}}$
<65,000 air cooled <sup>a</sup>	9.7	11.2	1.364	1.159
65,000-134,999 air cooled	10.3	11.8	1.165	0.990
135,000-239,999 air cooled	9.7	11.2	1.237	1.052
240,000-759,999 air cooled	9.5	10.9	1.263	1.074
>=760,000 air cooled	9.2	10.6	1.304	1.109
<65,000 water/evaporative cooling <sup>c</sup>	12.1	13.9	0.992	0.862
65,000-134,999 water/evaporative cooling	11.5	13.2	1.043	0.907
135,000-239,999 water/evaporative cooling	11	12.7	1.091	0.949
240,000-759,999 water/evaporative cooling	11	12.7	1.091	0.949

Source: 2006 International Energy Conservation Code, Table 503.2.3(1), full load rated efficiency baseline. Air-cooled equivalent kW/ton are as reported in the PY16 TRM.

Notes:

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Hawaii Energy TRM has published deemed unit savings tables by building type and cooling capacity range since 2011. These tables are likely derived from California DEER database simulated savings. Future TRM versions will consider utilizing literature values for CF and HOURS to calculate savings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See footnote 13.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

- a) Code efficiency for air-cooled packaged system applied as per direction from Econorthwest in 2011. Code compliant air-cooled split systems at this size are rated 10.0 SEER.
- b) Proposed measure efficiency is set 15% higher than baseline.
- c) water/evaporatively cooled a/c measures are newly differentiated

## **SAVINGS**

Table 4.5.2.c: Approved peak kW savings per ton by building type and cooling capacity (BTU/hr)

Building Type	<65,000	65,000	135,000	240,000	>=760,000
	BTU/hr	to	to	to	BTU/hr
		134,999	239,999	759,999	
Misc. Commercial	0.061	0.052	0.056	0.057	0.059
Cold Storage	0.102	0.087	0.093	0.095	0.098
Education	0.041	0.035	0.037	0.038	0.039
Grocery	0.174	0.149	0.158	0.161	0.166
Health	0.133	0.114	0.121	0.123	0.127
Hotel/Motel	0.123	0.105	0.111	0.114	0.117
Industrial	0.102	0.087	0.093	0.095	0.098
Office	0.102	0.087	0.093	0.095	0.098
Restaurant	0.153	0.131	0.139	0.142	0.147
Retail	0.123	0.105	0.111	0.114	0.117
Warehouse	0.092	0.079	0.084	0.085	0.088
Military	0.190	0.190	0.190	0.190	0.190

Table 4.5.2.d: Approved annual kWh savings per ton by building type and cooling capacity (BTU/hr)

Building Type	<65,000	65,000	135,000	240,000	>=760,000
	BTU/hr	to	to	to	BTU/hr
		134,999	239,999	759,999	
Misc. Commercial	608.7	520.1	552.2	563.9	582.3
Cold Storage	1045.4	893.2	948.5	968.4	1000.0
Education	599.7	512.4	544.1	555.5	573.7
Grocery	1045.4	893.2	948.5	968.4	1000.0
Health	848.8	725.2	770.0	786.2	811.9
Hotel/Motel	608.5	519.9	552.1	563.7	582.1
Industrial	848.8	725.2	770.0	786.2	811.9
Office	1013.2	865.7	919.2	938.6	969.2
Restaurant	679.9	580.9	616.8	629.8	650.3
Retail	533.6	455.9	484.1	494.3	510.4
Warehouse	1045.4	893.2	948.5	968.4	1000.0
Military	559.5	559.5	559.5	559.5	559.5



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## 4.5.3 VFD on Chilled Water/Condenser Water Pump; AHU

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** HVAC **Equipment Type** VFD

Equipment Subtype Air Handler Fan | Chilled Water Pump | Condenser Water Pump

**Equipment Size** None

### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date March 4, 2016
Revision Date May 19, 2016

**Review Date** 

## **Measure Description:**

Variable frequency drive and control installed on full speed pumps and fans, or damped fans, used in HVAC systems.

#### **Unit of Measure:**

One VFD

#### **Baseline equipment:**

A chilled water/condenser water motor/pump with no VFD. The pump/motor is assumed to be a 10 HP motor running at full power for 6000 hours per year.

### **Efficient equipment:**

Motor/pump with VFD installed.

### Program criteria:

- Require pre-notification before projects begin.
- The program reserves the right to perform on-site verifications, both pre- and post-installation.
- Existing equipment must not have a VFD. (i.e. incentives are not available for replacement)
- For existing facilities, motor HP must be between 3 and 100.
- For new facilities, motor HP must be between 3 and 50.
- The VFDs must actively control and vary the pump speed.

#### **ALGORITHMS**

$$\begin{split} \Delta \pmb{P_{peak,per\ HP}} &= \textit{CF} \times \left(\frac{\textit{LF} \times \textit{SF}_{power}}{\eta}\right) \times \left(1\ \textit{HP} \times \frac{0.746\ \textit{kW}}{1\ \textit{HP}}\right) \\ \Delta \pmb{E_{annual,per\ HP}} &= \textit{HRS} \times \left(\frac{\textit{LF} \times \textit{SF}_{energy}}{\eta}\right) \times \left(1\ \textit{HP} \times \frac{0.746\ \textit{kW}}{1\ \textit{HP}}\right) \end{split}$$



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.5.3.a

<b>DEFINITIONS &amp;</b>	<u>ASSUMPTIONS</u>	INPUT VALUES
$\Delta P$	Power reduction	Calculated
$\Delta E$	Energy reduction	Calculated
LF	Load factor (% of full load power in typical operation)	75%
η	Motor efficiency	92%
SF <sub>power</sub>	Power demand savings factor, %	Table 4.5.4.b
$SF_{energy}$	Energy savings factor, %	Table 4.5.4.b
CF	Coincidence factor, percent of time savings correspond with	
Cr	utility peak, 5 pm to 9 pm	Table 4.5.4.b
HRS	Hours of pump operation per year	Table 4.5.4.b
Measure Life	Expected duration of energy savings	15

Table 4.5.3.b: Assumptions for VFD Savings Calculations

Controlled Motor System	SF <sub>power</sub>	SF <sub>energy</sub>	PY2015 CF <sup>1</sup>	HOURS <sup>2</sup>	Calculated <sup>3</sup> $SF_{power} \times CF$
Chilled Water Pump	N/A	24.74%	1.63	6000	0.403
Condenser Water Pump	N/A	24.74%	1.63	6000	0.403
HVAC Fan	N/A	20.85%	1.58	3720	0.329

#### Notes:

- 1. Pump CF is derived in the PY2015 TRM assuming DSMIS deemed kW savings of 0.245 per HP and average kW savings for a 10 HP pump of 1.50.
- 2. Hours by Building Type are shown in Table 4.5.4.d.
- 3. In other TRM sources (e.g. PA TRM), VFD load savings factor differs from energy savings factor. Since both SF<sub>power</sub> and CF are unknowns for Hawaii Energy, we combine into one factor to derive the peak kW output correctly below.

## **SAVINGS**

Table 4.5.3.c

peak kW savings per pump HP	0.245
annual kWh savings per pump HP	902.7
peak kW savings per fan HP	0.200
annual kWh savings per fan HP	471.7



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.5.3.d: VFD AHU Energy and Demand Savings by Building Type

Building Type	Hours	Demand Savings (kW/HP)	Energy Savings (kWh/HP)
Misc. Commercial	3,720	0.20	471.69
Cold Storage	6,389	0.20	810.12
Education	3,665	0.20	464.72
Grocery	6,389	0.20	810.12
Health	5,187	0.20	657.71
Hotel/Motel	3,719	0.20	471.57
Industrial	5,187	0.20	657.71
Office	6,192	0.20	785.14
Restaurant	4,155	0.20	526.85
Retail	3,261	0.20	413.49
Warehouse	6,389	0.20	810.12

Source: Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY 2015, July 1 2015-June 30, 2016. Measure Savings Calculations p 112



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 4.5.4 Variable Refrigerant Flow (VRF) Air Conditioners and Heat Pumps: Packaged/Split

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** HVAC **Equipment Type** Split

Equipment Subtype Air cooled | Water/Evaporatively-cooled

**Equipment Size** Varies

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** March 4, 2016

Revision Date Review Date

## **Measure Description:**

Inverter driven variable refrigerant flow (VRF) air conditioning systems are direct expansion AC systems that utilize variable speed evaporator/condenser fans, and a combination of fixed and variable speed compressors along with most often multiple individual zone evaporators to provide the ability to more closely match the AC system's output with the building's cooling requirements.

#### Unit of Measure:

One ton of cooling capacity

#### **Baseline equipment:**

Existing or Honolulu energy code-compliant conventional, packaged or split air conditioners and condensing units

### Efficient equipment:

Air conditioning systems featuring a single outdoor unit, simultaneously serving multiple indoor zones with a variable-speed compressor, and labeled by the manufacturer as VRF. The proposed equipment rated efficiency is better than baseline equipment rated or measured efficiency.

#### Program criteria:

Eligible equipment shall have a minimum rated efficiency that is at least 15% higher than the energy code-compliant standard for equivalently-sized equipment

### ALGORITHMS<sup>5</sup>

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> HVAC equipment of this type may be specified by cooling capacity in BTU per hour, or in tons. 1 ton of cooling capacity is equal to 12,000 BTU/hr of cooling capacity. To determine total equipment energy savings, multiply the energy savings per ton by the total rated (nominal) cooling capacity of the proposed equipment.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

peak kW savings per ton =(12,000 BTU/hr capacity\*[1/(S)EER\_b-1/(S)EER\_ee]\*CF)/1000 W/kW
annual kWh savings per ton =(12,000 BTU/hr capacity\*[1/(S)EER\_b-1/(S)EER\_ee]\*HOURS)/1000 W/kW

Table 4.5.4.a

<b>DEFINITIONS &amp;</b>	ASSUMPTIONS	INPUT	Notes
		VALUES	
(S)EER_b	Baseline rated efficiency, BTU/hr-	Table	Units less than 65,000 BTU/hr are
	W, which depends on cooling	4.5.5.b	rated in SEER rather than EER.
	capacity of proposed equipment.		Hawaii Energy assumes Honolulu
			code IECC 2006
(S)EER_ee	Proposed measure rated	Table	Units less than 65,000 BTU/hr are
	efficiency, BTU/hr-W.	4.5.5.b	rated in SEER rather than EER.
			Hawaii Energy applies a default
			15% better than code qualifying
			efficiency.
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time	Table 3.1	
	savings correspond with utility		
	peak, 5 pm to 9 pm		
HOURS	equivalent full load cooling hours	Table 3.2	
ton	unit of equipment cooling capacity	12,000	
		BTU/hr	
Measure Life	expected duration of energy	15 years	
	savings		

Table 4.5.4.b: VRF Air Conditioning Systems, Baseline and Minimum Program Efficiencies

Unit Size, BTU/hr	90.1 2010 (S)EER b	recommended (S)EER ee	90.1 2010	recommended IEER ee
	(3)LLI\_5	(3)EER_CC	IEER_b	ILLIN_CC
<65,000 a/c air cooled	13	15.0	N/A	N/A
65,000-134,999 a/c air cooled	11.2	12.9	13.1	15.1
135,000-239,999 a/c air cooled	11	12.7	12.9	14.8
>=240,000 a/c air cooled	10	11.5	11.6	13.3
<65,000 heat pump <sup>2</sup> air cooled	13	15.0	N/A	N/A
65,000-134,999 heat pump air cooled	10.8	12.4	12.7	14.6
135,000-239,999 heat pump air cooled	10.4	12.0	12.1	13.9



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

>=240,000 heat pump air	9.5	10.9	11.0	12.7
cooled				
<65,000 water source	N/A		N/A	
65,000-134,999 water source	N/A		N/A	
135,000-239,999 water source	N/A		N/A	
240,000-759,999 water source	N/A		N/A	

Source: ANSI/ASHRAE/IES Standard 90.1 2010, Table 6.8.1J

Notes:

- These efficiencies are as defined by the noted source to be considered for future program years. The Hawaii Energy PY7 TRM does not assign minimum efficiency requirements to VRFs. A bonus 20% energy savings are added on top of packaged/split a/c approved savings per ton. Proposed measure efficiency is set 15% higher than baseline. 2015 IECC requires VRF to exceed minimum standard efficiencies by 10%.
- 2) VRF multi-split a/c and heat pump systems are newly differentiated. According to Hawaii Energy Program staff, no water source heat pumps and negligible air source heat pumps have been submitted to the program.

#### **SAVINGS**

Table 4.5.4.c: Peak kW Savings/Ton by Building Type and Equipment Size (BTU/hr)

			135,000		
		65,000 to	to		
Building Type	<65,000	134,999	239,999	>=240,000	>=760,000
Misc. Commercial	0.074	0.063	0.067	0.068	0.070
Cold Storage	0.123	0.105	0.111	0.114	0.117
Education	0.049	0.042	0.045	0.045	0.047
Grocery	0.209	0.178	0.189	0.193	0.200
Health	0.160	0.136	0.145	0.148	0.153
Hotel/Motel	0.147	0.126	0.134	0.136	0.141
Industrial	0.123	0.105	0.111	0.114	0.117
Office	0.123	0.105	0.111	0.114	0.117
Restaurant	0.184	0.157	0.167	0.171	0.176
Retail	0.147	0.126	0.134	0.136	0.141
Warehouse	0.110	0.094	0.100	0.102	0.106

Table 4.5.4.d: Annual kWh Savings/Ton by Building Type and Equipment Size (BTU/hr)

			135,000		
		65,000 to	to		
Building Type	<65,000	134,999	239,999	>=240,000	>=760,000
Misc. Commercial	730.4	624.1	662.6	676.7	698.8
Cold Storage	1254.5	1071.8	1138.2	1162.1	1200.0
Education	719.6	614.9	652.9	666.6	688.4
Grocery	1254.5	1071.8	1138.2	1162.1	1200.0



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Health	1018.6	870.2	924.0	943.4	974.3
Hotel/Motel	730.2	623.9	662.5	676.4	698.5
Industrial	1018.6	870.2	924.0	943.4	974.3
Office	1215.8	1038.8	1103.0	1126.3	1163.0
Restaurant	815.9	697.1	740.2	755.8	780.4
Retail	640.3	547.1	580.9	593.2	612.5
Warehouse	1254.5	1071.8	1138.2	1162.1	1200.0



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.5.5 Hotel Room Energy Management System (EMS) Controls

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** HVAC **Equipment Type** Controls

**Equipment Subtype** Guest Room Energy Management System

**Equipment Size** None

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date Revision Date Review Date

#### **Unit of Measure:**

**Number of Rooms** 

#### **Baseline equipment:**

No EMS controls

#### Efficient equipment:

**Room EMS controls** 

#### Program criteria:

- All entry and lanai doors must have door switches or other technologies that will de-energize the fan coil unit (FCU) when the door remains open.
- All main rooms must have occupancy sensors that will de-energize the FCU when no movement is detected for a given period of time (not to exceed 15 minutes).
- Thermostat controls must be preset.
- Applicant must be on a Commercial Rate Schedule (reference utility bill).

#### **ALGORITHMS**

peak kW savings per room =0.10kW/room\*#ROOMS

annual kWh savings per room =750kWh/room\*#ROOMS

#### Table 4.5.5.a

<u>DEFINITIONS &amp; ASSUMPTIONS</u>		INPUT VALUES	Notes
kW/room	deemed demand savings per hotel room/unit	0.100	kW



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

kWh/room deemed energy savings per hotel room/unit		750.00	kWh
#ROOMS	input number of rooms controlled by installed EMS system		rooms
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	8	years

### **SAVINGS**

Table 4.5.5.b: Savings per Hotel Room EMS Controls

	Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh/yr)
Hotel Room EMS Controls	0.100	750.00



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 4.6 Lighting Measures

#### 4.6.1 Compact Fluorescent Lighting (CFL)

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Lighting

**Equipment Type** Fluorescent: CFL

Equipment Subtype None Equipment Size Various

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** February 24, 2011

Revision Date Review Date

#### **Referenced Documents:**

- Econorthwest TRM Review 6/23/10
- The California Energy Commission California Commercial End Use Summary <a href="http://www.energy.ca.gov/ceus/">http://www.energy.ca.gov/ceus/</a>
- DEER The Database for Energy Efficient Resources
- Evergreen TRM Review 2/23/12
- Evergreen TRM Review 1/15/14

#### **TRM Review Actions:**

- 6/23/10 Rec. 15 For PY 2010, revise lighting hours of operation and peak coincidence factors, conduct additional research to evaluate the assumed hours of operation and coincidence factor for Hawaii customer base. - Adopted
- 6/23/10 Rec. # 16 Consider developing commercial CFL measure categories by lamp size Adopted.
- 10/5/11 Currently Under Review.
- 8/1/12 Added military housing CFL algorithm.

#### **Major Changes:**

- Wholesale replacement of prior TRM using DEER operational data and CEUS Commercial CFL Data
- Added interactive effect factors for energy and demand Table 3.

#### **Description:**



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

A compact fluorescent lamp is a type of fluorescent lamp. Many CFL's are designed to replace an incandescent lamp and can fit in the existing light fixtures formerly used for incandescent lamps. CFLs typically replace 100 watts or less of incandescent.

CFL retrofit savings are determined by the delta wattage between the incandescent and CFL lamp, annual hours of operation, and the percent of peak period the lamps are on. The average delta wattage is typically a readily available value. The annual hours, persistence factor and peak percent are utilized based on DEER data.

Although the breakdown of lamp sizes installed is reasonable, the savings for this measure could be broken up based on lamp size. This would allow greater flexibility in matching claimed savings to actual projects completed. Savings for each wattage category are based on the savings for typical CFL lighting replacement projects from DEER, with the DEER wattage categories are shown below:

Table 4.6.1.a

CFL Wattage Reduction

	CFL Wattage Reduction			
	< 16W   16-26W   > 26V			
Average Savings (W)	32	39.5	46	

**Energy Savings:** (see Table 3.2 for Interactive Effect):



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.6.1.b

	CFL Energy Reduction			
<b>Building Type</b>	< 16W	16-26W	> 26W	
All Commercial	131.5	162.3	189.0	
Misc. Commercial	131.5	162.3	189.0	
Cold Storage	126.5	156.1	181.8	
Education	80.7	99.6	115.9	
Grocery	177.0	218.5	254.5	
Health	196.8	242.9	282.9	
Hotel/Motel	150.2	185.4	215.9	
Misc. Industrial	130.4	161.0	187.5	
Office	85.4	105.4	122.7	
Restaurant	160.5	198.1	230.6	
Retail	128.0	158.0	184.0	
Warehouse	126.5	156.1	181.8	

Military Housing CFL energy savings: 46.2 kWh

Table 4.6.1.c

Military Residential Values	kWh/year	kW
CFLs	46.2	0.004

**Demand Savings:** (see Table 3.2 for Interactive Effect):



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.6.1.d

	CFL Demand Reduction			
<b>Building Type</b>	< 16W	16-26W	> 26W	
All Commercial	0.016	0.020	0.023	
Misc. Commercial	0.010	0.012	0.014	
Cold Storage	0.016	0.020	0.023	
Education	0.006	0.008	0.009	
Grocery	0.027	0.034	0.039	
Health	0.021	0.026	0.030	
Hotel/Motel	0.019	0.024	0.028	
Misc. Industrial	0.016	0.020	0.023	
Office	0.016	0.020	0.023	
Restaurant	0.024	0.030	0.035	
Retail	0.019	0.024	0.028	
Warehouse	0.014	0.018	0.021	

Military Housing CFL demand savings: 0.004 kW

### **Measure Life**

3 years (DEER)



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.6.2 Fluorescent Delamping

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Lighting

**Equipment Type** Fluorescent: Delamping with Reflectors | Delamping without Reflectors

**Equipment Subtype** None

**Equipment Size** 2-ft lamp | 3-ft lamp | 4-ft lamp | 8-ft lamp

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** February 24, 2011 **Revision Date** May 19, 2016

**Review Date** 

**Measure Description:** 

The ballasts are re-wired for de-lamping.

**Unit of Measure:** 

One lamp

**Baseline equipment:** 

T8 lamp operating in an existing multi-lamp fixture that is a candidate for delamping

Efficient equipment:

Permanently removed T8 lamp in a retrofitted fixture that may include a new reflector

Program criteria:

Removal of tombstone (lamp holder) required for each lamp removed

#### **ALGORITHMS**

kW savings per lamp =delta\_W/1000\*IF\_d\*CF\*PF

kWh savings per lamp =delta\_W/1000\*IF\_e\*EFLH\*PF

delta\_W =W\_b-W\_ee

#### Table 4.6.2.a

<b>DEFINITION</b>	S & ASSUMPTIONS	
delta_W	difference between baseline and proposed efficient lamp	Table 4.6.2.b
	wattage	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

W_b	wattage of the baseline lamp	Table 4.6.2.b
W_ee	wattage of the proposed efficient lamp	Table 4.6.2.b
IF_d	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling load	Table 3.2
IF_e	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling energy	Table 32
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time equipment load corresponds with utility peak load	Table 3.1
PF	persistence factor, % of measures installed and operating	100%
EFLH	equivalent full load hours, or hours of lighting for business operation	Table 3.1
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	Table 4.6.2.b

Table 4.6.2.b: Delamping Measure Assumed Wattage Reductions

Lighting Type	Size	Measure Life	Delta_W
Delamping with Reflectors	2' Lamp	14	18.5
Delamping with Reflectors	8' Lamp	14	77
Delamping with Reflectors	4' Lamp	14	34.5
Delamping without Reflectors	8' Lamp	14	77
Delamping without Reflectors	4' Lamp	14	34.5
Delamping without Reflectors	2' Lamp	14	18.5

Source: Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY2015, July 1 2015-June 30 2016, pp.78-105.

#### **SAVINGS**<sup>6</sup>

Table 4.6.2.c: Delamping Measure Demand Savings per Lamp Removed, No Reflector

Approved Delamping, No Reflector	Demand Savings (kW)			
Building Type	2' Lamp	3' Lamp	4' Lamp	8' Lamp
Misc. Commercial	0.006	0.008	0.010	0.023
Cold Storage	0.009	0.014	0.017	0.039
Education	0.004	0.006	0.007	0.015
Grocery	0.016	0.023	0.029	0.065

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Demand and energy savings per lamp estimates as reported and approved in the Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY2015, July 1 2015-June 30 2016. These are applied as deemed values to estimate lighting savings for the current program year.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Health	0.012	0.018	0.022	0.050
Hotel/Motel	0.011	0.017	0.021	0.046
Industrial	0.009	0.014	0.017	0.039
Office	0.009	0.014	0.017	0.039
Restaurant	0.014	0.021	0.026	0.058
Retail	0.011	0.017	0.021	0.046
Warehouse	0.008	0.012	0.016	0.035

Table 4.6.2.d: Delamping Measure Energy Savings per Lamp Removed, No Reflector

Approved Delamping No Reflector	Energy Savings (kWh/year)			
Building Type	2' Lamp	3' Lamp	4' Lamp	8' Lamp
Misc. Commercial	80.0	118.9	149.2	333.0
Cold Storage	77.0	114.4	143.5	320.3
Education	49.1	73.0	91.5	204.3
Grocery	107.7	160.2	200.9	448.4
Health	119.8	178.0	223.4	498.5
Hotel/Motel	91.4	135.9	170.5	380.5
Industrial	79.4	118.0	148.0	330.3
Office	51.9	77.2	96.9	216.2
Restaurant	97.6	145.1	182.1	406.4
Retail	77.9	115.8	145.2	324.2
Warehouse	77.0	114.4	143.5	320.3

Table 4.6.2.e: Delamping Measure Demand Savings per Lamp Removed, with Reflector

Approved Delamping with Reflectors	Demand Savings (kW)			
Building Type	2' Lamp	3' Lamp	4' Lamp	8' Lamp
Misc. Commercial	0.006	0.008	0.010	0.023
Cold Storage	0.009	0.014	0.017	0.039
Education	0.004	0.006	0.007	0.015
Grocery	0.016	0.023	0.029	0.065
Health	0.012	0.018	0.022	0.050
Hotel/Motel	0.011	0.017	0.021	0.046
Industrial	0.009	0.014	0.017	0.039
Office	0.009	0.014	0.017	0.039
Restaurant	0.014	0.021	0.026	0.058
Retail	0.011	0.017	0.021	0.046
Warehouse	0.008	0.012	0.016	0.035



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.6.2.f: Delamping Measure Energy Savings per Lamp Removed, with Reflector

Approved Delamping with Reflectors	Energy Savings (kWh/year)			
Building Type	2' Lamp	3' Lamp	4' Lamp	8' Lamp
Misc. Commercial	80.0	118.9	149.2	333.0
Cold Storage	77.0	114.4	143.5	320.3
Education	49.1	73.0	91.5	204.3
Grocery	107.7	160.2	200.9	448.4
Health	119.8	178.0	223.4	498.5
Hotel/Motel	91.4	135.9	170.5	380.5
Industrial	79.4	118.0	148.0	330.3
Office	51.9	77.2	96.9	216.2
Restaurant	97.6	145.1	182.1	406.4
Retail	77.9	115.8	145.2	324.2
Warehouse	77.0	114.4	143.5	320.3



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.6.3 Linear Fluorescent Lamps

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Lighting

Equipment Type Fluorescent: T12 to 28W LWT8 | T12 to Standard T8 | T8 to 28W LWT8

**Equipment Subtype** None

**Equipment Size** 2-ft lamp | 3-ft lamp | 4-ft lamp | 8-ft lamp

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** February 24, 2011 **Revision Date** May 19, 2016

**Review Date** 

#### **Unit of Measure:**

One lamp

#### **Baseline equipment:**

Less-efficient linear fluorescent lamp operating in an existing fixture or specified for a new fixture

#### Efficient equipment:

High performance or low wattage (28W or lower) T8 fluorescent lamp installed and operating in a new or existing fixture

#### Program criteria:

Lamp requirements:

- Both T8 lamps and electronic ballasts must be installed.
- 265 mA lamps in lengths of 2 and 3 ft. (whether straight or U- bend)
- HO (high output) 400 mA lamps will also be accepted.
- Lamp efficacy must be > 82 lumens per watt.
- 20,000-hour lamp life (3 hours per start) lamps.
- Minimum Color Rendering Index (CRI) of 75.

#### Ballast requirements:

- Operate at 20 kHz or greater.
- Rated a Class P thermal protection.
- Rated a Class A sound level.
- Have a minimum power factor of 90%.
- Have a maximum of 1.7 crest factor.
- Have a total harmonic distortion (THD) not to exceed 20% (equal to magnetic ballasts).
- Comply with FCC Rules and Regulations, Part 18 for both EMI and RFI.
- Have a reduced lamp flicker maximum of 10%.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### LW T8 Fluorescent requirements:

- Must be 25-watt or 28-watt and qualified under CEE.
- Initial lamp efficacy must be > 93 lumens per watt.

#### T8 High Performance Electronic Ballast requirements:

• Ballast must be qualified under CEE Specifications. Visit www.cee1.org for list of qualifying lamps and ballasts.

#### SAVINGS<sup>7</sup>

#### 4.6.3.1 Approved T12 to T8 with electronic ballast

Table 4.6.3.a: T12 to Standard T8 Lamp Demand Savings per Lamp by Building Type and Lamp Size

	Demand Savings (kW)			
Building Type	2' Lamp	3' Lamp	4' Lamp	8' Lamp
Misc. Commercial	0.002	0.004	0.006	0.012
Cold Storage	0.004	0.007	0.010	0.020
Education	0.002	0.003	0.004	0.008
Grocery	0.007	0.011	0.016	0.034
Health	0.005	0.008	0.013	0.026
Hotel/Motel	0.005	0.008	0.012	0.024
Industrial	0.004	0.007	0.010	0.020
Office	0.004	0.007	0.010	0.020
Restaurant	0.006	0.010	0.014	0.030
Retail	0.005	0.008	0.012	0.024
Warehouse	0.004	0.006	0.009	0.018

Table 4.6.3.b: T12 to Standard T8 Energy Savings per Lamp by Building Type and Lamp Size

	Energy Savings (kWh/year)			
Building Type	2' Lamp	3' Lamp	4' Lamp	8' Lamp
Misc. Commercial	35.9	56.4	83.2	170.8
Cold Storage	34.5	54.3	80.0	164.3
Education	22.0	34.6	51.0	104.8
Grocery	48.3	76.0	112	230.0
Health	53.7	84.5	124.5	255.7

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Demand and energy savings per lamp estimates as reported and approved in the Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY2015, July 1 2015-June 30 2016. These are applied as deemed values to estimate lighting savings for the current program year.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Hotel/Motel	41.0	64.5	95.0	195.2
Industrial	35.6	56.0	82.5	169.5
Office	23.3	36.6	54.0	110.9
Restaurant	43.8	68.9	101.5	208.5
Retail	34.9	54.9	81.0	166.3
Warehouse	34.5	54.3	80.0	164.3

### 4.6.3.2 T12 to T8 Low Wattage

Table 4.6.3.c: T12 to 28W or Low Wattage T8 Savings per Lamp by Building Type

Building Type	Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh/year)
Misc. Commercial	0.005	78.1
Cold Storage	0.009	75.1
Education	0.004	47.9
Grocery	0.015	105.1
Health	0.012	116.9
Hotel/Motel	0.011	89.2
Industrial	0.009	77.4
Office	0.009	50.7
Restaurant	0.014	95.3
Retail	0.011	76.0
Warehouse	0.008	75.1

Table 4.6.3.d: T12 to Low Wattage T8 Savings per Lamp by Building Type

Building Type	Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh/yr)
Misc. Commercial	0.005	21.6
Cold Storage	0.009	37.4
Education	0.004	10.6
Grocery	0.015	87.4
Health	0.012	77.7
Hotel/Motel	0.011	54.4
Industrial	0.009	38.6
Office	0.009	25.3
Restaurant	0.014	73.9
Retail	0.011	46.3
Warehouse	0.008	33.3



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.6.4 Linear LED Lamps

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Lighting

**Equipment Type** LED: Linear Type A | Linear Type B | Linear Type C

**Equipment Subtype** None

**Equipment Size** 2-ft. Lamp | 4-ft. Lamp

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** November 30, 2011

Revision Date Review Date

#### **Unit of Measure:**

One lamp

#### **Baseline equipment:**

Representative average lamp blending fluorescent T12 and T8 wattage rounded to the nearest hundredth.

Table 4.6.4.a

	Lamp	Watts	%Blend
2' Linear T8 or T12 baseline	T12	21.00	20%
	T8	15.00	80%
	Weighted baseline	16.20	
4' Linear T8 or T12 baseline	T12	42.00	20%
	T8	29.58	80%
	Weighted baseline	32.06	•

Watts Source: Standard market available lamps

Blend Source: Program estimate

#### Efficient equipment:

LED linear replacement lamp

Type A installation: Ballast left in place, reduced savings due to losses.

Type B installation: Bypassing fluorescent ballast and utilizing internal LED driver.

Type C installation: Removing fluorescent ballast and utilizing an external driver; more efficient than the

Type B internal driver, however also consumes a little more power.

Therefore, this Program assumes Types B & C energy and demand savings to be equivalent. However, a Type C installation is preferred for longevity due to use of an external LED driver and complete removal of the fluorescent ballast. This is the reason for the increased incentive level for Type C installations.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### Program criteria:

All LED lamps and fixtures must be listed by ENERGY STAR®, Design Lights Consortium (DLC) or LED Lighting Facts®.

#### **ALGORITHMS**

**kW** savings per lamp =delta\_W/1000\*IF\_d\*CF\*PF

**kWh** savings per lamp =delta\_W/1000\*IF\_e\*EFLH\*PF

delta\_W =W\_b-W\_ee

Table 4.6.4.b

Tuble 4.0.4.b		
DEFINITION	S & ASSUMPTIONS <sup>8</sup>	
delta_W	difference between baseline and proposed efficient lamp wattage	Table 4.6.4.c
W_b	wattage of the baseline lamp	Table 4.6.4.c
W_ee	wattage of the proposed efficient lamp	Table 4.6.4.c
IF_d	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling load	Table 3.2
IF_e	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling energy	Table 3.2
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time equipment load corresponds with utility peak load	Table 3.1
PF	persistence factor, % of measures installed and operating	100%
EFLH	equivalent full load hours, or hours of lighting for business operation	Table 3.1
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	15

Table 4.6.4.c: Power Demand Reduction Calculation

Installation Type	Size	W_b	W_ee	delta_W
Type A	4' lamp	32.06	17.50	14.56
Type A	2' lamp	16.20	10.50	5.70
Type D	4' lamp	32.06	15.00	17.06
Type B	2' lamp	16.20	8.50	7.70
Type C	4' lamp	32.06	15.00	17.06

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> As reported and approved in the Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY2015, July 1 2015-June 30 2016.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

2' lamp	15.00	16.20	8.50

### **SAVINGS**

Table 4.6.4.d: Linear LED Power Demand Savings by Building Type, Lamp Size, & Installation Type

	Demand Savings (kW)										
Building Type		2 ft.		4 ft.							
	Type A	Туре В	Type C	Type A	Туре В	Type C					
Misc. Commercial	0.00184	0.00248	0.00248	0.00470	0.00550	0.00550					
Cold Storage	0.00348	0.00470	0.00470	0.00888	0.01041	0.01041					
Education	0.00118	0.00160	0.00160 0.00729	0.00303	0.00355	0.00355 0.01615					
Grocery	0.00540	0.00729		0.01379	0.01615						
Health	0.00457	0.00617	0.00617	0.01167	0.01367	0.01367					
Hotel/Motel	0.00423	0.00571	0.00571	0.01080	0.01265	0.01265					
Industrial	0.00306	0.00413	0.00413	0.00782	0.00916	0.00916					
Office	0.00314	0.00424	0.00424	0.00802	0.00940	0.00940					
Restaurant	0.00459	0.00620	0.00620	0.01172	0.01373	0.01373					
Retail	0.00371	0.00501	0.00501	0.00948	0.01111	0.01111					
Warehouse	0.00270	0.00365	0.00365	0.00690	0.00808	0.00808					

Table 4.6.4.e: Linear LED Energy Savings by Building Type, Lamp Size, & Installation Type

	Energy Savings (kWh/year)									
Building Type		2 ft.			4 ft.					
	Type A	Туре В	Type C	Type A	Туре В	Type C				
Misc. Commercial	26.03	35.17	35.17	66.50	77.92	77.92				
Cold Storage	33.74	45.58	45.58	86.19	100.99	100.99				
Education	16.04	21.67	21.67	40.98	48.02	48.02				
Grocery	34.62	46.77	46.77	88.44	103.63	103.63				
Health	41.40	55.93	55.93	105.76	123.92	123.92				
Hotel/Motel	31.40	42.42	42.42	80.21	93.99	93.99				
Industrial	25.50	34.45	34.45	65.15	76.33	76.33				
Office	17.09	23.09	23.09	43.66	51.16	51.16				
Restaurant	31.62	42.71	42.71	80.77	94.63	94.63				
Retail	25.29	34.17	34.17	64.61	75.70	75.70				
Warehouse	24.16	32.64	32.64	61.72	72.32	72.32				

4.6.5 Non-Linear LED Lamps



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Lighting

Equipment Type LED: MR16 | LED: Omni-Directional | LED: PAR20 | LED: PAR30 | LED: PAR38

**Equipment Subtype** None

**Equipment Size** Pin Base | Screw Base (for Omni-Directional only)

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** October 31, 2016,

**Revision Date** April, 2017

**Review Date** 

**Unit of Measure:** 

One lamp

**Baseline equipment:** 

Blended incandescent/compact fluorescent representative lamp as defined by baseline lamp wattage and percent contribution to blended baseline, in table below

**Efficient equipment:** 

**LED** lamp

Program criteria:

Incentivized LED lamps must be Energy Star labeled, LED Lighting Facts listed or Design Lights Consortium (DLC) listed.

#### **ALGORITHMS**

$$\Delta P_{ndim} = P_{base} - P_{LED}$$

$$\Delta P_{dim} = P_{base} - (1 - 0.36)P_{LED}$$

$$\Delta P_{blend} = \%_{dim}(\Delta P_{dim}) + \%_{ndim}(\Delta P_{ndim})$$

kW savings per lamp  $= \Delta P_{blend} \times IF_d \times CF \times PF$ 

kWh savings per lamp  $= \Delta P_{blend} \times HRS \times IF_e \times PF$ 



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.6.5.a

DEFINITIONS 8	& ASSUMPTIONS		
$\Delta P_{ndim}$	Power demand difference between non-dimmable and baseline	Table 4.6.5.c	kW
P <sub>base</sub>	wattage of the baseline lamp	Table 4.6.5.b	kW
P <sub>LED</sub>	wattage of the proposed efficient lamp	Table 4.6.5.b	kW
$\Delta P_{dim}$	Power demand difference between dimmable and baseline 0.36 => dimmable demand reduction, 2015 PA TRM Table 3-5	Table 4.6.5.c	kW
$\Delta P_{blend}$	Power demand difference between blended and baseline	Table 4.6.5.c	kW
%dim	Percentage of LEDs that are dimmable	Table 4.6.5.c	%
%ndim	Percentage of LEDs that are non-dimmable	Table 4.6.5.c	%
IF <sub>d</sub>	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling load	Table 3.2	
IF <sub>e</sub>	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling energy	Table 3.2	
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time equipment load corresponds with utility peak load	Table 4.6.5.d	%
PF	persistence factor, % of measures installed and operating	100	%
HRS	equivalent full load hours, or hours of lighting for business operation	Table 4.6.5.d	hrs
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	15	yrs

### **SAVINGS**

Table 4.6.5.b: Power Demand Calculation

Lamp	Base Case Incandescent Demand (kW)	Percent Incandescent Base	Base Case CFL Demand (kW)	Percent CFL Base	Base Mix Demand (kW)	Enhanced Case LED Demand (kW)
MR16	0.0500	100%	n/a	0%	0.0500	0.0065
PAR20 8 deg.	0.0600	80%	0.0150	20%	0.0510	0.0086
PAR20 25 deg.	0.0550	80%	0.0130	20%	0.0466	0.0090
PAR30 Short Neck <sup>1</sup>	0.0750	80%	0.0200	20%	0.0640	0.0163
PAR30 Long Neck <sup>1</sup>	0.0750	80%	0.0200	20%	0.0640	0.0163
PAR38 25 deg.	0.0750	80%	0.0200	20%	0.0640	0.0203
A-19	0.0600	20%	0.0150	80%	0.0240	0.0078

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm 1}$  PAR30 lamp savings used for short neck, long neck, and approved recessed-can retrofit kit

Table 4.6.5.c: Power Demand Reductions

Lamp	N-dim Demand Reduction (kW)	%N-Dim	Dim Demand Reduction (kW)	%Dim	Blended Demand Reduction (kW)
MR16	0.0435	34%	0.0458	66%	0.0450
PAR20 8 deg.	0.0424	92%	0.0455	8%	0.0426



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

PAR20 25 deg.	0.0376	92%	0.0408	8%	0.0379
PAR30 Short Neck <sup>1</sup>	0.0477	73%	0.0536	27%	0.0493
PAR30 Long Neck <sup>1</sup>	0.0477	73%	0.0536	27%	0.0493
PAR38 25 deg.	0.0437	61%	0.0510	39%	0.0466
A-19	0.0162	88%	0.0190	12%	0.0165



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.6.5.d: Energy & Power Demand Savings

						Bler	nded Commerc	ial Lighting	1			
			MR16		PAR	20	PAR30 S Neck/Lon		PAR38 2	5 deg.	A-19	
Building Type	Hours of Operation <sup>1</sup>	Peak Coincidence Factor <sup>2</sup>	Energy Savings (kWh/year)	Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh/year)	Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh/year)	Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh/year)	Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh/year)	Demand Savings (kW)
Misc.												
Commercial	4,325	0.30	205.73	0.015	183.85	0.013	225.09	0.016	212.60	0.015	75.53	0.005
Cold Storage	4,160	0.50	266.65	0.027	238.29	0.025	291.75	0.030	275.56	0.028	97.89	0.010
Education	2,653	0.20	126.79	0.009	113.31	0.008	138.73	0.010	131.03	0.010	46.55	0.003
Grocery	5,824	0.85	273.62	0.043	244.52	0.038	299.37	0.047	282.77	0.044	100.45	0.016
Health	6,474	0.65	327.19	0.036	292.39	0.032	357.99	0.039	338.13	0.037	120.12	0.013
Hotel/Motel	4,941	0.60	248.16	0.033	221.76	0.030	271.52	0.037	256.45	0.035	91.11	0.012
Misc. Industrial	4,290	0.50	201.55	0.024	180.11	0.022	220.52	0.026	208.29	0.025	73.99	0.009
Office	2,808	0.50	135.09	0.025	120.72	0.022	147.80	0.027	139.60	0.026	49.59	0.009
Restaurant	5,278	0.75	249.87	0.036	223.29	0.032	273.39	0.040	258.22	0.037	91.73	0.013
Retail	4,210	0.60	199.88	0.029	178.62	0.026	218.69	0.032	206.56	0.030	73.38	0.011
Warehouse	4,160	0.45	190.95	0.021	170.64	0.019	208.92	0.023	197.33	0.022	70.10	0.008

<sup>1</sup> The Database for Energy Efficient Resources (DEER)

2California Commercial End Use Summary (CEUS)



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.6.6 LED Corn Cob

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Lighting

**Equipment Type** LED: Corn Cob

**Equipment Subtype** None

**Equipment Size** <150W | 150W-249W | 250W-399W | 400W-499W

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

**Draft Date** 

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** 

#### **Unit of Measure:**

LED Corn Cob unit

#### **Baseline equipment:**

Metal halide lamp

Table 4.6.11.a

Existing Lamp Size		Excel MH	LED	Wattage	
	Range	Baseline	Wattage	Reduction	
	50-100	93	20	73	Watts
	150-175	173	36	137	Watts
	250	295	54	241	Watts
	400	456	106	350	Watts

https://www.xcelenergy.com/staticfiles/xe/Marketing/MN-Bus-Lighting-Input-Wattage-Guide.pdf

#### **Efficient equipment:**

**LED Corn Cob** 

#### Program criteria:

All LED lamps and fixtures must be listed by ENERGY STAR®, Design Lights Consortium (DLC) or LED Lighting Facts®.

#### **ALGORITHMS**

Interior use savings algorithms:

**kW savings per unit** =delta\_W/1000\*IF\_d\*CF\*PF

kWh savings per unit =delta\_W/1000\*IF\_e\*EFLH\*PF



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

delta W =W b-W ee

Exterior use savings algorithms:

**kW savings per unit** =delta W/1000\*ExtrCF\*PF

kWh savings per unit =delta\_W/1000\*ExtrHrs\*PF

delta\_W =W\_b-W\_ee

#### Table 4.6.6.b

**DEFINITIONS & ASSUMPTIONS<sup>9</sup>** delta\_W difference between baseline and proposed efficient lamp Table 4.6.6.c wattage  $W_b$ wattage of the baseline lamp Table 4.6.6.c W\_ee wattage of the proposed efficient lamp Table 4.6.6.c IF\_d factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling load Table 3.2 factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling IF\_e Table 3.2 energy CF coincidence factor, percent of time equipment load Table 3.1 corresponds with utility peak load **ExtrCF** Exterior lighting CF 0.75 PF 100% persistence factor, % of measures installed and operating **EFLH** equivalent full load hours, or hours of lighting for business Table 3.1 operation Exterior lighting hours of operation **ExtrHrs** 12 hours/day  $15^{10}$ Measure expected duration of energy savings Life

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> As reported and approved in the Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY2015, July 1 2015-June 30 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> 2015 PA TRM, Rev June 2015 - Section 3.1.2 New Construction Lighting (p.226)



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.6.6.c: LED Corn Cob Power Demand Reduction

Equipment size	W_b	W_ee	Delta W
50-100 Watts	93	20	73
150-175 Watts	173	36	137
250 Watts	295	54	241
400 Watts	456	106	350

### **SAVINGS**

Table 4.6.6.d: Exterior-use LED Corn Cob Power Demand Savings by Wattage Range

		Demand Savin	igs (kW)	
Building Type	<150W	150W-249W	250W-399W	400W- 499W
Exterior	0.055	0.103	0.181	0.263

Table 4.6.6.e: Exterior-use LED Corn Cob Energy Savings by Wattage Range

Energy Savings (kW)		gs (kW)		
Building Type	<150W	150W-249W	250W-399W	400W- 499W
Exterior	319.74	600.06	1,055.58	1,533.00

Table 4.6.6.f: LED Corn Cob Power Demand Savings by Building Type & Wattage Range

	Demand Savings (kW)				
Building Type	<150W	150W-249W	250W-399W	400W- 499W	
Misc. Commercial	0.024	0.044	0.078	0.113	
Cold Storage	0.045	0.084	0.147	0.214	
Education	0.015	0.028	0.050	0.073	
Grocery	0.069	0.130	0.228	0.331	
Health	0.059	0.110	0.193	0.281	
Hotel/Motel	0.054	0.102	0.179	0.260	
Industrial	0.039	0.074	0.129	0.188	
Office	0.040	0.075	0.133	0.193	
Restaurant	0.059	0.110	0.194	0.282	
Retail	0.048	0.089	0.157	0.228	
Warehouse	0.035	0.065	0.114	0.166	

Table 4.6.6.g: LED Corn Cob Energy Savings by Building Type & Wattage Range

	Energy Savings (kWh/year)			
Building Type	<150W	150W-249W	250W-399W	400W- 499W



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Misc. Commercial	333.41	625.71	1,100.70	1,598.52
Cold Storage	432.14	811.00	1,426.64	2,071.89
Education	205.48	385.63	678.37	985.19
Grocery	443.43	832.20	1,463.94	2,126.05
Health	530.26	995.14	1,750.58	2,542.34
Hotel/Motel	402.17	754.76	1,327.72	1,928.23
Industrial	326.64	613.00	1,078.35	1,566.06
Office	218.92	410.86	722.75	1,049.63
Restaurant	404.94	759.96	1,336.87	1,941.51
Retail	323.93	607.92	1,069.40	1,553.07
Warehouse	309.45	580.75	1,021.61	1,483.66



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.6.7 LED Exit Signs

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Lighting **Equipment Type** LED: Exit Sign

**Equipment Subtype** None

**Equipment Size** New LED fixture

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date January, 2010 Revision Date May 19, 2016

**Review Date** 

#### **Unit of Measure:**

One sign

#### **Baseline equipment:**

Existing incandescent or compact fluorescent lighted exit sign fixture

#### **Efficient equipment:**

LED lighted exit sign fixture

#### Program criteria:

All LED lamps and fixtures must be listed by ENERGY STAR®, Design Lights Consortium (DLC) or LED Lighting Facts®.

#### **ALGORITHMS**

**kW savings per lamp** =delta W/1000\*IF d\*CF\*PF

kWh savings per lamp =delta\_W/1000\*IF\_e\*EFLH\*PF

delta\_W =W\_b-W\_ee

#### Table 4.6.7.a

<b>DEFINITIONS 8</b>		
delta_W	difference between baseline and proposed efficient lamp wattage	35

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  As reported and approved in the Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY2015, July 1 2015-June 30 2016.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

W_b	wattage of the baseline lamp	40
W_ee	wattage of the proposed efficient lamp	5
IF_d	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling load	Table 3.2
IF_e	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling energy	Table 3.2
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time equipment load corresponds with utility peak load	100%
PF	persistence factor, % of measures installed and operating	100%
EFLH	equivalent full load hours, or annual hours of exit light operation	8760
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	15

## SAVINGS<sup>12</sup>

Table 4.6.7.b: Demand & Energy Savings per lamp

	Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh/yr)
LED Exit Sign	0.035	307

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Demand and energy savings per lamp estimates as reported and approved in the Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY2015, July 1 2015-June 30 2016. These are applied as deemed values to estimate lighting savings for the current program year.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.6.8 LED Recessed Can

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Lighting

**Equipment Type** LED: Hardwired Recessed Can Kit

**Equipment Subtype** None **Equipment Size** None

### **VERSION HISTORY**

**Draft Date** 

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** 

#### **Unit of Measure:**

One lamp.

Baseline equipment: PAR30 Short Neck 75W incandescent (80%) & 20W CFL (20%)

**Efficient equipment**: LED PAR30, 16.3W. Subject to dimmable & non-dimmable blend, see section 4.6.7 for details.

#### Program criteria:

All LED lamps and fixtures must be listed by ENERGY STAR®, Design Lights Consortium (DLC) or LED Lighting Facts®.

#### **ALGORITHMS**

kW savings per unit =delta\_W/1000\*IF\_d\*CF\*PF

kWh savings per unit =delta\_W/1000\*IF\_e\*EFLH\*PF

delta\_W =W\_b-W\_ee

#### Table 4.6.8.a

<u>e</u>		
delta_W	difference between baseline and proposed efficient lamp wattage	Table 4.6.8.c
W_b	wattage of the baseline lamp	Table 4.6.8.b
W_ee	wattage of the proposed efficient lamp	Table 4.6.8.b
IF_d	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling load	Table 3.2



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

IF_e	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling energy	Table 3.2
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time equipment load corresponds with utility peak load	Table 3.1
PF	persistence factor, % of measures installed and operating	100%
EFLH	equivalent full load hours, or hours of lighting for business operation	Table 3.1
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	15

#### **SAVINGS**

See section 4.6.7 for more details on savings calculation. Recessed Can measure savings based on PAR30 lamp savings calculations.

Table 4.6.8.b: LED Recessed Can Demand Savings (kW) by Building Type

Building Type	Demand Savings – Blended dimmable/non-dimmable (kW)
Misc. Commercial	0.016
Cold Storage	0.030
Education	0.010
Grocery	0.047
Health	0.039
Hotel/Motel	0.037
Industrial	0.026
Office	0.027
Restaurant	0.040
Retail	0.032
Warehouse	0.023

Table 4.6.8.c: LED Recessed Can Energy Savings (kWh/year) by Building Type

Building Type	Energy Savings – Blended dimmable/non-dimmable (kWh/year)		
Misc. Commercial	225.09		
Cold Storage	291.75		
Education	138.73		
Grocery	299.37		
Health	357.99		



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Hotel/Motel	271.52
Industrial	220.52
Office	147.80
Restaurant	273.39
Retail	218.69
Warehouse	208.92



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.6.9 LED Refrigerated Case Lighting

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Lighting

**Equipment Type** LED: Refrigerated Case Lighting

**Equipment Subtype** None

**Equipment Size** 4-ft. retrofit kit | 5-ft. retrofit kit | 6-ft. retrofit kit

**VERSION HISTORY** 

Draft Date October 3, 2011
Revision Date May 19, 2016

**Review Date** 

Unit of Measure:

One kit

#### **Baseline equipment:**

Linear fluorescent lamp unit for illuminating refrigerated or freezer cases

#### Efficient equipment:

LED replacement lamp unit

#### Program criteria:

New refrigerated cases do not qualify for this measure.

The qualifying technology must be specifically designed for refrigerated case lighting applications. For example, lamps in the DLC General Application: Case Lighting category qualify. An equivalent category of lamps rated by ENERGY STAR or Lighting Facts may qualify as well, pending Program approval.

#### **ALGORITHMS**

**Lighting kWh savings per lamp** =delta\_W/1000\*\*EFLH\*PF

Compressor kWh savings per lamp = Lighting kWh savings per lamp/COP

**Total kWh savings per lamp** = Lighting kWh savings per lamp+Compressor kWh savings per lamp

**kW savings per lamp** =Total kWh savings per lamp/EFLH

delta\_W =W\_b-W\_ee



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.6.9.a

DEFINITION:	S & ASSUMPTIONS <sup>13</sup>	
delta_W	difference between baseline and proposed efficient lamp	17
	wattage	
W_b	wattage of the baseline lamp	40
W_ee	wattage of the proposed efficient lamp	23
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time equipment load	100%
	corresponds with utility peak load	
PF	persistence factor, % of measures installed and operating	100%
EFLH	equivalent full load hours, or hours of lighting for business	6205
	operation (17 hours per day, 365 days/year)	
COP	Compressor Coefficient of Performance (unitless	1.12
	efficiency)	
Measure	expected duration of energy savings (years)	5
Life		

### **SAVINGS**

Table 4.6.9.b: Demand & Energy Savings per lamp

	Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh)
LED Refrigerated Case Lighting	0.032	199.7

\_

 $<sup>^{13}</sup>$  As reported and approved in the Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY2015, July 1 2015-June 30 2016.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.6.10 LED Street and Exterior Lighting

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Lighting

**Equipment Type** LED: Street and Exterior

**Equipment Subtype** None **Equipment Size** Various

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date July 1, 2015

Revision Date Review Date

#### **Referenced Documents:**

PG&E Work Paper PGECOLTG151 (8/29/12)

#### **TRM Review Actions:**

• 8/1/14 – Currently Under Review.

#### **Major Changes:**

New Measure

#### **Measure Description:**

Replacement of exterior HID fixtures with LED luminaires in outdoor street and exterior area applications.

Light emitting diode (LED) technology has proven to be an effective lighting source that can offer substantial savings over typical high intensity discharge (HID) lighting technologies.

The light is easily controllable and can be turned on and off instantly or dimmed for added energy savings at dawn and dusk.

LED streetlights are available from a variety of vendors and offer many advantages over traditional streetlight technologies.

- No mercury or other hazardous chemical and gasses in the LEDs
- Long lifetimes and highly reliable service, greatly reducing maintenance costs
- White light available in color temperatures from "warm" to "cool" with high CRI providing highquality white light.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### **Baseline & High Efficiency:**

Table 4.6.10.a

Measure Name	Building Type	Base Case Wattage (W)	Measure Case Wattage (W)	Delta Watts (kW)	Annual Operating Hours	Energy Savings (kWh/yr)	Demand Reduction (kW)	Unit Definition	EUL
LED Street/Exterior Lighting - Replace up to a 70 W Lamp with LED	ANY	85	50	0.035	4100	144	0.0350	Fixture	12
LED Street/Exterior Lighting - Replace 71 to 100 W Lamp with LED	ANY	120	70	0.050	4100	205	0.0500	Fixture	12
LED Street/Exterior Lighting - Replace 101 to 150 W Lamp with LED	ANY	176	110	0.066	4100	271	0.0660	Fixture	12
LED Street/Exterior Lighting - Replace 151 to 200 W Lamp with LED	ANY	234	150	0.084	4100	344	0.0840	Fixture	12
LED Street/Exterior Lighting - Replace 201 to 250 W Lamp with LED	ANY	293	192	0.101	4100	414	0.1010	Fixture	12
LED Street/Exterior Lighting - Replace 251 to 310 W Lamp with LED	ANY	363	225	0.138	4100	566	0.1380	Fixture	12
LED Street/Exterior Lighting - Replace 311 to 400 W Lamp with LED	ANY	468	265	0.203	4100	832	0.2030	Fixture	12
Average Energy and Demand Savings						397	0.097		
Coincidence Factor (CF)	0.75								
Average Delta kW	0.097								
Peak Demand Savings	0.073								

#### **Energy Savings:**

Energy savings is based on the average kW reduction multiplied by hours of operation. Hours of operation is based on 4100 hours/year.

Average energy savings = 397 kWh/year

#### **Demand Savings:**

Demand savings is based on the average kW reduction = 0.097 kW

- Coincidence Factor = 0.75
- Coincidence factor is based on lights being on during 6PM-9PM which is 3 out of the 4 peak demand hour period.

Peak Demand Savings = CF x 0.097 = 0.073 kW

#### **Program Restrictions and Guidelines**

To qualify for an incentive, the following requirements must be met:

- The LEDs must replace high intensity discharge, low pressure sodium, or incandescent lighting.
- Proposed fixture must be ENERGY STAR, Design Lights Consortium (DLC) listed or Lighting Facts.
- The pole/arm-mounted area and roadway luminaires must meet a minimum efficacy of 60 lumens per watt.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

- Luminaire/enclosure type must be certified by NEMA/IEC as wet location for exterior parking, roadway, area, or wall-mounted luminaires and damp (or wet) location for parking garage luminaires.
- Not to exceed the power supply manufacturer's maximum recommended case temperature or TMP when measured during in-situ operation. Note: This performance characteristic is separate and distinct from thermal requirements established by UL, which governs safety rather than longevity of the power supply.
- Luminaires must possess a power factor greater than 0.9.
- The LEDs must possess less than 20% of total harmonic distortion.
- A written warranty must be issued to the customer guaranteeing repair or replacement of defective electrical parts (including light source and power supplies) for a minimum of three (3) years from the date of purchase.
- A product cut sheet and installation instructions must be provided.

Measure Life = 12 years (source: PG&E white paper).

Hours of Operation = 4100 hours/year (based on HECO Schedule F).



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 4.6.11 LED Troffer

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

Equipment Group Lighting
Equipment Type LED: Troffer
Equipment Subtype None

**Equipment Size** 1 ft. x 4 ft. | 2 ft. x 2 ft. | 2 ft. x 4 ft.

### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date Revision Date Review Date

## **Unit of Measure:**

One troffer unit

## **Baseline equipment:**

Linear fluorescent fixture

### **Efficient equipment:**

LED troffer

### Program criteria:

All LED lamps and fixtures must be listed by ENERGY STAR®, Design Lights Consortium (DLC) or LED Lighting Facts®.

### **ALGORITHMS**

**kW savings per unit** =delta\_W/1000\*IF\_d\*CF\*PF

**kWh** savings per unit =delta\_W/1000\*IF\_e\*EFLH\*PF

delta\_W =W\_b-W\_ee

### Table 4.6.11.a

DEFINITIONS & ASSUMPTIONS 14

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$  As reported and approved in the Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY2015, July 1 2015-June 30 2016.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

delta W	difference between baseline and proposed efficient lamp	Table 4.6.11.b
_	wattage	
W_b	wattage of the baseline lamp	Table 4.6.11.b
W_ee	wattage of the proposed efficient lamp	Table 4.6.11.b
IF_d	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling load	Table 3.2
IF_e	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling energy	Table 3.2
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time equipment load corresponds with utility peak load	Table 3.1
PF	persistence factor, % of measures installed and operating	100%
EFLH	equivalent full load hours, or hours of lighting for business operation	Table 3.1
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	15

Table 4.6.11.b: LED Troffer Power Demand Reduction

Equipment size	W_b	W_ee	Delta W
1ft. X 4ft.	61.6	35	26.6
2ft. X 2ft.	63.6	30	33.6
2ft. X 4ft.	109.2	40	69.2

# **SAVINGS**

Table 4.6.11.c: LED Troffer Power Demand Savings (kW) by Building Type & Fixture Size

Building Type	1'x4' Fixture	2'x2' Fixture	2'x4' Fixture
Misc. Commercial	0.009	0.011	0.022
Cold Storage	0.016	0.020	0.042
Education	0.006	0.007	0.014
Grocery	0.025	0.032	0.066
Health	0.021	0.027	0.055
Hotel/Motel	0.020	0.025	0.051
Industrial	0.014	0.018	0.037
Office	0.015	0.019	0.038
Restaurant	0.021	0.027	0.056
Retail	0.017	0.022	0.045
Warehouse	0.013	0.016	0.033



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.6.11.d: LED Troffer Energy Savings (kWh/year) by Building Type & Fixture Size

Building Type	1'x4' Fixture	2'x2' Fixture	2'x4' Fixture
Misc. Commercial	121.5	153.5	316.1
Cold Storage	157.5	198.9	409.6
Education	74.9	94.6	194.8
Grocery	161.6	204.1	420.4
Health	193.2	244.1	502.7
Hotel/Motel	146.5	185.1	381.2
Industrial	119.0	150.3	309.6
Office	79.8	100.8	207.5
Restaurant	147.6	186.4	383.9
Retail	118.0	149.1	307.1
Warehouse	112.8	142.4	293.3



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 4.6.12 LED U-bend

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Lighting

Equipment Type LED: U-bend Type A | LED: U-bend Type B | LED: U-bend Type C

**Equipment Subtype** None

**Equipment Size** 2 x 2 ft. | 4 ft.

### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date
Revision Date

Review Date

### **Unit of Measure:**

One lamp

### **Baseline equipment:**

Fluorescent U-bend lamp,

Table 4.6.10.a

2'x2' FB U-bend baseline	Lamp	Watts	%Blend	Source	<b>Ballast Factor</b>
	T12	71.00	30%	Xcel energy	Normal
	T8	60.00	70%	Xcel energy	Normal
	Weighted baseline	63.30		•	

https://www.xcelenergy.com/staticfiles/xe/Marketing/MN-Bus-Lighting-Input-Wattage-Guide.pdf

### Efficient equipment:

LED U-bend lamp,

- 2 ft. The two-foot LED retrofit replaces an existing 4 ft. fluorescent U-bend with a 2 ft. LED lamp. A standard 2 ft. x 2 ft. fixture has two U-bend lams. Therefore, the LED lamp wattage is calculated as two-times the 2 ft. linear lamp wattage [2\*(LED: Linear 2' Lamp)]
- 4 ft. The four-foot LED retrofit replaces an existing 4 ft. fluorescent U-bend with a 4 ft. LED U-bend. A standard 2 ft. x 2 ft. fixture has two U-bend lams. Therefore, the LED lamp wattage is calculated as two-times the 4 ft. linear lamp wattage [2\*(LED: Linear 4' Lamp)]

### Program criteria:

All LED lamps and fixtures must be listed by ENERGY STAR®, Design Lights Consortium (DLC) or LED Lighting Facts®.

### **ALGORITHMS**



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

**kW** savings per unit =delta\_W/1000\*IF\_d\*CF\*PF

**kWh** savings per unit =delta\_W/1000\*IF\_e\*EFLH\*PF

delta\_W =W\_b-W\_ee

#### Table 4.6.12.b

10016 4.6.12.0		
<b>DEFINITION</b>	S & ASSUMPTIONS <sup>15</sup>	
delta_W	difference between baseline and proposed efficient lamp	Table 4.6.12.c
	wattage	
W_b	wattage of the baseline lamp	Table 4.6.12.c
W_ee	wattage of the proposed efficient lamp	Table 4.6.12.c
IF_d	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling load	Table 3.2
IF_e	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling	Table 3.2
	energy	
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time equipment load	Table 3.1
	corresponds with utility peak load	
PF	persistence factor, % of measures installed and operating	100%
EFLH	equivalent full load hours, or hours of lighting for business	Table 3.1
	operation	
Measure	expected duration of energy savings	15
Life		

### Table 4.6.12.c: LED U-bend Power Demand Reduction

Equipment Type	Equipment Size	W_b	W_ee	Delta W
LED: U-bend Type A		63.3	21	42.3
LED: U-bend Type B	2 ft. x 2 ft.	63.3	17	46.3
LED: U-bend Type C		63.3	17	46.3
LED: U-bend Type A		63.3	35	28.3
LED: U-bend Type B	4 ft.	63.3	30	33.3
LED: U-bend Type C		63.3	30	33.3

# **SAVINGS**

Table 4.6.12.d: LED U-bend Power Demand Savings by Building Type, LED Size, & LED Type

Building Type	Demand Savings (kW)

 $<sup>^{15}</sup>$  As reported and approved in the Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY2015, July 1 2015-June 30 2016.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

	2 ft.			4 ft.		
	Type A	Туре В	Type C	Type A	Туре В	Type C
Misc. Commercial	0.01364	0.01493	0.01493	0.00913	0.01074	0.01074
Cold Storage	0.02580	0.02824	0.02824	0.01726	0.02031	0.02031
Education	0.00879	0.00962	0.00962	0.00588	0.00692	0.00692
Grocery	0.04005	0.04384	0.04384	0.02680	0.03153	0.03153
Health	0.03390	0.03711	0.03711	0.02268	0.02669	0.02669
Hotel/Motel	0.03137	0.03434	0.03434	0.02099	0.02470	0.02470
Industrial	0.02272	0.02486	0.02486	0.01520	0.01788	0.01788
Office	0.02331	0.02551	0.02551	0.01559	0.01835	0.01835
Restaurant	0.03404	0.03726	0.03726	0.02277	0.02680	0.02680
Retail	0.02754	0.03014	0.03014	0.01842	0.02168	0.02168
Warehouse	0.02004	0.02194	0.02194	0.01341	0.01578	0.01578

Table 4.6.12.e: LED U-bend Energy Savings by Building Type, LED Size, & LED Type

	Energy Savings (kWh/year)						
Building Type	2 ft.			4 ft.			
	Type A	Туре В	Type C	Type A	Туре В	Type C	
Misc. Commercial	193.19	211.46	211.46	129.25	152.09	152.09	
Cold Storage	250.40	274.08	274.08	167.53	197.13	197.13	
Education	119.07	130.33	130.33	79.66	93.73	93.73	
Grocery	256.95	281.25	281.25	171.91	202.28	202.28	
Health	307.26	336.32	336.32	205.57	241.89	241.89	
Hotel/Motel	233.04	255.08	255.08	155.91	183.46	183.46	
Industrial	189.27	207.17	207.17	126.63	149.00	149.00	
Office	126.86	138.85	138.85	84.87	99.86	99.86	
Restaurant	234.65	256.83	256.83	156.99	184.72	184.72	
Retail	187.70	205.45	205.45	125.58	147.76	147.76	
Warehouse	179.31	196.27	196.27	119.96	141.16	141.16	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## 4.6.13 Controls: Occupancy Sensor

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Lighting

**Equipment Type** Controls: Occupancy Sensor

Equipment Subtype None Equipment Size None

**VERSION HISTORY** 

Draft Date March 2, 2011

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** October 5, 2011

**Unit of Measure:** 

One sensor

**Baseline equipment:** 

Manual switch

Efficient equipment:

Occupancy sensor installed

### **ALGORITHMS**

peak kW savings per sensor =W\_controlled/1000\*CF\*PF\*IF\_d

kWh savings per sensor =W\_controlled/1000\*EFLH\*RTR\*PF\*IF\_e

### Table 4.6.13.a

<b>DEFINITIONS &amp;</b>	<u>DEFINITIONS &amp; ASSUMPTIONS</u>		
W_controlled	total wattage being controlled by sensor <sup>1</sup>	56.32	
RTR	reduction in total run time allowed by sensor	33%	
IF_d	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling load	1	
IF_e	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling energy	1	
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time sensor savings corresponds with utility peak load	12%	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

PF	persistence factor, % of measures installed and operating	100%
EFLH	hours of lighting operation <sup>2</sup>	3650
Measure Life		8

### Footnotes:

- 1. Hawaii Energy Technical Reference Manual PY2015 deems 2L T8 with 0.88 ballast factor controlled by one sensor
- 2. Operating hours assume 10 hours per day, 365 days per year

# **SAVINGS**

Table 4.6.13.b: Occupancy Sensor Power Demand & Energy Savings

	Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh/yr)
Occupancy Sensor	0.007	67.84



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 4.6.14 Controls: Stairwell Bi-Level Dimming Lights

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Lighting

**Equipment Type** Controls: Stairwell Bi-Level Dimming

Equipment Subtype None Equipment Size None

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** March 30, 2014

Revision Date Review Date

**Unit of Measure:** 

One fixture

**Baseline equipment:** 

No bi-level dimming lights with occupancy sensors

**Efficient equipment:** 

Bi-level dimming lights with occupancy sensors

Program criteria:

Energy Star/DLC/LED Lighting Facts, UL compliant.

### **ALGORITHMS**

peak kW savings per fixture =[kW\_b-(kW\_ee,dim\*UF/100)+(kW\_ee,full\*OF/100)]\*IF\_d\*CF\*PF

**kWh** savings per fixture =[kW\_b\*EFLH-(kW\_ee,dim\*EFLH\*UF+kW\_ee,full\*EFLH\*OF)]\*IF\_e\*PF

### Table 4.6.14.a

NIC 4.0.14.0						
DEFINITIONS & A						
kW_b	user input					
kW_ee,dim	kW load of stairwell fixture in dim or low level mode when unoccupied	user input				
kW_ee,full	kW load of stairwell fixture in full power mode when occupied	user input				



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

UF	unoccupied fraction	Table 4.6.14.b			
OF	occupied fraction	Table 4.6.14.b			
IF_d	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling load				
IF_e	factor reflecting impact of lighting savings on cooling energy				
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time equipment load corresponds with utility peak load				
PF	persistence factor, % of measures installed and operating	100%			
EFLH	equivalent full load hours, or hours of lighting for business operation				
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings, yrs	14			

Table 4.6.14.b: Occupancy Types & Fractions

Building Type	Stairwell Type	Occupied Fraction (OF) <sup>1</sup>	Unoccupied Fraction (UF)
High Disc > 10 Floors	Free Access	10%	90%
High Rise >10 Floors	Exit Only	5%	95%
Low Rise 4-10 Floors	Free Access	20%	80%
Low Rise<=10 Floors	Exit Only	10%	90%

<sup>1.</sup> Hawaii Energy Technical Reference Manual PY2015, p.104-105.

## **SAVINGS**

Table 4.6.14.c: Baseline Power Demand & Energy Usage Calculation

Location	Fixture Type	Fixture Qty	Base Fixture	Base Total	M-F Hours	Sat Hours	Sun Hours	Annual Op	On Peak Demand	Base Off Peak kW	Base On Peak kW	Base Annual Energy
	.,,,,,		Wattage	Wattage				Hours	Hours	Demand	Demand	Use (kWh/yr)
Stairwell	32W T8	205	34	6970	24	24	24	8760	4	6.97	6.97	61057

Table 4.6.14.d: Bi-level Dimming Power Demand & Energy Usage EXAMPLE

Table 4.6.14.a:	able 4.6.14.a: Bi-level Dimming Power Demand & Energy Usage EXAMPLE											
Location	Fixture Type	Fixture Qty	Enhanced Fixture Wattage	Enhanced Total Wattage	M-F Hours	Sat Hours	Sun Hours	Annual Op Hours	On Peak Deman d Hours	Enhanced Off Peak kW Demand	Enhanced On Peak kW Demand	Enhance d Annual Energy Use (kWh/yr)
StairwellLow Rise	Bi-Level Lighting: Dimmed	205	6	1230	21.6	21.6	21.6	7884	3.6	1.23	1.11	9697
	Bi-Level Lighting: Full Power	205	60	12300	2.4	2.4	2.4	876	0.4	12.3	1.23	10775
Savings											4.63	40585



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Note: This is an example of the specific bi-level scenario indicated only. Dimmed and full power hours are manually updated per project.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## 4.7 Plug/Process Load Measures

### 4.7.1 Anti-Sweat Heater Controls

### HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE

**Equipment Group** Plug/Process Load

**Equipment Type** Anti-Sweat Heater Controls

Equipment Subtype None Equipment Size None

### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date Revision Date Review Date

### **Measure Description:**

Anti-sweat heater controls sense the relative humidity in the air outside of a refrigerated display case and reduces or shuts off the glass door and/or frame anti-sweat heaters based on dew point temperature. Heat generated by an ASH is also load on the display case refrigeration system. Thus, reduction in ASH duty cycle will also have an interactive effect on the refrigeration energy. As a result, compressor run time and energy consumption are reduced.

### Unit of Measure:

Linear feet

### Efficient equipment:

Anti-Sweat Heater controls

## Program criteria:

- 1. Pre-notification before project begins.
- 2. Controls must be installed on all doors of the refrigerator or freezer.
- 3. The following situations DO NOT qualify for this incentive:
  - a. New refrigerators and freezers
  - b. Refrigerators and freezers with existing controls being replaced with new controls
  - c. Walk-in refrigerators and freezers manufactured after January 1, 2009
- 4. The rebate is awarded based on the total linear feet of the doors controlled by Anti-Sweat Heater Controls and incentivized at a rate of \$40 per linear foot.

Source: Hawaii Energy Anti-Sweat Heater (ASH) Controls Incentive Worksheet

https://hawaiienergy.com/images/business/ASHControls worksheet PY2016 v7.pdf

### **ALGORITHMS**



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

annual Peak kW savings from ASH per door =SVG\_d\_ASH\*BaseWatts/door/1000\*CF

annual kWh savings from ASH =SVG\_d\_ASH\*BaseWatts/door/1000\*HOURS

annual kW savings from Compressor =SVG\_cooling/EER/1000\*CF\_groc

annual kWh savings from Compressor =SVG\_cooling/EER/1000\*EFLH

### Table 4.7.1.a

DEFINITIONS & ASS	<u>SUMPTIONS</u>	INPUT VALUES	Notes
SVG_d_ASH	ASH demand savings factor, %	50%	
SVG_d_Comp	Compressor demand savings factor, %	17.5%	
SVG_cooling =BaseWatts/door*SVG_d_Comp			
BaseWatts/door	Baseline door heater power	200	watts/door
BaseWatts/door	Baseline door heater power (3.413 Btu/h per W)	682.6	Btu/hr/door
EER	Compressor energy efficiency ratio	5.43	Btu/hr/watt
CF_groc	Grocery store coincidence factor	85%	
HOURS	hours of base ASH operation per year	8,760	24 hours per day, 365 days
HOURS_Comp	Compressor run time	5,700	hours/year
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	12	years
Avg.StoreRH	Typical Store relative humidity	45%	

## **SAVINGS**

Table 4.7.1.b: Anti-Sweat Heater Controls Power Demand & Energy Savings Calculations

annual Peak kW savings from ASH	0.085	
annual kWh savings from ASH	876	
SVG_cooling	119	Btu/hr/door
annual Peak kW savings from Compressor	0.019	
annual kWh savings from Compressor	125	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Total Cooling Savings:	119	Btu/hr/door
Total Peak Power Savings:	0.104	kW/door
Total Annual Energy Savings:	1001	kWh/door
Per Linear Foot calculation:		
Door width	35	inches
	12	inches per ft
Bottom door length	2.92	Linear Feet
Peak kW savings per linear foot	0.036	kW/ft
Annual kWh savings per linear foot	343.3	kWh/ft

Note: (Peak kW Savings per Linear Foot) = (Total Peak Power Savings) / (Bottom Door Length)
(Annual kWh Savings per Linear Foot) = (Total Annual Energy Savings) / (Bottom Door Length)



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 4.7.2 Transformer

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Plug/Process Load

Transformer (Single Phase) | Transformer (Three Phase) **Equipment Type** 

Tier 1 | Tier 2 **Equipment Subtype** 

**Equipment Size** Various

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** November 25, 2014

**Revision Date Review Date** 

Unit of Measure:

One transformer

**Baseline equipment:** 

NEMA TP-1 (current federal minimum standard level)

Efficient equipment:

CEE Tier I (single phase)

CEE Tier II (single or three phase)

Program criteria:

Transformers must be listed in CEE Qualified Products List (QPL) to be considered for incentive.

QPL Source: http://library.cee1.org/content/transformersqplfebruary2015/

### **ALGORITHMS**

Peak kW Savings per W

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{KW Savings per W} &= \frac{\Delta E_{base,loss} - \Delta E_{he,loss}}{HRS \cdot kVA} \\ \text{Wh Savings per W} &= \frac{\Delta E_{base,loss} - \Delta E_{he,loss}}{kVA} \\ \text{Peak kW Savings} &= CF \cdot \left(\Delta P_{base,loss} - \Delta P_{he,loss}\right) \end{array}$ Annual kWh Savings per W

Annual kWh Savings =  $HRS \cdot (\Delta P_{base,loss} - \Delta P_{he,loss})$ 

Table 4.7.2.a

### **DEFINITIONS & ASSUMPTIONS**



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Λ <b>D</b>	Power loss of baseline	Table 4.7.2.b	kW	$\Delta P_{base,loss} = \frac{\Delta E_{base,loss}}{(9760 \text{ hrs})}$
$\Delta P_{base,loss}$	transformer	Table 4.7.2.c	KVV	$\Delta I base, loss = \frac{\Delta I}{\left(8760 \frac{hrs}{yr}\right)}$
۸ <b>D</b>	Power loss of energy efficient	Table 4.7.2.b	kW	$\Delta P_{he,loss} = \frac{\Delta E_{he,loss}}{\left(8760 \frac{hrs}{cm}\right)}$
$\Delta P_{he,loss}$	transformer	Table 4.7.2.c	KVV	$\left(8760\frac{hrs}{yr}\right)$
Λ <b>F</b>	Annual energy loss of baseline	Table 4.7.2.b	kWh	
$\Delta E_{base,loss}$	transformer	Table 4.7.2.c	yr	
Λ <b>.</b> Ε	Annual energy loss of high	Table 4.7.2.b	kWh	
$\Delta E_{he,loss}$	efficiency transformer	Table 4.7.2.c	yr	
	Coincidence factor, percent of			
CF	time equipment load corresponds	100	%	
	with utility peak load			
HRS	Equivalent full load hours, or	8760	hrs	
пкэ	hours of business operation	8700	1115	
Measure Life	Expected duration of energy	15	yrs	
meusure Lije	savings	13		

Table 4.7.2.b: Total losses & energy savings associated with CEE Tier 1 level for single-phase transformers

kVA	Total Losses (kWh/yr)		Energy Savings vs. Baseline (kWh/yr)	Demand Savings vs. Baseline (kW)
	Baseline	Tier 1	Tier 1	Tier 1
15	1,058	740	318	0.04
25	1,533	1,073	460	0.05
37.5	2,070	1,449	621	0.07
50	2,606	1,824	782	0.09
75	3,449	2,414	1,035	0.12
100	4,292	3,005	1,287	0.15
150	6,056	4,239	1,817	0.21
167	6,656	4,659	1,997	0.23
250	9,198	6,439	2,759	0.31
333	11,231	7,862	3,369	0.38

 $Source: http://library.cee 1. org/sites/default/files/library/7905/Specification\_Low\_Voltage\_Dry\_Type\_2012.pdf$ 

Table 4.7.2.c: Total losses & energy savings associated with CEE Tiers for three-phase equipment

kVA	Total Losses (kWh/yr)	Energy Savings vs.	Demand Savings vs.
KVA	Total Losses (KWII/yr)	Baseline (kWh/yr)	Baseline (kW)



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

	Baseline	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 1	Tier 2
15	1,380	966	736	414	644	0.05	0.07
25	1,993	1,395	1,073	598	920	0.07	0.11
30	2,300	1,610	1,242	690	1,058	0.08	0.12
45	3,173	2,221	1,683	952	1,490	0.11	0.17
75	4,599	3,219	2,460	1,380	2,139	0.16	0.24
112.5	6,209	4,346	3,346	1,863	2,863	0.21	0.33
150	7,818	5,473	4,139	2,345	3,679	0.27	0.42
225	10,348	7,243	4,139	3,105	6,209	0.35	0.71
300	12,877	9,014	5,151	3,863	7,726	0.44	0.88
450	18,166	12,716	6,922	5,450	11,244	0.62	1.28
500	19,929	13,950	7,512	5,979	12,417	0.68	1.42
750	27,594	19,316	10,118	8,278	17,476	0.94	1.99
1000	33,726	23,608	12,571	10,118	21,155	1.16	2.41

Source: http://library.cee1.org/sites/default/files/library/7905/Specification\_Low\_Voltage\_Dry\_Type\_2012.pdf

## **SAVINGS**

Table 4.7.2.d: Transformer Power Demand & Energy Savings by Phase Type, kVA, & Tier

kVA	Energy Savings vs. Baseline (kWh/yr)		Demand Savings vs. Baseline (kW)		
NVA					
	Tier 1 Tier 2		Tier 1	Tier 2	
Single-phase	Single-phase				
15	318		0.04		
25	460		0.05		
37.5	621		0.07		
50	782		0.09		
75	1,035		0.12		
100	1,287		0.15		



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

150	1,817		0.21	
167	1,997		0.23	
250	2,759		0.31	
333	3,369		0.38	
Three-phase				
15	414	644	0.05	0.07
25	598	920	0.07	0.11
30	690	1,058	0.08	0.12
45	952	1,490	0.11	0.17
75	1,380	2,139	0.16	0.24
112.5	1,863	2,863	0.21	0.33
150	2,345	3,679	0.27	0.42
225	3,105	6,209	0.35	0.71
300	3,863	7,726	0.44	0.88
450	5,450	11,244	0.62	1.28
500	5,979	12,417	0.68	1.42
750	8,278	17,476	0.94	1.99
1,000	10,118	21,155	1.16	2.41



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 4.7.3 Vending Miser

### HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE

Equipment Group Plug/Process Load Equipment Type Vending Miser

**Equipment Subtype** All | Non-Refrigerated | Refrigerated: Beverage | Refrigerated: Non-Beverage

**Equipment Size** None

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** March 2, 2011

Revision Date Review Date

### **Referenced Documents:**

<sup>(1)</sup> USA Technologies Energy Management Product Sheets (2006). http://www.usatech.com/energy\_management/energy\_productsheets.php. Accessed 9/1/09.

#### **TRM Review Actions:**

n/a

### **Major Changes:**

none

#### **Measure Description**

Controls can significantly reduce the energy consumption of vending machine lighting and refrigeration systems. Qualifying controls must power down these systems during periods of inactivity but, in the case of refrigerated machines, must always maintain a cool product that meets customer expectations. This measure applies to refrigerated beverage vending machines, non-refrigerated snack vending machines, and glass front refrigerated coolers. This measure should not be applied to ENERGY STAR® qualified vending machines, as they already have built-in controls.

### **Algorithms for Calculating Primary Energy Impact**

Unit savings are deemed based on the following algorithms and assumptions:

 $\Delta$ kWh = (kWrated)(Hours)(SAVE)

 $\Delta kW = \Delta kWh/Hours$ 

Where:

kWrated = Rated kW of connected equipment. See Table below for default rated kW by

connected equipment type.

Hours = Operating hours of the connected equipment: default of 8,760 hours



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

SAVE = Percent savings factor for the connected equipment. See table below for values.

### **Vending Machine and Cooler Controls Savings Factors**

Table 4.7.3.a

Machine Type	kW Savings	kWh/year Savings
Refrigerated beverage vending maching (cans or bottles)	0.184	1612
Refrigerated	0.124	1086
Non-refrigerated snack vending machine	0.044	387
All (Average)	0.117	1028

### **Baseline Efficiency**

The baseline efficiency case is a standard efficiency refrigerated beverage vending machine, non-refrigerated snack vending machine, or glass front refrigerated cooler without a control system capable of powering down lighting and refrigeration systems during periods of inactivity.

## **High Efficiency**

The high efficiency case is a standard efficiency refrigerated beverage vending machine, non-refrigerated snack vending machine, or glass front refrigerated cooler with a control system capable of powering down lighting and refrigeration systems during periods of inactivity.

#### **Hours**

It is assumed that the connected equipment operates 24 hours per day, 7 days per week for a total annual operating hours of 8,760.

### **Measure Life**

8 Years



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 4.7.4 Water Cooler Timer (H<sub>2</sub>Off)

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Plug/Process Load **Equipment Type** Water Cooler Timer

**Equipment Subtype** None **Equipment Size** None

### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date Revision Date Review Date

#### **Referenced Documents:**

LBNL 2007

http://enduse.lbl.gov/info/LBNL-56380%282007%29.pdf

EPA2012

http://www.energystar.gov/index.cfm?fuseaction=find\_a\_product.showProductGroup&pgw\_code=WA#specs

### **TRM Review Actions:**

• Currently Under Review.

### **Major Changes:**

N/A

### **Measure Description:**

Many businesses have water coolers, often equipped with both cold and hot water spigots. Unbeknownst to many, however, is how much energy is used to continuously keep that water hot and cold. Think about it: Water coolers are generally plugged in 24/7, so they're ready and waiting to make a nice cup of hot tea if someone happens to drop by the office at 3 a.m.

Similar to the timers you might use to control lights in your home, plug-in appliance timers allow you to pre-program the times that various appliances in your business are turned on and drawing electricity. So you could pre-program the water cooler so it turns on one hour before the office opens and turns off again after everyone leaves.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## **Baseline Efficiencies:**

### No timer

Table 4.7.4.a

	Energy Usage		
	Cold Only Hot/Cold		
Type of Water Cooler	(kWh/day)	(kWh/day)	
ENERGY STAR	0.16	1.20	
Conventional	0.29	2.19	

Hours per Day 24 Days per year 365

Base Case Usage	Cold Only	Hot/Cold
ENERGY STAR USAGE (kWh/year)	58	438
Conventional (kWh/year)	106	799

# **High Efficiency:**

Table 4.7.4.b

Enhanced Case Usage	Cold Only	Hot/Cold
ENERGY STAR USAGE (kWh/year)	21	157
Conventional (kWh/year)	38	287

# **Energy Savings:**

Table 4.7.4.c

Energy Savings	Cold Only	Hot/Cold
ENERGY STAR USAGE (kWh/year)	37	281
Conventional (kWh/year)	68	512
Average Savings (kWh/year)	53	397



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## **Energy Savings Assumptions:**

It is assumed that half of all water coolers are Energy Star and half are not:

- 50% Energy Star
- 50% Conventional

It is assumed that half of all water coolers are cold only and half are hot + cold dispenser:

- 50% Cold Only
- 50% Hot + Cold

The energy savings figure will be based on the average of the above-mentioned percentages.

Persistence Factor = 90%

Energy Savings = 225 x 90% = 202.5 kWh/year

### **Demand Savings:**

Taking a conservative approach, the demand savings will based on the following calculation and methodology:

Demand Savings = 225 kWh/year divided by 8760 hrs/year = 0.026 kW

Coincidence Factor = 75%

Note: Based on utilization of 3 of the 4 peak hours (6PM-9PM). 5PM-6PM is not counted since most offices close at 5PM and the timer should be set to turn off cooler 1 hour after office closes which is 6PM.

Coincidence Demand Savings = 0.026 kW x .75 = 0.020 kW

Persistence = 90% (10% of people will disconnect)

Peak Demand Savings = 0.020 kW x .90 = 0.018 kW



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# Lifetime

5 years

# **Savings Algorithms**

Table 4.7.4.d

Hours per Day 24 Days per year 365

Base Case Usage	Cold Only	Hot/Cold
ENERGY STAR USAGE (kWh/year)	58	438
Conventional (kWh/year)	106	799
Weekday OFF (Hour/Day)	12	
Weekend OFF (Hour/Day)	24	
Weekday (Day/week)	5	
Weekend (Day/week)	2	
Weekday (Week/year)	52	
Weekend (Week/year)	52	
Hours OFF	5616	
Hours per Year	8760	
Hours OFF (%)	64%	

Enhanced Case Usage	Cold Only	Hot/Cold
ENERGY STAR USAGE (kWh/year)	21	157
Conventional (kWh/year)	38	287

36%

Energy Savings	Cold Only	Hot/Cold
ENERGY STAR USAGE (kWh/year)	37	281
Conventional (kWh/year)	68	512
Average Savings (kWh/year)	53	397

# **Operating Hours**

Hours ON (%)



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Weekday OFF (Hour/Day)	12
Weekend OFF (Hour/Day)	24
Weekday (Day/week)	5
Weekend (Day/week)	2
Weekday (Week/year)	52
Weekend (Week/year)	52

 Hours OFF
 5616

 Hours per Year
 8760

 Hours OFF (%)
 64%

 Hours ON (%)
 36%

# 4.8 Pumps and Motors

4.8.1 Booster Pumps

### HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE

**Equipment Group** Pumps and Motors **Equipment Type** Booster Pumps

Equipment Subtype None Equipment Size None

### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date May 23, 2011 Revision Date May 19, 2016

**Review Date** 

#### Unit of Measure:

One pump

### **Baseline equipment:**

Assumed to be a non-optimized existing pumping system. Baseline pumps are assumed to run 60% of the time.

### Efficient equipment:

Assumed to be an optimized pumping system meeting applicable program efficiency requirements. The proposed Booster Pump System must be a more efficient design than the existing system. (i.e. Installed with VFD.). All pump motors must meet NEMA Premium Efficiency standards. As in the base case, enhanced pumps are assumed to run 60% of the time. Savings result from two aspects: (1) reduced horsepower and (2) reduced speed on the motor due to VFD. VFD load reduction is assumed to be 15% conservatively.

# Program criteria:



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

- Booster Pump applications require pre-notification before equipment is purchased and installed.
- The new Booster Pump System's total horsepower must be equal to or less than that of the existing system.
- The system horsepower reduction must be between 0 to 129 hp. For projects with greater than 129hp, please contact the program. Booster Pump applications do not apply to New Construction.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# **SAVINGS**

# Table 4.8.1.a

Domestic Water Booster Packages			
REDUCED HP			
Motor Energy Consumption		0.746 kW / hp	
Run Time	х	8760 hrs / year	
Percent Run Time	×	60% percent run / day	
Yearly Savings per HP Reduction	<u>~</u>	3921 Total kWh savings / hp / year	
rearry savings per in neutron		3921 kWh Reduction / HP / Year	1
			_
Demand Savings per HP		0.746 kW savings per hp	
Coincidence Factor	x	50% peak coincidence factor	
Peak Demand Savings		0.373 kW savings per hp during peak hour (5 p.m. to 9 p.m.)	_
		0.373 Peak kW Reduction / HP	
INSTALLATION OF VFD			
Motor Energy Consumption		0.746 kW / hp	
Percent Load Reduction with VFD	x	15% percent load reduction	
Demand Savings per HP		0.112 kW savings per hp	
Run Time	x	8760 hrs / year	
Energy Savings per hp with VFD		980.24 kWh savings / hp / year	
Percent Run Time	x	60% pump percent run time	
Total Energy Savings per hp with VFD		588 Total kWh savings / hp / year	EM&V review comments recommend 500 - 700 kWh savings (Feb. 23, 2012)
		588.15 kWh Reduction / HP / Year	
Demand Savings per HP		0.112 kW savings per hp	
Coincidence Factor	x	50% peak coincidence factor	
Peak Demand Savings		0.056 kW savings per hp during peak hour (5 p.m. to 9 p.m.)	
		0.056 Peak kW Reduction / HP	

## Table 4.8.1.b

Source of Savings (per HP)	Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh/yr)
Reduced HP	0.373	3921
Installation of VFD	0.056	588.15



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 4.8.2 Electronically Commutated Motors (ECM)

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Pumps and Motors **Equipment Type** ECM Fan Motor

**Equipment Subtype** A/C Fan Coil | Refrigeration

**Equipment Size** None

### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date Revision Date

**Review Date** October 5, 2011

## **Measure Description:**

Electronically Commutated Motor is a fractional horsepower DC motor often used in commercial refrigeration, replacing shaded pole motor. Typical motor size 10-140 W. ECM also used in fan coil units.

#### **Unit of Measure:**

One ECM motor

### **Baseline equipment:**

4-pole (1800 RPM) demand of 107 W

#### Efficient equipment:

High efficiency DC/EC demand of 54 W

### Program criteria:

- 1. New Construction projects and Retrofits from standard efficiency shaded pole motors to ECM in fan coil units (FCUs) are eligible
- 2. All ECMs replacing standard efficient shaded pole motors installed in existing refrigeration cases up to 1 HP in size may qualify for an incentive
- 3. ECM must be coupled with integrated controllers

Source: Hawaii Energy ECM Worksheet

 $https://hawaiienergy.com/files/business/ECM\_FanCoilUnits\_worksheet\_PY2016\_HT.pdf$ 

### **ALGORITHMS**

peak kW savings per W =(kW/W\_pre - kW/W\_post)

annual kWh savings per W =(kWh/W pre - kWh/W post)

peak kW savings per motor =(kW pre-kW post)\*CF



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## annual kWh savings per motor =(kW\_pre-kW\_post)\*HOURS

Table 4.8.2.a

<b>DEFINITIONS</b> 8	DEFINITIONS & ASSUMPTIONS				
		Table			
kW/W_pre	demand of existing motor technology	4.8.2.b			
		Table			
kW/W_post	demand of new electronically commutated motor	4.8.2.b			
		Table			
kWh/W_pre	energy use of existing motor technology	4.8.2.b			
kWh/W_pos	energy use of new electronically commutated	Table			
t	motor	4.8.2.b			
	coincidence factor, percent of time savings		%		
CF	correspond with utility peak, 5 pm to 9 pm	0.5	90		
HOURS	annual operating hours	4380	hrs		
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	15	yrs		

Table 4.8.2.b: ECM Energy Usage Calculation

Technology	Baseline demand <sup>1</sup>	Efficient demand	Baseline annual energy consumption	Efficient annual energy consumption
Shaded Pole motor for refrigeration	0.002	N/A	18.0	N/A
ECM motor for refrigeration	N/A	0.001	N/A	8.7
Baseline motor on AHU fan	0.107	N/A	N/A	N/A
ECM motor on AHU fan	N/A	0.054	N/A	N/A

Source: Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY 2015, July 1 2015-June 30, 2016. Measure Savings Calculations, pp. 130-135

#### Notes:

1. For ECM in refrigeration, demand (W) and energy consumption values (kWh) are expressed per rated W. Presumably, this means for every rated W of ECM motor, an equivalent Shaded Pole motor draws 2 W. For ECM in a fan coil unit, demand (W) and energy consumption (kWh) values are gross for an assumed motor.

## **SAVINGS**

Table 4.8.2.c: ECM Motor Power Demand & Energy Savings

	Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh/yr)
ECM motor-refrigeration <sup>1</sup>	0.001	9.3
ECM motor on AHU fan	0.0265	232

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Refrigeration ECM values are savings per rated motor W



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 4.8.3 Pool Pump VFD

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Pumps and Motors

Equipment Type Pool Pump
Equipment Subtype None
Equipment Size None

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** February 24, 2010

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** October 5, 2011

### **Referenced Documents:**

n/a

#### **TRM Review Actions:**

10/5/11 – Currently Under Review.

### **Major Changes:**

• 12/15/11 – Updated algorithm average pump size from 1.5 HP pump to 1 HP pump. Updated baseline and high efficiency calculations accordingly.

## **Measure Description**

A variable speed commercial pool pump motor in place of a standard single speed motor of equivalent horsepower.

### **Definition of Efficient Equipment**

The high efficiency equipment is a variable speed commercial pool pump.

### **Definition of Baseline Equipment**

The baseline efficiency equipment is assumed to be a single speed commercial pool pump.

 $\Delta$ kWh = (kWBASE ×Hours) × 55%

Where:

Unit = 2-speed or variable speed pool pump ΔkWh = Average annual kWh reduction

Hours = Average annual operating hours of pump

kWBASE = connected kW of baseline pump

= average percent energy reduction from switch to 2-speed or variable speed pump (1)



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## **Baseline Efficiency**

The baseline efficiency case is a single speed pump.

## **High Efficiency**

The high efficiency case is a 2-speed or variable speed pump.

## **Energy and Demand Savings**

Demand Savings: 0.093 kW / HP Energy Savings: 1123 kWh per year / HP

(1) Davis Energy Group (2008). Proposal Information Template for Residential Pool Pump Measure Revisions. Prepared for Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Page 2.

## **SAVINGS**



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### Table 4.8.3.a

### **Commercial Pool Pump**

Pool Pump Horesepower 1 HP

Efficiency 0.8

Hours of operation per day 6 hours

Number of days pool in use 365 days per year

1 HP Equals 0.746 kW

#### Baseline

Pump Size		1.00 HP
kW / HP	Х	0.75 kW / HP
		0.75 kW
Efficiency	÷	0.80
Based Demand		0.93 kW
Hours of operation	х	6 hours/day
Base Energy Usage per day		5.60 kWh/day
Base Energy Usage per year		2042 kWh/year

### **High Efficiency**

Base Demand	0.93 kW
Demand Reduction	10%
High Efficiency Demand	0.839 kW
Base Energy Usage	2042 kWh/year
Energy Reduction	55%
High Efficiency Energy Usage	919 kWh/year

Demand Savings 0.093 kW per	r HP
-----------------------------	------

rgy Savings per year	1123 kWh/year per HP
----------------------	----------------------

# **Deemed Lifetime of Efficient Equipment**

The estimated useful life for a variable speed pool pump is 10 years.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## 4.9 Submetering

## 4.9.1 Condominium Submetering

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Submetering

Equipment Type Condominium Unit
Equipment Subtype Actual Savings

**Equipment Size** None

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** March 4, 2016

Revision Date Review Date

#### **Referenced Documents:**

• n/a

### **TRM Review Actions:**

• 10/5/11 – Currently Under Review.

### **Major Changes:**

• 3/4/2016 – added measure description and equipment qualifications

### **Measure Description:**

This program is to assist master-metered condominiums and their Association of Apartment Owners (AOAO) efforts to reduce energy consumption and implement the current submetering proposal as one that will insure both equity and fairness in allocating energy costs as well as encouraging energy conservation through direct feedback of personal energy use to tenants.

The combination of billing submeters, along with education, peer group comparisons and special equipment offerings, will assist the tenant achieve significant energy conservation and efficiency.

### **Equipment Qualifications:**

The manufacturer's submetering system model type to be installed (meter and CTs) must have been tested by an independent third party that is Nationally Rated Testing Laboratory certified for ANSI C12.1. The certification documentation must be provided to the Program prior to installation. Additionally, manufacturers must have a factory-quality compliance procedure in place to ensure meter accuracy. Documentation of this procedure must be available to the Program upon request. The submeter must be UL, CSA or ETL listed (Electrical Safety).



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### **Requirements:**

- The metering system must remain in place and billing to occur for a period of at least five (5) years or a pro-rated portion of the incentive will be recovered by Hawaii Energy. Provide Hawaii Energy with energy meter data for analysis purposes.
- A joint educational and monitoring program will be undertaken with AOAO to assist in the verification of savings and development of an ongoing energy incentive offering for other condominiums in Hawaii.

### Baseline

The base case is no submetering. Baseline Annual Energy Usage is the actual average usage (kWh/year) based on historical usage for past 24 months (or as appropriate) for entire condominium (master metered) divided by the number of condominium units. Baseline demand (kW) is the Average Historical Demand divided by the number of condominium units.

Table 4.9.1.a

	Demand	Energy
Building	Baseline	Baseline
Types	(kW)	(kWh/year)
Condominium	1.42	7,200

### **High Efficiency**

The high efficiency case is with submetering. It is expected there will be a 10% reduction in energy usage and 8% reduction in peak demand during (5PM – 9PM).

Table 4.9.1.b

	Efficient	Efficient
Building	Case	Case
Types	(kW)	(kWh/year)
Condominium	1.30	6,480

**Energy and Demand Savings (for illustration purposes only):** 



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# Table 4.9.1.c

Building Types	Gross Customer Savings (kW)	Gross Customer Savings (kWh/year)
Condominium	0.113	720

Operational Factor	Adjustment Factor	
Persistence Factor (pf)	1.00	
Demand Coincidence Factor (cf)	1.00	

Building Types	Net Customer Savings (kW)	Net Customer Savings (kWh/year)
Condominium	0.113	720



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# Table 4.9.1.d: Example Savings Calculation

Submetering (Condominium)	
Average Master Meter Energy Usage (kWh/month) Number of tenant Units Average Tenant Energy Usage (Example)	180,000 kWh per month  ÷ 300 Units  600 kWh per home per month  x 12 month per year
Average Master Meter Demand (kW)  Number of tenant Units	7,200 kWh per Year  425 ÷ 300
Baseline Demand (kW)	1.42 kW
Energy Reduction Actively Informed Household Energy Usage	10.0% 6,480 kWh per Year
Baseline Annual Household Energy Usage Actively Informed Household Energy Usage Gross Customer Level Energy Savings	7,200 kWh per Year  - 6,480 kWh per Year  720 kwh per Year
Gross Customer Level Energy Savings Persistance Factor Net Customer Level Savings	x 1.0 kwh per Year 720 kwh per Year
Submetering Energy Savings	720 kWh / Year Savings
Baseline Household Demand	1.42 kW HECO 2008 Load Study
Peak Demand Reduction  Actively Informed Household Demand	1.30 kW
Baseline Household Demand Actively Informed Household Demand Gross Customer Demand Savings	1.42 kW - 1.30 kW 0.113 kW
Gross Customer Demand Savings Persistance Factor Coincidence Factor	0.113 kW x 1.0 x 1.0 0.113 kW
Condominium Sub-Metering Demand Savings	0.113 kW Savings

## **Notes**



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

- Incentive payment will be made upon billing individual tenants.
- Incentive payment cannot exceed 50% of total project cost.
- The payment of the incentive will be based on the AOAO securing the approval, installing and utilizing the submeters for billing purposes.
- There is no minimum reduction in electrical use to be required by AOAO to retain the incentive.

# **Measure Life:**

8 years (based on DEER. Similar technology as time-clocks and occupancy sensors)



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 4.9.2 Small Business Submetering Pilot

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

Equipment GroupSubmeteringEquipment TypeSmall BusinessEquipment SubtypeActual Savings

**Equipment Size** None

# **VERSION HISTORY**

**Draft Date** March 4, 2016

Revision Date Review Date

## **Referenced Documents:**

● n/a

#### **TRM Review Actions:**

• 10/5/11 – Currently Under Review.

### **Major Changes:**

• 3/4/2016 – Added measure description and equipment qualifications

### **Measure Description:**

This program is to assist master-metered small businesses to reduce energy consumption that will insure both equity and fairness in allocating energy costs as well as encouraging energy conservation through direct feedback of personal energy use to business tenants.

The combination of billing submeters, along with education, peer group comparisons and special equipment offerings, will assist the tenant achieve significant energy conservation and efficiency.

# **Equipment Qualifications:**

The manufacturer's submetering system model type to be installed (meter and CTs) must have been tested by an independent third party that is Nationally Rated Testing Laboratory certified for ANSI C12.1. The certification documentation must be provided to the Program prior to installation. Additionally, manufacturers must have a factory-quality compliance procedure in place to ensure meter accuracy. Documentation of this procedure must be available to the Program upon request. The submeter must be UL, CSA or ETL listed (Electrical Safety).

### Requirements:

• The metering system must remain in place and billing to occur for a period of at least five (5) years or a pro-rated portion of the incentive will be recovered by Hawaii Energy. Provide Hawaii Energy with energy meter data for analysis purposes.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

• A joint educational and monitoring program will be undertaken with the businesses to assist in the verification of savings and development of an ongoing energy incentive offering for other condominiums in Hawaii.

### Baseline

The base case is no submetering

Table 4.9.2.a

Building Types	Demand Baseline (kW)	Energy Baseline (kWh/year)
Small Business	3.00	10,800

# **High Efficiency**

The high efficiency case is with submetering

Table 4.9.2.b

Building Types	Efficient Case (kW)	Efficient Case (kWh/year)
Small Business	2.76	9,720

# **Energy and Demand Savings:**

Table 4.9.2.c

13.2.0		
	Gross	Gross
	Customer	Customer
Building	Savings	Savings
Types	(kW)	(kWh/year)
Small Business	0.24	1,080

Operational Factor	Adjustment Factor
Persistence Factor (pf)	1.00
Demand Coincidence Factor (cf)	1.00



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

It is expected there will be at least 10% reduction in energy usage and 8% reduction in peak demand during (5PM – 9PM), however, there is no minimum reduction in electrical use to be required to retain the incentive.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 4.9.2.d: **Example** Savings Calculation

Table 4.9.2.d: <b>Example</b> Savings Calculation		
Small Business Submetering		
Average Tenant Energy Usage		900 kWh per business per month (Schedule G)
The state of the s	х	12
Baseline Business Energy Usage	10	),800 kWh per Year
Enormy Reduction	,	10.0%
Energy Reduction	-	10.0%
Actively Informed Business Energy Usage	9	9,720 kWh per Year
Baseline Business Energy Usage	10	),800 kWh per Year
Actively Informed Business Energy Usage		9,720 kWh per Year
Gross Customer Level Energy Savings		,080 kwh per Year
5		,,000 Watts per kW
		3,760 Hours per Year
Average 24/7 Demand Reduction		123 Watts
Gross Customer Level Energy Savings	1	1,080 kwh per Year
Persistance Factor	x	1.0
Net Customer Level Savings		1,080 kwh per Year
		,
Submetering Energy Savings	1	1,080 kWh / Year Savings
Baseline Business Demand		3.00 kW
baseline basiness bemana		3.00 KVV
Peak Demand Reduction	8	3.00%
Actively Informed Business Demand		2.76 kW
Activery informed business benfand		2.70 NVV
Baseline Business Demand		3.00 kW
Actively Informed Business Demand	_	2.76 kW
Gross Customer Demand Savings	(	0.240 kW
Gross Customer Demand Savings		0.240 kW
Persistance Factor	x	1.00
Coincidence Factor	х	1.00
		0.240 kW
Small Business Demand Savings		0.24 kW Savings
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# **Incentives/Incremental Cost**

- Incentive payment will be made upon billing individual tenants.
- Incentive payment cannot exceed 50% of total project cost.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# 4.10 Water Heating

# 4.10.1 Heat Pump Water Heater

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

Equipment Group Water Heating
Equipment Type Heat Pump WH

**Equipment Subtype** Replace Electric Resistance Water Heater | Replace Heat Pump Water Heater

**Equipment Size** 5 to 11.25 Tons | >11.25 Tons

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** February 24, 2011

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** October 5, 2011

# **Measure Description:**

The installation of a heat pump water heater in place of a standard electric water heater or existing less efficient heat pump water heater.

### **Unit of Measure:**

One heat pump

### **Baseline equipment:**

Standard electric water heater or heat pump water heater

### **Efficient equipment:**

High efficiency heat pump water heater

# Program criteria:

## **ALGORITHMS**

peak kW savings/ton =Base Power\*CF\_base - HPWH Power\*CF\_HP

annual kWh savings/ton =Base WH Energy Usage - HPWH Energy Usage

**Base WH Energy Usage** =Energy per Day per ton\*Days/Yr/COP\_base

**HPWH Energy Usage** =Energy per Day per ton\*Days/Yr/COP\_HP



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### Table 4.10.1.a

<b>DEFINITIONS &amp; ASSUM</b>	<u>IPTIONS</u>	INPUT VALUES
Energy per Day <sup>1</sup>	13.3 gallons/day/person*3.77 persons*8.34 lbs/gallon*(130	22981 BTU
	deg.F-75 deg.F)*1.0[BTU/deg. F/lb]	
Energy per Day per	12,000 BTU/ton/3412kWh/BTU	3.5
ton <sup>2</sup>		
Days/Yr		365
COP_base		Table 4.10.1.b
COP_HP		Table 4.10.1.b
CF_base		Table 4.10.1.b
CF_HP		Table 4.10.1.b
Base Power	=Base WH Energy Usage/(10 hrs/day*365 days/yr)/3650 hours/yr	Table 4.10.1.b
(kW/ton) <sup>3</sup>		
HPWH Power	=HPWH Energy Usage/(10 hrs/day*365 days/yr)/3650 hours/yr	Table 4.10.1.b
(kW/ton) <sup>4</sup>		
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	10 years

Notes:

- 1. Assumed in Hawaii Energy PY7 TRM, p.123;
- 2. Assumed in Hawaii Energy PY7 TRM, p.123;
- 3. Depend on baseline water heater;
- 4. Hawaii Energy PY7 TRM assumes 30.4 days/month\*12 months/year

Table 4.10.1.b: Water Heater Parameters

	COP_base	СОР_НР	CF_base	CF_HP	Base Power	HPWH Power <sup>1</sup>
Electric resistance water heater	0.98	N/A	0.143	N/A	0.4	N/A
Heat pump water heater	N/A	3.5	0.08	0.08	N/A	0.3

Source: Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY 2015, July 1 2015-June 30, 2016. Measure Savings Calculations, p.123 Table 1.13.1.a footnotes:

# **SAVINGS**

Table 4.10.1.c: Heat Pump Water Heater Power Demand & Energy Savings

	Heat Pump WH replacing Resistance WH
peak kW saved/ton	0.03
annual kWh saved/ton	943

<sup>1.</sup> Heat pump power consumption value of 0.3 kW reported in the PY7 TRM appears to be derived based on 943 kWh/ton savings, rather than heat pump energy usage of 367 kWh/ton.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 4.10.2 Solar Water Heater

## **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Water Heating **Equipment Type** Solar Water Heater

**Equipment Subtype** Replace Electric Resistance Water Heater | Replace Heat Pump Water Heater

Equipment Size None

**VERSION HISTORY** 

Draft Date May 30, 2011 Revision Date July 7, 2015

**Review Date** 

**Unit of Measure:** 

Per unit

**Baseline equipment:** 

Baseline usage is an Electric Resistance Water Heater or Heat Pump.

Efficient equipment:

Solar water heater

Program criteria:

Must comply with Solar Rating and Certification Corporation (SRCC) standards.

# **ALGORITHMS**

peak kW demand removed =Avg\_kW(existing)\*CF

annual kWh displaced =System\_Cap\*365 days\*(1-Derate%)\*Perform%/3412 BTU/kWh/COP

#### Table 4.10.2.a

DEFINITIONS & ASSUMPTION	<u>s</u>	INPUT VALUES	Notes
System_Cap	total rated output capacity of panel array, BTU/day, determined by rated output capacity of panel * number of panels	user input	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Derate%	percent adjustment to rated output for array tilt and orientation, where Tilt Derate+Orientation Derate=Derate%	Table 4.10.2.b	
Perform%	remaining capacity after accounting for impacts to performance (e.g. shading)	user input	
СОР	efficiency of existing water heating system	Table 4.10.2.c	
Avg_kW(existing)	average demand of existing electric water heater	user input	derived from engineering calculations or measured data per TRM
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time equipment load corresponds with utility peak load, 5 pm to 9 pm	user input	heat pump water heater assumes 8% CF
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	20 years	

Table 4.10.2.b: Derate Adjustment (%) Due to Tilt & Orientation

Orientation (degrees to true North)	Orientation Derate %	Collector Tilt (degrees)	Tilt Derate %
0-89	prohibited	0-13	prohibited
90-105	25%	14-40	0%
105-115	10%	40-45	5%
115-125	5%	45-50	10%
125-225	0%	50-55	15%
225-235	5%	55-60	20%
235-245	10%	60.0	25%
245-255	15%	>60	prohibited
255-270	20%		
271-360	prohibited		

Source: Current Hawaii Energy Solar Hot Water Incentive Worksheet

Table 4.10.2.c: Coefficient of Performance of Existing Water Heating System

Water Heater Type	СОР
Electric Resistance Water Heater	0.90
Electric Heat Pump Water Heater	3.50

Source: Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY 2015, July 1 2015-June 30, 2016. Measure Savings Calculations p 112

# **SAVINGS**

# -Example-



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# The following savings is an example based on:

- 350,000 BTU/day
- 90 deg. Orientation, 20 deg. Tilt
- Existing electric resistance water heater at 2kW average load

Table 4.10.2.d: Sample Power Demand & Energy Savings

	Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh/yr)
Solar Water Heater	0.16	31,201



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 4.11 Other Commercial Measures

# 4.11.1 Re-Commission / Retro-Commission

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Whole Building Assistance **Equipment Type** Retro-Commissioning

**Equipment Subtype** Re-Commission | Retro-Commission

**Equipment Size** None

### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date Revision Date Review Date

#### Unit of Measure:

No initial savings. This measure provides incentives for evaluating savings opportunities that can be then undertaken by the customer and incentivized by the Program.

### **Baseline equipment:**

Pre-commissioning operating procedures.

### **Efficient equipment:**

Post-commissioning operating procedures.

#### Program criteria:

Hawaii Energy incentivizes the actions of building owners to evaluate the effectiveness and efficiency of current building systems to optimize performance.

These actions will be documented in a Commissioning Report that shall include:

- Executive summary of all activities included in the commissioning process.
- Introduction section, including names and contact information for the Building Owner, Building Manager, RCx Trade Ally.
- Detailed building and energy systems description, including estimates of the equipment usage profiles.
- Detailed operational scheduling of the major systems.
- Detailed report of all optimization measures identified.
- Cost estimate, energy savings estimate and simple payback for all recommended operational actions, sequencing, and equipment enhancements.
- Pre- and post-data logging.
- Testing and Balancing (TAB) of HVAC system.
- Functional testing of the EMS, if equipped.
- Detailed operations and maintenance review.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

- Documentation of O&M refresher training for facility staff.
- Assessment of existing equipment over-sizing and recommendations for right-sizing when HVAC equipment needs replacement, including, but not limited to recommended capital items.

### **ELIGIBILITY CHECKLIST**

Program pre-approval is required prior to the start of any energy consumption analysis. Projects can be whole building or by system if determined cost-effective by Hawaii Energy.

Eligible program participants must:

- Own or operate a high energy usage facility that has at least 50,000 square feet of conditioned space or that consumes at least 1,000,000 kWh/year.
- Receive electric service from Hawaiian Electric Companies (e.g., HECO, MECO or HELCO) and pay a Hawaii public benefits fund surcharge on their electric bill.
- For retro-commissioning, building has been in service for at least 2 years and has never been commissioned before. For recommissioning, it has been at least 5 years since the last commissioning activity.
- Be willing to commit up to 100% of the incentive value to implement energy conservation measures (ECMs) found to have a 2- year or less payback. Any implemented ECMs are eligible for Hawaii Energy's prescriptive and custom incentives.
- Grant Hawaii Energy access to their facility's billing data and other required data to establish an initial benchmark rating via ENERGY STAR Portfolio Manager®.
- Grant Hawaii Energy access to the facility itself for on-going program assessment, monitoring and measurement purposes.
- Be willing to invest facility management time, typically between 8-16 hours, to support multiple site visits and data requests from the RCx consultant.
- Perform at least two weeks of metering of all major building systems prior to the implementation of any ECMs and at least two weeks of post metering. The cost of "pre" and "post" metering may be included in the total project cost by the commissioning agent. The metering plan shall be included in the proposal.
- The participant's commissioning specialist shall be certified by a nationally recognized building commissioning organization such as the American Society of Heating, Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE), AABC Commissioning Group (ACG), Building Commissioning Association (BCA), National Environmental Balancing Bureau (NEBB) or similar organization acceptable to Hawaii Energy.
- If participant wishes to use a non-certified contractor to perform the Retro-Commissioning or Enhanced Commissioning project, an exception may be granted at Hawaii Energy's sole discretion if:
  - 1. The proposed contractor provides evidence of having completed similar commissioning projects for two or more buildings of at least 50,000 square feet (conditioned space) each, and
  - 2. The proposed contractor submits at least two verifiable and satisfactory references from customers or clients who used the contractor to complete the similar projects.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

- All retro-commissioning work performed (to include, but not limited to, documentation and reporting) must follow guidelines recommended by an approved commissioning organization. The commissioning specialist must indicate in their report the organization's guidelines which were followed for the retro-commissioning process.
- The cost of replacement of major end use items may be included in the total project cost from the commissioning agent. Cost of routine maintenance activities identified by the commissioning agent shall not be included in the total project.

# **SAVINGS**

peak kW savings	Custom calculated or measured
annual kWh savings	Custom calculated or measured



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# 5 Residential Measures

# 5.1 Appliances

## 5.1.1 Clothes Washer

### HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE

**Equipment Group** Appliances **Equipment Type** Clothes Washer

**Equipment Subtype** Tier 1 | Tier 2 | Tier 3

**Equipment Size** None

# **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft DateFebruary 24, 2010Revision DateNovember 14, 2013Review DateJune 23, 2015

## **Measure Description:**

**Energy Efficient Clothes Washer** 

## **Unit of Measure:**

One washer

# Baseline equipment:

Clothes washer meeting minimum federal requirements as of March 2015.

# Efficient equipment:

Three tiers of efficient equipment:

- 1) Energy Star or CEE Tier 1 certified
- 2) Energy Star Most Efficient, or CEE Tier 2 certified
- 3) CEE Tier 3 certified

# Program criteria:

**ENERGY STAR certified** 

# **ALGORITHMS**

annual kWh savings per washer = [(CAP \* 1/IMEF\_base \* CYCLES) \* (%E\_wash,base + (%E\_heat,base \* %HEATER\_electric) + (%E\_dry,base \*



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

%DRYER\_electric))] - [(CAP \* 1/IMEF\_he \* CYCLES) \* (%E\_wash,he + (%E\_heat,he \* %HEATER\_electric) +

(%E\_dry,he \* %DRYER\_electric))]

peak kW savings per washer = (ΔkWh/Hours)\*CF

lifetime kWh savings per washer =  $\Delta kWh * (Measure Life)$ 

Table 5.1.1.a

DEFINITIONS & ASSUMPTIONS		Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Notes
САР	Average clothes washer capacity in ft <sup>3</sup>	3.45	3.45	3.45	Based on analysis of all models meeting federal minimum standards in NEEP Mid-Atlantic TRM V6
IMEF_base	Integrated Modified Energy Factor of baseline unit	1.66	1.66	1.66	Based on analysis of all models meeting federal minimum standards in NEEP Mid-Atlantic TRM V6
CYCLES	Average number of washer cycles per washer per year	313	313	313	NEEA Dryer Field Study, 2014 (Table 45) <sup>16</sup> .
%E_wash,base	Percentage of total energy consumption for clothes washer operation for a baseline model	8%	8%	8%	Based on analysis of all models meeting federal minimum standard in NEEP Mid-Atlantic TRM V6
%E_heat,base	Percentage of total energy consumption for water heating for a baseline model	31%	31%	31%	Based on analysis of all models meeting federal minimum standard in NEEP Mid-Atlantic TRM V6
%E_dry,base	Percentage of total energy consumption for clothes drying for a baseline model	61%	61%	61%	Based on analysis of all models meeting federal minimum standard in NEEP Mid-Atlantic TRM V6
%DRYER_electric	Percentage of dryers assumed to be electric	81%	81%	81%	Based on Evergreen Baseline Study (2014) on percentage of

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>https://www.neea.org/docs/default-source/reports/neea-clothes-dryer-field-study.pdf



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

					homes with
					secondary fuel
					sources (Figure 22)
					Based on Evergreen
					Baseline Study (2014)
					on percentage of
					homes with electric
					water heaters (scaled
					down to account for
	Percentage of water				likelihood that
%HEATER_electric	heating assumed to be	50%	50%	50%	homes with electric
	electric				
					water heating use
					less hot water than
					those with solar or
					gas water heating
					due to smaller home
					and household size)
	Integrated Modified Energy Factor of efficient unit			2.92	Minimum qualifying
		2.26	2.74		IMEF for various
					efficiency tiers;
					weighted average
					based on the relative
IMEF_he					number of front-
_					loading vs. top-
					loading washers
					available in each tier.
					See NEEP Mid-
					Atlantic TRM V6 for
					details
	Percentage of total				Based on analysis of
	energy consumption for				all models meeting
%E_wash,he	clothes washer operation	8%	14%	14%	requirements for
	for efficient unit				each tier in NEEP
					Mid-Atlantic TRM V6
	Percentage of total				Based on analysis of
	energy consumption for				all models meeting
%E_heat,he	water heating for efficient	23%	10%	10%	requirements for
	unit				each tier in NEEP
	D ( ( ( ) )				Mid-Atlantic TRM V6
	Percentage of total		76%	76%	Based on analysis of
%E_dry,he	energy consumption for clothes drying for efficient unit	69%			all models meeting
					requirements for
	unit				requirements for



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

					each tier in NEEP Mid-Atlantic TRM V6
HRS	Average number of run hours per washer per year	297	297	297	57 minutes/cycle based on NEEA Dryer Field Study, 2014 <sup>17</sup>
Measure Life	Estimate useful life	14	14	14	ENERGY STAR Market & Industry Scoping Report, 2011 <sup>18</sup>
CF	Coincidence Factor	5.7%	5.7%	5.7%	

# **SAVINGS**

*Table 5.1.1.b* 

	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
Peak Demand Savings per Washer (kW)	0.022	0.030	0.034
Annual Savings per Washer (kWh)	114.07	156.80	176.37

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>https://www.neea.org/docs/default-source/reports/neea-clothes-dryer-field-study.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/ENERGY\_STAR\_Scoping\_Report\_Residential\_Clo\_thes\_Dryers.pdf



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# 5.1.2 Clothes Dryer

# **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Appliances **Equipment Type** Clothes Dryer

Equipment Subtype None Equipment Size None

# **VERSION HISTORY**

**Draft Date** 

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** 

# **Measure Description:**

Energy efficient clothes dryer as specified below replacing a baseline clothes dryer.

### **Unit of Measure:**

One dryer

### **Baseline equipment:**

Clothes dryer meeting minimum federal requirements (blended average of pre-1/1/15 and post-1/1/15 federal standards).

## Efficient equipment:

ENERGY STAR certified electric clothes dryer ≥ 4.4 ft<sup>3</sup>

## **Program criteria:**

**ENERGY STAR certified** 

# **ALGORITHMS**

annual kWh savings per washer = (LOAD/CEF\_base - LOAD/CEF\_he) \* CYCLES

peak kW savings per washer =  $(\Delta kWh/Hours)*CF$ 

lifetime kWh savings per washer =  $\Delta kWh * (Measure Life)$ 

#### Table 5.1.2.a

100000000000000000000000000000000000000		
Definitions and Assumptions	INPUT VALUES	Notes



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

LOAD	Average total weight (lbs) of clothes per drying cycle	8.45	Based on ENERGY STAR product criteria testing. 19
CEF_base	Combined Energy Factor (lbs/kWh) of the baseline unit	3.15	Blended average of early replacement (80%) and replace on burnout (20%) baselines, using federal minimum CEF. From 1994-2014, minimum CEF was 3.01 (early replacement baseline). Since 2015, minimum CEF has been 3.73 (replace on burnout baseline).
CEF_he	Combined Energy Factor (lbs/kWh) of the efficient unit	3.93	Based on ENERGY STAR product criteria testing.
CYCLES	Average number of dryer cycles per dryer per year	311	NEEA Dryer Field Study, 2014. <sup>20</sup>
HRS	Average run hours per dryer per year	290	56 minutes/cycle based on NEEA Dryer Field Study, 2014. <sup>21</sup>
CF	Coincidence factor during peak period	5.7%	Based on analysis of clothes dryer load shape curve from DOE PNNL study. See Tab 2 for calculation. 22
Measure Life	Estimate useful life	14	ENERGY STAR Market & Industry Scoping Report, 2011. <sup>23</sup>

# **SAVINGS**

Table 5.1.2.b

Annual Energy Savings per Dryer (kWh)	165.58			
Peak Demand Savings per Dryer (kW)	0.033			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>https://www.energystar.gov/products/appliances/clothes dryers/key product criteria

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> https://www.neea.org/docs/default-source/reports/neea-clothes-dryer-field-study.pdf

 $<sup>^{\</sup>bf 21}\underline{https://www.neea.org/docs/default-source/reports/neea-clothes-dryer-field-study.pdf}$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup>http://www.pnnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical\_reports/PNNL-20110.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/ENERGY\_STAR\_Scoping\_Report\_Residential\_Clothes\_Dryers.pdf



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# 5.1.3 Refrigerator

# **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Appliances **Equipment Type** Refrigerator

Equipment Subtype None Equipment Size None

# **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft DateFebruary 24, 2010Revision DateNovember 14, 2013Review DateJune 23, 2015

# **Measure Description:**

ENERGY STAR certified refrigerator as specified below replacing a non-ENERGY STAR refrigerator and turning in the existing refrigerator to be recycled. Also, turn-in only refrigerators rebate available.

### **Unit of Measure:**

One refrigerator

## **Baseline equipment:**

Non-ENERGY STAR refrigerator

# **Efficient equipment:**

**ENERGY STAR certified refrigerator** 

## Program criteria:

**ENERGY STAR certified** 

# **ALGORITHMS**

$$\Delta E_{replace} = E_{base} - E_{he}$$

$$\Delta E_{replace \& turn in} = (E_{base} - E_{he}) + 717$$

$$\Delta P_{replace} = \frac{E_{base} - E_{he}}{HRS}$$

$$\Delta P_{replace \& turn in} = \frac{(E_{base} - E_{he}) + 717}{HRS}$$



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 5.1.3.a

DEFINITIONS & ASSUMPTIONS					
Ebase	Energy usage of the baseline equipment	540	kWh		
$E_{he}$	Energy usage of the higher efficiency equipment	435	kWh		
$\Delta E$	Energy reduction	Calculated	kWh		
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time equipment load corresponds with utility peak load	100	%		
PF	persistence factor, % of measures installed and operating	100	%		
HRS	Annual operating hours	8760	hrs		
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	14	yrs		

## Table 5.1.3.b

Opportu	ınity		Energy Usage	Reference
New Non-ENERGY STAR			540	Table 5.1.3.d
New ENERGY STAR Refrigerator			435	Table 5.1.3.d
		=	105kWh/Year	Table 5.1.3.c
#1 - Purchase of ENERGY STAR Re	efrigerator		105	Table 5.1.3.c
#2 - Removal of Old Unit from Service (off the grid)		+	717	Table 5.1.3.c
#1 + #2 = Purchase ES and Recycl	e old unit		822kWh/Year	
	Energy Usage	Ratio Co	ontribution	
Post-1993 Refrigerator	640	55%	354.54	Table 5.1.3.e
Pre-1993 Refrigerator	1,131	45%	504.46	Table 5.1.3.e
			859kWh/Year	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 5.1.3.c

Energy Savings Opportunities for Program Sponsors								
		Annual Savings						
Opportunity	Per	Unit	Aggregate U	.S. Potential				
	kWh	\$	MWh	\$ million				
Increase the number of buyers that purchase ENERGY STAR qualified refrigerators.								
9.3 million units were sold in 2008. 70 percent were not ENERGY STAR. 6.5 million potential units per year could be upgraded.	105	11.64	675,928	75				
Decrease the number of units kept on the grid when new units are purchased.     8.7 million primary units were replaced in 2008.     44 percent remained in use, whether they were converted to second units, sold, or given away.     3.8 million units are candidates for retirement every year.	717	79.53	2,746,062	305				
Decrease the number of second units.     26 percent of households had a second refrigerator in 2008.     29.6 million units are candidates for retirement.	859	95.28	25,442,156	2,822				
4. Replace pre-1993 units with new ENERGY STAR qualified models.  • 19 percent of all units in use in 2008 were manufactured before 1993.  • 27.3 million total potential units are candidates for targeted replacement.	730	81	19,946,440	2,212				

Sources: See endnote 10.

Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 5.1.3.d

Energy and Cost Comparison for Upgrading to ENERGY STAR							
Purchase Decision	New Non-ENERGY STAR Qualified Refrigerator	New ENERGY STAR Qualified Refrigerator					
A1 C	540 kWh	435 kWh					
Annual Consumption	\$60	\$48					
A   Ci	-	105 kWh					
Annual Savings	-	\$12					
Average Lifetime	12 years	12 years					
Lifetiere Continue	-	1,260 kWh					
Lifetime Savings	-	\$140					
Price Premium	-	\$30 - \$100					
Simple Payback Period	-	3-9 years					

Note: Calculations based on shipment-weighted average annual energy consumption of 2008 models. An ENERGY STAR qualified model uses 20 percent less energy than a new non-qualified refrigerator of the same size and configuration.

Source: See endnote 10.

Table 5.1.3.e

Energy and Cost Comparison for Removing a Second Refrigerator from the Grid									
	Post-19	93 Unit	Pre-19	93 Unit					
Fate of Unit	Remains on the Grid	Removed from the Grid	Remains on the Grid	Removed from the Grid					
A   C	640 kWh	-	1,131 kWh	-					
Annual Consumption	\$71	-	\$125	-					
Annual Cavinna	-	640 kWh	-	1,131 kWh					
Annual Savings	-	\$71	-	\$125					
Average Lifetime*	6	_	6	_					
Lifeties Conins	-	3,840 kWh	-	6,788 kWh					
Lifetime Savings*	-	\$426	-	\$753					
Removal Cost	-	\$50 - \$100	-	\$50 - \$100					
Simple Payback Period	-	1-2 years	_	<1 year					

\*Assumes unit has six years of functionality remaining. Sources: See endnote 10.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# **SAVINGS**

Table 5.1.3.g

	Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh)
ES Refrigerator with Turn-In	0.034	822
Bounty (Turn in only)	0.034	859
New ES Refrigerator Only (No Turn-In)	0.017	105



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## 5.2 Electronics

## 5.2.1 Televisions

### HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE

**Equipment Group** Electronics

Equipment Type TV
Equipment Subtype None
Equipment Size \$15 | \$8

### **VERSION HISTORY**

**Draft Date** 

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** 

## **Measure Description:**

ENERGY STAR V7.0 televisions. This measure is for a midstream incentive to retailers to stock, promote, and sell televisions which meet or exceed ENERGY STAR Version 7.0.

### **Unit of Measure:**

One television

# **Baseline equipment:**

See Footnote 24

# **Efficient equipment:**

**ENERGY STAR certified TV** 

# Program criteria:

**ENERGY STAR certified** 

# **ALGORITHMS**

peak kW savings per TV = [(Watts\_base -Watts\_ee) /1000] x CF

annual kWh savings per TV = (Watts\_base -Watts\_ee) /1000 x HOURS\_Active x 365

### Table 5.2.1.a

DEFINITIONS & ASSUMPTIONS	INPUT VALUES	Notes



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Wester have	Deceling composted Watto (setima)	Table	Baseline power consumption is drawn from ENERGY STAR
Watts_base	Baseline connected Watts (active)	5.2.1.b	"Consumer Electronics
			Calculator" <sup>24</sup> .
Watts oo	Energy efficient connected Watts (active)	Table	ENERGY STAR V7.0 Program
Watts_ee	Effergy efficient conflected watts (active)	5.2.1.b	Requirements <sup>25</sup> .
			Based on Efficiency Vermont
CF	Demand Coincidence Factor	0.22	TRM, 2015 for coincident usage
			between 5-7PM.
			Average television active power
HOURS_Activ	Average hours of use per day in Active Mode	5	reported in ENERGY STAR
е			"Consumer Electronics
			Calculator".
			Average television lifetime
			estimated in ENERGY STAR
Measure	Expected duration of energy savings	6 years	"Consumer Electronics
Life	Expected duration of effergy savings	6 years	Calculator" referencing Appliance
			Magazine, Portrait of the U.S.
			Appliance Industry 2000.

# **SAVINGS**

Table 5.2.1.b

#### Non-4K

Scree	n size	N	lax Pow	er	Dema Savir	-	TEC		Energy Savings		
(iı	n)		(W)		(kV	V)		(kWh/yr	)	(kWh	/yr)
Min	Max	Base	ES7	ME16	ES7	ME16	Base	ES7	ME16	ES7	ME 16
40	45	54	37	27	0.017	0.027	99	68	50	31	49
45	50	69	45	33	0.024	0.036	126	82	61	44	65
50	55	74	52	38	0.022	0.036	135	95	70	40	65
55	60	87	57	42	0.03	0.045	159	104	77	55	82
60	80	88	66	49	0.022	0.039	161	120	89	40	71
Ave	rage	74	51	38	0.023	0.036	136	94	69	42.0	66

 $^{24} https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/asset/document/Consumer\_Electronics\_Calculator.xlsx$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup>https://www.energystar.gov/sites/default/files/FINAL%20Version%207.0%20Television%20Program%20Require ments%20%28Dec-2014%29.pdf



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## 4K

Scree	n size	N	lax Pow	er	_	Demand Savings		TEC		Energy Savings	
(iı	n)		(W)		(kV	V)		(kWh/yr	)	(kWh	/yr)
Min	Max	Base	ES7	ME16	ES7	ME16	Base	ES7	ME16	ES7	ESME 16
40	45	81	37	27	0.044	0.054	148	68	50	80	98
45	50	104	45	33	0.059	0.07	189	82	61	107	128
50	55	111	52	38	0.059	0.073	203	95	70	108	132
55	60	131	57	42	0.074	0.088	238	104	77	134	161
60	80	132	66	49	0.066	0.083	241	120	89	120	152
Ave	rage	112	51	38	0.06	0.074	204	94	69	109.8	134

Non- 4k	40%	0.0092
4k	60%	0.036
	CF	0.220
	ΔkW	0.0099

Non- 4k	40%	16.8
4k	60%	65.88
	ΔkWh	82.680

Table 5.2.1.c

	Peak Demand Savings per TV (kW)	Annual Energy Savings per TV (kWh)
Average Television	0.010	82.7



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 5.2.2 Soundbars

# **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

Equipment Group Electronics
Equipment Type Soundbars
Equipment Subtype None
Equipment Size \$15 | \$8

## **VERSION HISTORY**

**Draft Date** 

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** 

#### Unit of Measure:

One soundbar

## **Baseline equipment:**

The baseline efficiency equipment is based on a 2014 study on the energy consumption of consumer electronics in U.S. Homes in 2013.

## **Efficient equipment:**

The high efficiency equipment are soundbars that meet or exceed ENERGY STAR version 3.0

### **Program criteria:**

This measure is for a midstream incentive to retailers to stock, promote, and sell soundbars which meet or exceed ENERGY STAR Version 3.0.

# **ALGORITHMS**

Peak Demand (kW) Savings Per Soundbar = PF x [(Watts<sub>BASE-ACTIVE</sub> -Watts<sub>EE-ACTIVE</sub>) /1000] x CF

Annual Energy (kWh) Savings Per Soundbar = PF x {[(Wattsbase-active-Wattsee-active)\*Hours\_Active]+[Wattsbase-idle-

Wattsee-IDLE)\*Hours\_Idle]+[WattsBASE-SLEEP-WattsEE-SLEEP)\*Hours\_Sleep]}/1000

**Lifetime Energy (kWh) Savings Per** =∆kWh x Measure\_Life

Soundbar

# Table 5.2.2.a

DEFINITIONS 8	ASSUMPTIONS	INPUT VALUES	Notes
Watts <sub>BASE-ACTIVE</sub>	Baseline Watts (active)	30	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Watts <sub>BASE-IDLE</sub>	Baseline Watts (idle)	12	Fraunhofer Center for Sustainable
Watts <sub>BASE-SLEEP</sub>	Baseline Watts (sleep)	4	Energy Systems. 2014 <sup>26</sup> .
Watts <sub>EE-ACTIVE</sub>	Energy efficient watts (active)	20.2	Energy Solutions Report on RPP -
Watts <sub>EE-IDLE</sub>	Energy efficient watts (idle)	3.5	Citing EPA Internal Analysis of Energy
Watts <sub>EE-SLEEP</sub>	Energy efficient watts (sleep)	0.5	Star V2.0 Soundbars <sup>27</sup> .
Hours_Active	Hours per year in active mode	1580.0	
Hours_Idle	Hours per year in idle mode	730.0	Fraunhofer Center for Sustainable Energy Systems. 2014 <sup>28</sup> .
Hours_Sleep	Hours per year in sleep mode	6450.0	
CF	Coincidence factor, percent of time savings correspond with utility peak 5 pm-9 pm	0.220	Assuming same CF as Televisions.  Based on Efficiency Vermont TRM,  2015 for coincident usage between 5-  7PM.
PF	Persistence factor (% of measures installed and operational)	100%	
Measure_Life	Expected duration of energy savings (years)	7	Energy Star Assumption - Via NEEP Mid-Atlantic TRM Version 6

# **SAVINGS**

*Table 5.2.2.b* 

	Peak Demand Savings per Soundbar (kW)	Annual Energy Savings per Soundbar (kWh)
Soundbars	0.002	44.3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup>https://www.cta.tech/CTA/media/policyImages/Energy-Consumption-of-Consumer-Electronics.pdf

 $<sup>^{27}</sup> https://static1.squarespace.com/static/53c96e16e4b003bdba4f4fee/t/556d387fe4b0d8dc09b24c28/1433221247215/RPP+Methodology+for+Developing+UEC+Estimates\_Final.pdf$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup>https://www.cta.tech/CTA/media/policyImages/Energy-Consumption-of-Consumer-Electronics.pdf



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

## 5.3 HVAC

## 5.3.1 Window AC & VRF AC

## **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**VRF Split System AC** 

**Equipment Group** HVAC

**Equipment Type** VRF Split System AC

**Equipment Subtype** None

**Equipment Size** ≤2 Tons | >2 tons

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** February 24, 2011 **Revision Date** April 17, 2015

**Review Date** 

### HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE

Room AC with Recycling

**Equipment Group** HVAC

**Equipment Type** Window AC

Equipment Subtype Trade-In Recycler Incentive; Trade-In Recycler Incentive; Trade-In

**Equipment Size** None

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** December 23, 2014 **Revision Date** June 23, 2015

**Review Date** 

### **Unit of Measure:**

One unit

## **Baseline equipment:**

None or small or large VRF Split or Room A/C with recycling

## **Efficient equipment:**

High efficiency small or large VRF Split or Room A/C with recycling

### Program criteria:

Installation of a small or large VRF Split or Room A/C with recycling or existing (lower efficiency) small or large VRF Split or Room A/C with recycling.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### **ALGORITHMS**

peak kW savings per ton =(12,000 BTU/hr capacity\*[1/(S)EER\_b-1/(S)EER\_ee]\*CF)/1000

W/kW\*PF

annual kWh savings per ton =(12,000 BTU/hr capacity\*[1/(S)EER\_b-

1/(S)EER\_ee]\*HOURS)/1000 W/kW\*PF

VRF Splits < 2 Tons peak kW =(12,000 BTU/hr capacity\*[1/(S)EER\_b-1/(S)EER\_ee]\*CF)/1000

savings per ton W/kW\*PF\*PY15 small

VRF Splits < 2 annual kWh =(12,000 BTU/hr capacity\*[1/(S)EER\_b-

savings per ton 1/(S)EER ee]\*HOURS)/1000 W/kW\*PF\*PY15 small

VRF Splits >= 2 Tons peak kW =(12,000 BTU/hr capacity\*[1/(S)EER\_b-1/(S)EER\_ee]\*CF)/1000

savings per ton W/kW\*PF\*PY15\_large

VRF Splits >= 2 annual kWh =(12,000 BTU/hr capacity\*[1/(S)EER\_b-

savings per ton 1/(S)EER\_ee]\*HOURS)/1000 W/kW\*PF\*PY15\_large

Table 5.3.1.a

<b>DEFINITIONS</b> 8	& ASSUMPTIONS	INPUT VALUES	Notes
(S)EER_b	Baseline rated efficiency, BTU/hr-W, which depends on cooling capacity of proposed equipment.	Table 5.3.1.b	Units less than 65,000 BTU/hr are rated in SEER rather than EER.
(S)EER_ee	Proposed measure rated efficiency, BTU/hr-W.	Table 5.3.1.b	Units less than 65,000 BTU/hr are rated in SEER rather than EER.
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time savings correspond with utility peak 5pm -9 pm	0.5	deemed; 50% VRFs operational between 5-9 pm
HOURS	equivalent full load cooling hours	1825	deemed; 5 hrs per day cooling
ton	unit of equipment cooling capacity	12,000 BTU/hr	
	From a review of PY2015 rebates processed, the average size of a small		
PY15_small	unit	1.28	Tons
	From a review of PY2015 rebates		
	processed, the average size of a large		
PY15_large	unit	2.58	Tons
PF	persistence factor (% of measures installed and operational)	100%	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Measure Life expected duration of energy savings	9 years
--	---------

Table 5.3.1.b: Residential HVAC program efficiencies<sup>29</sup>

Unit Type	Unit Size, BTU/hr	average size (BTU/hr) <sup>1</sup>	(S)EER_b	(S)EER_ee <sup>2</sup>
VRF Split A/C	small (<2 tons)	15360	10.9	16.0
VRF Split A/C	large (2+ tons)	30960	10.9	16.0
Window A/C with recycling	~3/4 ton	8500	9.8	11.2

## **SAVINGS**

Table 5.3.1.c: Peak kW Savings/Ton by Building Type and Equipment Size (BTU/hr)

Building Type	small VRF	large VRF
Single Family/Multifamily Residential	0.225	0.453

Table 5.3.1.d: Annual kWh Savings/Ton by Building Type and Equipment Size (BTU/hr)

Building Type	small VRF	large VRF
Single Family/Multifamily Residential	819.74	1,652.29

Table 5.3.1.e: Deemed kW and kWh savings for Room A/C

	peak kW	annual kWh
Room A/C 8500 BTU/hr	0.054	198

 $<sup>^{29}</sup>$  Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY 2015, July 1 2015-June 30, 2016. Measure Savings Calculations, p.35-36.

<sup>1.</sup> Average of PY2015 measures rebated in those categories.

<sup>2.</sup> Window a/c minimum efficiency criteria set at ENERGY STAR standard for louvered sides without reverse cycle.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 5.3.2 Central AC Retrofit

# **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

Equipment Group HVAC
Equipment Type Central AC
Equipment Subtype Retrofit
Equipment Size None

# **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date June 20, 2014

Revision Date Review Date

# **Measure Description:**

Early removal of an existing inefficient central air conditioning unit from service, prior to its measure and natural end of life, and replacement with a higher efficient unit.

### **Unit of Measure:**

One unit

# **Baseline equipment:**

Older inefficient central air conditioning unit

# Efficient equipment:

New central air conditioning unit with higher Energy Efficiency Ratio

# Program criteria:

### **ALGORITHMS**

**peak kW savings**  $\Delta kW = CF * CAP * [(1/ \eta_base) - (1/ \eta_he)]/1,000$ 

annual kWh savings  $\Delta$ kWh = HRS \* CAP \* [(1/ $\eta$ \_base) – (1/ $\eta$ \_he)]/1,000

Table 5.3.2.a

DEFINITIONS & ASSUMPTIONS 30	INPUT VALUES	Notes
------------------------------	-----------------	-------

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY 2015, July 1 2015-June 30, 2016. Measure Savings Calculations, pp. 43



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

HRS	percent of time on low speed	2,920	Based on 8 hr/day, 365 day/year
САР	Btu/hour cooling capacity	12000	BTU/Hr (1 TON of cooling)
η_base	Baseline Energy Efficiency Ratio	9.8	EER
η_he	Energy efficient unit Energy Efficiency Ratio	13.0	EER
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time savings correspond with utility peak 5pm -9 pm	75%	
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	15 years	

$I \cap I$			

Table 5.3.2.b	_		
Central AC Replacement			
Average Unit Cooling Capacity	12000	BTU/Hr	Equals 1 Ton Cooling Capacity DOE Federal Test Procedure 10CFR 430,
Energy Efficiency Ratio	9.8	EER	Appendix F
	1224.5	Watts/kW	
	1000	Watts/kW	
	1.22	kW	
Conventional Full Load Demand Honolulu Full Load Equivalent Cooling	1.22	kW	
Hours	2920	Hours per Year	Based on 8 hr/day, 365 day/year
Conventional AC Annual Energy Consumption	3575.5	kWh per Year	
Consumption	33/3.3	kwii pei Teai	
High Efficiency Central AC	12000	BTU/hr	Equals 1 Ton Cooling Capacity
Energy Efficiency Ratio	13	EER	Minimum Energy Star Rated Window AC
		•	
Full Load Demand	923.1	Watts	
Conversion	1000	Watts/kW	
Full Load Demand	0.92	kW	
High Efficiency Demand	0.92	kW	
Cooling Hours	2920	Hours per Year	
High Efficiency Energy Usage	2695.4	kWh per Year	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

		kWh per Year (PER
Annual Energy Savings	880.13	TON)
Coincidence Factor	75%	

Demand Peak Savings 0.226 kW/TON	
----------------------------------	--

### **SAVINGS**

Table 5.3.2.c

Estimated system size:	3 ton
peak kW savings per 3-tons	0.678
annual kWh savings per 3-tons	2,640.38



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 5.3.3 Central AC Tune Up

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

Equipment Group HVAC
Equipment Type Central AC
Equipment Subtype Tune Up
Equipment Size None

### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date Revision Date Review Date

### **Measure Description:**

Maintenance of a residential central A/C system.

### **Unit of Measure:**

One unit

### **Baseline equipment:**

Pre-tune up central air conditioning unit

### **Efficient equipment:**

Post-tune up central air conditioning unit

### Program criteria:

### **ALGORITHMS**

Table 5.3.3.a

DEFINITIONS &	<u>ASSUMPTIONS</u>	INPUT VALUES	Notes
HRS	Operating hours of A/C unit	1,460	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

CF	coincidence factor, percent of time savings correspond with utility peak 5pm -9 pm	Table 5.3.3.b	% of maximum hourly watt savings on average that were realized in a 5-9 pm time period for residential A/V equipment (see Valmiki and Corradini).
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	1 year	

### Table 5.3.3.b

Home A/C Tune Up – Single Multi-family Residential Home			
Average AC unit Size		3	ton unit
Average AC Unit EER		13.0	EER
EER to kW Conversion		12	
	÷	13.0	EER
Average AC Unit kW/Ton		0.923	kW/Ton
Average AC unit Size		3	ton unit
Equivalent Full Load Run Hours (EFLRH)	Х	1,460	hrs./Year
Post Tune Up – Average Energy Consumption		4,043	kWh/Year
Pre Tune Up A/C Operational Problems EFLRH Adjustment Factor <sup>31</sup>		8%	
Post Tune Up – Average Energy Consumption		4,043	kWh/Year
Pre Tune Up A/C Operational Problems EFLRH Adjustment	Х	108%	
Pre Tune Up - Average Energy Consumption		4,367	kWh/Year
Post Tune Up - Average Energy Consumption	_	4,043	kWh/year
Post Tune Up – Average Energy Savings		323	kWh/Year
Persistence Factor	X	1.0	
AC Tune Up Energy Savings		323	kWh / Year
Average AC Unit Demand		2.77	kW
Persistence Factor		1.00	
Pre Tune Up Coincidence Factor	Х	0.33	
Pre Tune Up On Peak Demand		0.914	kW

AC Unit Demand will not change. A reduction in operational hours will occur once tune up is completed. This lowers Coincidence Factor

	0.33
Х	92%
	Х

Ξ

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup>Accounts for impacts to performance due to incorrect refrigerant charge, clogged AHU filter, dirty condenser coil.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Post Tune Up Coincidence Factor	0.3036	_
Average AC Unit Demand	2.77	
Persistence Factor	x 1.00	
Post Tune Up On Peak Demand	0.841	kW
Pre Tune Up On Peak Demand	0.914	kW
Post Tune Up On Peak Demand	- 0.841	kW
AC Tune Up Demand Savings	0.073	kW

# **SAVINGS**

### Table 5.3.3.c

Building Types	Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh/year)
Residential Household	0.073	323.45



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 5.3.4 Ceiling Fans

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** HVAC **Equipment Type** Fan

**Equipment Subtype** Ceiling w/Light Kit

**Equipment Size** None

### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft DateMarch 2, 2011Revision DateJune 23, 2015

**Review Date** 

### **Measure Description:**

ENERGY STAR ceiling fan with high efficiency motor and CFL bulbs, replacing fan with standard efficiency motor and (three) integral incandescent bulbs.

### **Unit of Measure:**

One unit

### Baseline equipment:

Standard efficiency motor with three integral incandescent bulbs

### Efficient equipment:

ENERGY STAR high efficiency motor with CFL bulbs

### Program criteria:

### **ALGORITHMS**

peak kW savings per fan = [(%low\*(Low\_kW\_base-Low\_kW\_ee) + % med\*(Med\_kW\_base-

Med\_kW\_ee) + %high\*(High\_kW\_base-High\_kW\_ee)) + ((Inc\_kW-

CFL kW)\*WHFd)]\*CF

annual kWh savings per fan = [(%low\*(Low\_kW\_base-Low\_kW\_ee) + % med\*(Med\_kW\_base-

Med\_kW\_ee) + %high\*(High\_kW\_base-High\_kW\_ee))\*HOURS\_fan +

((Inc\_kW-CFL\_kW)\*WHFee)\*HOURS\_light]\*CF



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 5.3.4.a

DEFINITIONS & ASSU	JMPTIONS 32	INPUT VALUES	Notes
%low	percent of time on low speed	40%	
%med	percent of time on medium speed	40%	
%high	percent of time on high speed	20%	
Low_kW_base	low speed baseline fan motor wattage	0.015	
Low_kW_ee	low speed efficient fan motor wattage	0.012	0.008 kW per current ENERGY STAR criteria and min air flow setting
Med_kW_base	medium speed baseline fan motor wattage	0.035	
Med_kW_ee	medium speed efficient fan motor wattage	0.031	0.030 kW per current criteria and min air flow setting
High_kW_base	high speed baseline fan motor wattage	0.073	
High_kW_ee	high speed efficient fan motor wattage	0.072	0.067 kW per current criteria and min air flow setting
Inc_kW	baseline wattage of three incandescent bulbs	0.129	EISA general purpose baseline effective 2014
CFL_kW	wattage of three efficient CFL bulbs	0.060	
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time savings correspond with utility peak 5pm -9 pm	11%	
HOURS_fan	hours of fan operation per year	1022	2.8 hours per day, 365 days per year
HOURS_light	hours of light operation per year	840	2.3 hours per day, 365 days per year
WHFd	waste heat factor to account for cooling load savings from efficient lighting	1.21	
WHFee	waste heat factor to account for cooling energy savings from efficient lighting	1.07	
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	5 years	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY 2015, July 1 2015-June 30, 2016. Measure Savings Calculations, pp. 43



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# **SAVINGS**

*Table 5.3.4.b* 

peak kW savings per fan	0.012
annual kWh savings per fan	65



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 5.3.5 Solar Attic Fan

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

Equipment Group HVAC
Equipment Type Fan
Equipment Subtype Solar Attic
Equipment Size None

### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft DateMarch 2, 2011Revision DateApril 29, 2016

**Review Date** 

### **Unit of Measure:**

Fan serving whole home

### **Baseline equipment:**

No attic fan

### **Efficient equipment:**

Solar-powered attic fan in air-conditioned home

### Program criteria:

### **ALGORITHMS**

peak kW savings per fan =AC\_cap/1000\*(1/EER)\*%svgs\_ac\*PF\*CF
annual kWh savings per fan =AC\_cap/1000\*(1/EER)\*EFLH\*%svgs\_ac\*PF

### Table 5.3.5.a

DEFINITIONS	& ASSUMPTIONS <sup>33</sup>	INPUT VALUES	Notes
%svgs_ac	percent of a/c load savings from solar attic fan	10%	
AC_cap	cooling capacity of existing air conditioner	8500	average of PY14 window A/C units

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY 2015, July 1 2015-June 30, 2016. Measure Savings Calculations, pp. 46



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

			incentivized by
			Hawaii Energy
EER	full load cooling efficiency of existing air	9.8	
	conditioner		
EFLH	equivalent full load cooling hours for existing	1825	
	air conditioner		
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time savings	0.000	
	correspond with utility peak 5pm -9 pm		
PF	persistence factor (% of measures installed	100%	
	and operational)		
Measure	expected duration of energy savings	20 years	
Life			

# **SAVINGS**

### Table 5.3.5.b

peak kW savings per fan	0.00
annual kWh savings per fan	158



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 5.3.6 Whole House Fan

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

Equipment Group HVAC Equipment Type Fan

**Equipment Subtype** Whole House

**Equipment Size** None

### **VERSION HISTORY**

**Draft Date** March 2, 2011 **Revision Date** April 17, 2015

**Review Date** 

### **Measure Description:**

Fan that pulls hot air from the living space and exhausts through attic and roof or ductwork.

### **Unit of Measure:**

One fan

### **Baseline equipment:**

No fan installed

### **Efficient equipment:**

Fan installed

Program criteria:

### **ALGORITHMS**

peak kW savings per fan = AC\_cap/1000\*(1/EER)\*%svgs\_ac\*PF\*CF

annual kWh savings per fan = AC\_cap/1000\*(1/EER)\*EFLH\*%svgs\_ac\*PF

Table 5.3.6.a

DEFINIT	DEFINITIONS & ASSUMPTIONS34	INPUT
DEFINIT	DN3 & A33UMPTION3—	VALUES

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY 2015, July 1 2015-June 30, 2016. Measure Savings Calculations, pp.49-50.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

%svgs_ac <sup>35</sup>	percent of a/c load savings from solar attic fan	20%
AC_cap <sup>36</sup>	cooling capacity of existing air conditioner	8500
EER	full load cooling efficiency of existing air conditioner	9.8
	equivalent full load cooling hours for existing air	
EFLH	conditioner	1825
	coincidence factor, percent of time savings correspond	
CF	with utility peak 5pm -9 pm	0.590
	persistence factor (% of measures installed and	
PF	operational)	100%
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	20 years

### **SAVINGS**

Table 5.3.6.b

peak kW savings per fan	0.10
annual kWh savings per fan	317

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> KEMA-Xenergy, Inc. Impact Evaluation of the 2001 Statewide Low Income Energy Efficiency (LIEE) Program. April 8, 2003. calmac.org/publications/2001\_LIEE\_Impact\_Evaluation.pdf

 $<sup>^{36}</sup>$  Average of PY14 window A/C units incentivized by Hawaii Energy



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 5.4 Lighting

### 5.4.1 Residential Compact Fluorescent Lamp

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Lighting

**Equipment Type** Fluorescent: CFL

**Equipment Subtype** None **Equipment Size** Various

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** February 24, 2010 **Revision Date** April 17, 2015

**Review Date** 

**Measure Description:** 

Replacing incandescent lamp with standard screw-in, ENERGY STAR CFL

**Unit of Measure:** 

One lamp

**Baseline equipment:** 

Incandescent lamp

**Efficient equipment:** 

**ENERGY STAR CFL** 

### **ALGORITHMS**

peak kW savings per lamp =kW\_b\*CF\*PF-kW\_cfl\*CF\*PF

annual kWh savings per lamp =kW\_b\*HOURS\*PF-kW\_cfl\*HOURS\*PF

### Table 5.4.1.a

DEFINITIONS	S & ASSUMPTIONS	INPUT VALUES	Notes
kW_b	baseline wattage of average residential bulb	Table 5.4.1.b	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

kW_cfl	wattage of average CFL bulb	Table 5.4.1.c	
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time savings correspond with utility peak 5pm -9 pm	12%	
PF	persistence factor, % of measures installed and operating	96%	
HOURS	hours of lamp operation per year	Table 5.4.1.b	
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	6 years	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 5.4.1.b: Baseline Lamp Characteristics<sup>37</sup>

Lamp Types	Retired Baseline	Demand Baseline '07 EISA	Demand Baseline post 2020	Hours per Day, non-military	Hours per Day, military	HE Penetration %	Total kWh², non- military	Total kWh, military
	W	(kW_b)	(kW_b_20)					
Incandescent	100-150	0.072	0.023	2.3	3.45	2.40%	1.45	2.18
Incandescent	75	0.053	0.018	2.3	3.45	26.50%	11.79	17.69
Incandescent	60	0.043	0.015	2.3	3.45	24.70%	8.92	13.37
Incandescent	40	0.029	0.009	2.3	3.45	28.20%	6.87	10.30
CFL		0.026		2.3	3.45	0.50%	0.11	0.16
CFL		0.023		2.3	3.45	5.90%	1.14	1.71
CFL		0.014		2.3	3.45	5.50%	0.65	0.97
CFL		0.013		2.3	3.45	6.30%	0.69	1.03
						Total Average Baseline Energy (kWh)	31.61	47.41
						Total Average Demand (kW)	0.0376	

<sup>37</sup> Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY 2015, July 1 2015-June 30, 2016. Measure Savings Calculations, p.28

<sup>1.</sup> Mix of CFL and incandescent assumes 81.8% incandescent replacement rate, and 18.2% CFL replacement rate, annually, which reflects 1 CFL burnout per every 4.5 CFL burnout in 9000 hours of operation.

<sup>2.</sup> Values differ slightly than shown in published table when converted from static values to calculations.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 5.4.1.c: Efficient Lamp Characteristics 38

Demand Baseline (kW_cfl)	Hours per Day, non- military	Hours per Day, military	HE Penetration %	Total kWh², non- military	Total kWh, military
0.026	2.3	3.5	2%	0.44	0.65
0.023	2.3	3.5	33%	6.37	9.56
0.014	2.3	3.5	24%	2.82	4.23
0.013	2.3	3.5	42%	4.58	6.88
			Total Average Energy (kWh)	14.21	21.32
			Total Average Demand (kW)	0.0168	

### **SAVINGS**

Table 5.4.1.d

. 40.0 0. 112.4	
peak kW savings per lamp	0.0024
annual kWh savings per lamp, non-military	16.7
annual kWh savings per lamp, non-military	25.0

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Hawaii Energy Efficiency Program Technical Reference Manual, PY 2015, July 1 2015-June 30, 2016. Measure Savings Calculations, p.28

<sup>1.</sup> Mix of CFL and incandescent assumes 81.8% incandescent replacement rate, and 18.2% CFL replacement rate, annually, which reflects 1 CFL burnout per every 4.5 CFL burnout in 9000 hours of operation.

<sup>2.</sup> Values differ slightly than shown in published table when converted from static values to calculations.

# Hawai'i Energy

# Hawai'i Energy – PY2016 Technical Reference Manual

Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 5.4.2 Residential LED

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

Equipment GroupLightingEquipment TypeLEDEquipment SubtypeNoneEquipment SizeVarious

### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft DateFebruary 24, 2010Revision DateMay 19, 2016

**Review Date** 

### **Referenced Documents:**

Evergreen TRM Review – 2/23/12

• Evergreen TRM Review – 1/15/14

### **TRM Review Actions:**

- 10/5/11 Currently Under Review.
- 4/8/15 Revised LED savings values per PY12 TRM Review

### **Major Changes:**

- 11/21/11 Updated tables and text in the following headings:
  - Measure description
  - o Baseline efficiencies
  - High efficiency
  - Energy savings
  - Savings algorithm
- Updates made to capture a broader range of lamp types (two wattages per lamp type) and obtain more accurate savings calculations.
- 11/21/11 Changed the following text under *Energy Savings* heading: 1) "LED Gross Savings before operational adjustments" was changed to "LED Savings before..." and 2) "CFL Net Savings after operational adjustments" was changed to "LED Savings after..."
- 11/21/11 Under *Energy Savings* heading changed table to only one building type because savings are calculated the same between single and multi-family housing.
- Removed the 1.08 size adjustment factor.
- 4/8/15 Changed persistence factor from 0.8 to 0.96 to be consistent with CFL bulbs.
- 4/17/15 Baseline efficiency for CFL and for LED shall be the same.
- 4/17/15 Adjust baseline to be a mixture of incandescents and CFLs.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

- 4/17/15 Adjust baseline percentages based on Program statistics of CFLs and incandescents and a burn-out ratio of 4.5:1 (incandescents:CFL). Burn-out ratio from 2014 DEER data.
- 4/17/15 Adjust enhanced case to be a mixture of LEDs based on actual Program statistics.
- 5/19/2016 Added measure life.

### **Measure Description:**

The replacement of a standard incandescent lamp or spiral compact fluorescent lamp with a light emitting diode in both Residential Single Family and Multi-family homes. Lamps must comply with Energy Star and UL.

#### **Baseline Efficiencies:**

Breakdown of CFL vs incandescent is based on a burn-out ratio of 9000 hours to 2000 hours. In 9000 hours, 4.5 incandescents will burn out and 1 CFL will burn out, for a total of 5.5 burnt-out bulbs. This equates to a replacement rate of 81.8% incandescents and 18.2% CFLs. Within each category of incandescents or CFLs, the breakdown of wattages is based on actual Hawaii Energy Program statistics.

Table 5.4.2.a

Baseline Efficiency						
Lamp Types	Demand Baseline (kW)	Hours per Day	Energy Baseline (kWh/year)	%	Totals	
Incandescent	0.072	2.3	60.4	2.4%	1.45	
Incandescent	0.053	2.3	44.5	26.5%	11.79	
Incandescent	0.043	2.3	36.1	24.7%	8.92	
Incandescent	0.029	2.3	24.3	28.2%	6.87	
CFL	0.026	2.3	21.8	0.5%	0.12	
CFL	0.023	2.3	19.0	5.9%	1.12	
CFL	0.014	2.3	11.9	5.5%	0.65	
CFL	0.013	2.3	10.6	6.3%	0.67	
Total Baseline Energy (kWh)						
Total Average Demand (kW)					0.0376	

### **High Efficiency:**



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

The high efficiency case is a mixture of 5.5 W, 7.6 W, 12.6 W, and 17.1 W LED bulbs. These wattages, as well as the percentage breakdown of wattages, is based on actual Hawaii Energy Program statistics.

Table 5.4.2.b

Enhanced Efficiency						
Lamp Types	Demand Baseline (kW)	Hours per Day	Energy Baseline (kWh/year)	%	Totals	
LED	0.0171	2.3	14.4	8%	1.20	
LED	0.0126	2.3	10.6	29%	3.09	
LED	0.0076	2.3	6.4	57%	3.62	
LED	0.0055	2.3	4.6	6%	0.26	
Total Baseline Energy (kWh)						
Total Average Demand (kW)						

Table 5.4.2.c: Operational Adjustments

Operational Factor	Adjustment Factor
Persistence Factor (pf)	0.96
Demand Coincidence Factor (cf)	0.12

# **Energy Savings:**

Table 5.4.2.d: LED Savings

Wattage Delta (kW)	0.0279
Annual Operating hours	839.5
Total Baseline Energy (kWh/year)	31.58
Total High Efficiency Energy (kWh/year)	8.17
Energy Delta (kWh/year)	23.41
Persistence Factor (pf)	0.96
Annual Energy Savings (kWh/year)	22.5
Persistence Factor (pf)	0.96
Peak Coincidence Factor	0.12
Peak Demand Savings (kW)	0.0032



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# **Military savings**

Based on EM&V review 1/15/14, military homes have 50 percent more operating hours than non-military homes, or 1,259.3 hours per year instead of 839.5 hours per year.

Table 5.4.2.e

Туре	Demand Savings (kW)	Energy Savings (kWh/yr)
Non-Military	0.0032	22.5
Military	0.0032	33.8

### **Measure Life**

15 years



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 5.5 Plug/Process Load

### 5.5.1 Advanced Power Strips

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Plug/Process Load **Equipment Type** Advanced Power Strip

**Equipment Subtype** Tier 1 | Tier 2

**Equipment Size** None

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** February 24, 2010 **Revision Date** October 31, 2016

**Review Date** 

### **Referenced Documents:**

 11/22/11 – Advanced Power Strip kWh savings updated based on NYSERDA Measure Characterization for Advanced Power Strips.

### **TRM Review Actions:**

Evergreen TRM Review – 1/15/14

### **Major Changes:**

- 6/23/2015 Removed power strip cost data.
- 12/11/2015 Added persistence factor of 0.80 (estimate) to account for some smart strips that are never installed or uninstalled.
- 10/31/2016 Added Tier 2 advanced smart strip measure savings

### **Measure Description**

#### Tier 1:

Load sensing advanced power strips (APS) eliminate standby loads when equipment has not been turned off. This measure involves the purchase and installation of load sensing advanced power strips in place of a code-compliant or standard efficiency power strip. Savings is based on the average savings per plug of a 5-plug strip and a 7-plug strip.

### Tier 2:



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Tier 2 APS eliminate standby loads when equipment has not been turned off and reduce excess energy usage that results from leaving equipment on when not in use. Additional sensors such as infrared (IR) or occupancy sensors (OS) detect activity in the room, allowing the APS to switch off loads when no activity is detected.

### **Definition of Efficient Equipment**

### Tier 1:

The high efficiency equipment is an advanced power strip. If the exact number of plugs in the strip is unknown, savings is based on a 6-plug strip, as shown below. If the exact number of plugs in the strip is known, such as part of the Hawaii Energy online kit promotions, then the respective savings value may be used based on the actual size of the advanced power strip.

### Tier 2:

Savings is based on an IR-OS Tier 2 APS product.

### **Definition of Baseline Equipment**

The baseline efficiency equipment is a code-compliant or standard efficiency power strip.

### **Savings Algorithms**

Table 5.5.1.a: Tier 1

Advanced Power Strips					
Savings per Unit Plugs per Unit	kWh plugs	56.5 ÷ 5	102.8 7		NYSERDA Measure Characterization for Advanced Power Strips
Savings per Plug	kWh/plug	11.3	14.686		
Average Savings per Plug			13.0	kWh	
			6	plugs/unit	
		х	0.8	PF	
Advanced Power Strip Energy Savings			62.4	kWh per U	nit first year
Hours of Operation			8760	hours/year	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

		Demand Savings	0.007 kW
--	--	----------------	----------

Table 5.5.1.b

# From PGE report Tables 10 & 11<sup>39</sup>:

	IR-OS simulated savings [kWh]	IR-OS pre-post savings [kWh]	Average Savings	
Energy	118	110	114.00	kWh
Demand	0.016	0.01	0.013	kW
Tier 2 Power Strip Energy Savings			114.0	kWh
Demand Savings			0.013	kW

### **Measure Life**

5 years

Savings cited from PG&E's Emerging Technologies Program, Project ET13PGE1441 - February 2016

http://www.aesc-inc.com/download/tier 2 aps final report et13pge1441.pdf

39 Savings cited from PG&E's Emerging Technologies Program, Project ET13PGE1441 - February 2016

http://www.aesc-inc.com/download/tier 2 aps final report et13pge1441.pdf



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 5.6 Pumps & Motor

### 5.6.1 Pool Pump VFD

#### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Pumps & Motors **Equipment Type** Pool Pump VFD

Equipment Subtype None Equipment Size None

#### **VERSION HISTORY**

**Draft Date** February 24, 2010

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** November 14, 2013

### **Referenced Documents:**

- Davis Energy Group (2008). Proposal Information Template for Residential Pool Pump Measure Revisions. Prepared for Pacific Gas and Electric Company; Page 2.
- Residential Retrofit High Impact Measure Evaluation Report. The Cadmus Group. February 8, 2010.

### **TRM Review Actions:**

- 4/9/12 Measure updated per EMV report February 23, 2012. Coincidence Factor of .0862 added. Added algorithm for Evergreen with 4.25 hours in place of 6 hours per day. Added Cadmus Group reference.
- 10/5/11 Currently Under Review.
- 11/14/13 No changes are recommended.

### **Major Changes:**

n/a

### **Measure Description**

A variable speed residential pool pump motor in place of a standard single speed motor of equivalent horsepower.

### **Definition of Efficient Equipment**



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

The high efficiency equipment is a variable speed residential pool pump.

### **Definition of Baseline Equipment**

The baseline efficiency equipment is assumed to be a single speed residential pool pump.

 $\Delta$  kWh = (kWBASE × Hours) × 55% BASE

Where:

Unit = variable speed pool pump

 $\Delta$  kWh = Average annual kWh reduction

Hours = Average annual operating hours of pump

kWBASE = connected kW of baseline pump

= average percent energy reduction (Davis Energy Group, 2008)

### **Baseline Efficiency**

The baseline efficiency case is a single speed pump.

### Table 5.6.1.a

Based Demand	0.70 kW
Base Energy Usage per day	2.97 kWh/day
Base Energy Usage per year	1085 kWh/year



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# **High Efficiency**

The high efficiency case is variable speed pump.

### Table 5.6.1.b

10010 0:0:1:0	
Demand Reduction	10%
High Efficiency Demand	0.63 kW
Energy Savings	55%
High Efficiency Energy Usage	488 kWh/year

# **Energy and Demand Savings**

### Table 5.6.1.c

Demand Savings	1.278 kW
Coincidence Factor	0.0862 kW

Energy Savings per year	597 kWh/year
Peak Demand Reduction	0.006 kW

# **Savings Algorithm**



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Table 5.6.1.d  Average Pool Pump Horesepower	0.75 HP
Efficiency	0.8
Hours of operation per day  Number of days pool in use	4.25 hours 365 days per year
1 HP Equals	0.746 kW
Based Demand	0.70 kW
Base Energy Usage per day	2.97 kWh/day
Base Energy Usage per year	1085 kWh/year
Demand Reduction	10%
High Efficiency Demand	0.63 kW
Energy Savings	55%
High Efficiency Energy Usage	488 kWh/year
Demand Savings	1.278 kW
Coincidence Factor	0.0862 kW
Energy Savings per year	597 kWh/year
Peak Demand Reduction	0.006 kW

# **Lifetime of Efficient Equipment**

The estimated useful life for a variable speed pool pump is 10 years.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 5.7 Water Heating

### 5.7.1 Heat Pump Water Heaters

### HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE

**Equipment Group** Water Heating

**Equipment Type** Heat Pump Water Heater

Equipment Subtype None Equipment Size None

### **VERSION HISTORY**

**Draft Date** March 2, 2011 **Revision Date** June 23, 2015

**Review Date** 

#### **Referenced Documents:**

- From SalesForce Measures (Impact)
- October 2004 (KEMA Report)
- Evergreen TRM Review 2/23/12
- Evergreen TRM Review 1/15/14

### **TRM Review Actions:**

- 10/5/11 Currently Under Review.
- 11/14/13 Adjusted savings to be consistent with the most recent product specifications.
- 06/23/15 Reviewed for PY15. Removed reference to incentive amount (\$).

### **Major Changes:**

- Recognizing the growing product availability and sales efforts regarding residential heat pumps, increase educational efforts.
- Changed base SERWH element power consumption from 4.5 kW to 4.0 kW

### **Measure Description:**

Rebate applications for water heaters are provided by the retailers at the time of purchase or a customer can visit our website and download the form. Rebate applications must include an original purchase receipt showing brand and model number.

### **Baseline Efficiencies:**



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

The base case is a standard electric resistance water heater (SERWH).

# **Energy Savings:**

Table 5.7.1.a

Measure	Energy Savings (kWh/year)	Demand Savings (kW)
Base Case (SERWH)	2732	0.57
Enhanced Case (HPWH)	1088	0.36
Savings	1644	0.21



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# **Savings Algorithms**

Table 5.7.1.b

Heat Pump Water Heater			
Energy per Day (BTU) = (Gallons per Day) x (lbs. per Ga	l.) x (	Temp Rise) x (Energy to Raise Water Tem	p)
Hot Water needed per Person		13.3 Gallons per Day per Person	HE
Average Occupants	х	3.77 Persons	KEMA 2008
Household Hot Water Usage		50.1 Gallons per Day	
Mass of Water Conversion		8.34 lbs/gal	
Finish Temperature of Water		130 deg. F Finish Temp	
Initial Temperature of Water	-	75 deg. F Initial Temp	
Temperature Rise		55 deg. F Temperature Rise	
Energy to Raise Water Temp		1.0 BTU / deg. F / lbs.	
Energy per Day (BTU) Needed in Tank		23,000 BTU/Day	
Energy per Day (BTU) Needed in Tank		23,000 BTU/Day	
BTU to kWh Energy Conversion	÷	3,412 kWh / BTU	
Energy per Day (kWh)		6.7 kWh / Day	
Days per Month	X	30.4 Days per Month	
Energy (kWh) per Month		205 kWh / Month	
Days per Year =	X	365 Days per Year	
Energy (kWh) Needed in Tank to Heat Water per Year		2,459 kWh / Year	
Elec. Res. Water Heater Efficiency	÷	0.90 COP	
Base SERWH Energy Usage per Year at the Meter		2,732 kWh / Year	KEMA 2008 - HECO
Energy (kWh) Needed to Heat Water per Year		2,459 kWh / Year	
Heat Pump Water Heating Efficiency	÷	2.26 COP	
Heat Pump Water Heating Energy Usage		1,088 kWh / Year	
Base SERWH Energy Usage per Year at the Meter		2,732 kWh / Year	
Heat Pump Water Heating Energy Usage	-	1,088 kWh / Year	
Residential Heat Pump Water Heating Energy Saving	gs	1644 kWh / Year	
Heat Pump Power Consumption		4.5 kW	
Coincedence Factor	x	0.08 cf	4.80 Minutes per ho
=		0.36 kW On Peak	noo minates per no
Base SERWH Element Power Consumption		4.0 kW	
Coincidence Factor	X	0.143 cf	8.6 Minutes per hou
Base SERWH On Peak Demand		0.57 kW On Peak	KEMA 2008
Base SERWH On Peak Demand	_	0.57 kW On Peak	
Heat Pump Water Heater Demand	-	0.36 kW On Peak	KEMA 2008
-		0.21 kW On Peak	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Operating	Hours
-----------	-------

See Table above.

### **Load Shape**

TBD

# Freeridership/Spillover Factors

**TBD** 

### **Persistence Factor**

### **Coincidence Factor**

0.143 (based on 8.6 minutes per hour for 4 hours)

### Lifetime

10 years (DEER)



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

#### 5.7.2 Solar Water Heater

#### HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE

Equipment Group Water Heating
Equipment Type Solar Water Heater
Equipment Subtype \$500 Direct | \$500 IBD

**Equipment Size** None

**VERSION HISTORY** 

**Draft Date** February 24, 2010 **Revision Date** April 8, 2015

**Review Date** 

### **Measure Description:**

Replacement of Electric Resistance Water Heater with a Solar Water Heater designed for a 90% Solar Fraction. The new Solar Water Heating systems most often include an upgrade of the hot water storage tank sized at 80 or 120 gallons.

#### **Unit of Measure:**

One system

### **Baseline equipment:**

Baseline usage is a 0.9 COP Electric Resistance Water Heater. The baseline water heater energy consumption is by a single 4.0kW electric resistance element that is controlled thermostatically on/off controller based of tank finish temperature set point. The tank standby loss differences between baseline and high efficiency case are assumed to be negligible.

Demand Baseline has been determined by field measurements by KEMA 2005-07 report. The energy baseline also comes from the KEMA 2005-07 report and is supported by engineering calculations shown in this TRM.

### Efficient equipment:

Solar Water Heater designed for a 90% Solar Fraction. The Solar Systems use solar thermal energy to heat the water 90% of the time and continue to utilize electricity to operate the circulation pump and provide heating through a 4.0 kW electric resistance element when needed.

Solar Contractors do not favor Photo-Voltaic powered DC circulation pumps as they have proven less reliable in the field than an AC powered circulation pump.

The electric resistance elements in the high efficiency case do not have load control timers on them.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

The energy is the design energy of a 90% solar fraction system with circulation pump usage as metered by KEMA 2008.

The on peak demand is the metered demand found by KEMA 2008.

### Program criteria:

Systems must comply with Hawaii Energy Solar Standards and Specifications which call out:

- Panel Ratings
- System Sizing
- Installation orientation de-rating factors
- Hardware and mounting systems

### **ALGORITHMS**

Table 5.7.2.a

<u>e</u>		INPUT VALUES
Perf_F	SWH system performance factor	94.4%
PF	persistence factor (% of measures installed and operational)	93%
HOURS	annual hours of equipment operation	8760
CF	coincidence factor, percent of time savings correspond with utility peak 5pm -9 pm	
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	20 years

### **SAVINGS**

*Table 5.7.2.b* 

Solar Water Heater - Non-Military Single Family	
Home	

Energy per Day (BTU) = (Gallons per Day) x (lbs. per Gal.) x (Temp Rise) x (Energy to Raise Water Temp)

Hot Water needed per Person

Person

Average Occupants x 3.77 Persons

Household Hot Water Usage

Mass of Water Conversion

Energy to Raise Water Temp)

HE KEMA 2008

HOUSEHOLD FOR THE FOR TEMPORAL STATE OF TEMPOR



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Finish Temperature of					
Water Initial Temperature of			130	deg. F Finish Temp	
Water	-		75	deg. F Initial Temp	
Temperature Rise			55	deg. F Temperature Rise	
Energy to Raise Water Temp			1.0	BTU / deg. F / lbs.	
Energy per Day (BTU)			1.0		•
Needed in Tank		23,000		BTU/Day	
Energy per Day (BTU)		22.000		DTI / D -	
Needed in Tank BTU to kWh Energy		23,000		BTU/Day	
Conversion	÷	3,412		kWh / BTU	
Energy per Day (kWh)		6.7		kWh / Day	
Days per Month	х	30.4		Days per Month	
Energy (kWh) per Month		205		kWh / Month	
Days per Year	х	365		Days per Year	
	ank to Heat Water per Year	2,459		kWh / Year	
Elec. Res. Water Heater					
Efficiency	÷	0.90		COP	
Efficiency  Base SERWH Energy Usa	÷ ge per Year at the Meter	0.90 2,732		kWh / Year	KEMA 2008 - HECO
·					KEMA 2008 - HECO
Base SERWH Energy Usa  Design Annual Solar			90%	kWh / Year	Program
Base SERWH Energy Usa			90%	kWh / Year  Water Heated by Solar System Water Heated by	
Base SERWH Energy Usa  Design Annual Solar			90%	kWh / Year  Water Heated by Solar System	Program
Base SERWH Energy Usa  Design Annual Solar Fraction  Energy Usage per Year		2,732		kWh / Year  Water Heated by Solar System Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element	Program
Base SERWH Energy Usa  Design Annual Solar  Fraction				kWh / Year  Water Heated by Solar System Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element  kWh / Year	Program
Base SERWH Energy Usa  Design Annual Solar Fraction  Energy Usage per Year		2,732		kWh / Year  Water Heated by Solar System Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element	Program
Base SERWH Energy Usa  Design Annual Solar Fraction  Energy Usage per Year at the Meter  Back Up Element	ge per Year at the Meter	2,732	10%	kWh / Year  Water Heated by Solar System Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element  kWh / Year Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element	Program
Base SERWH Energy Usa  Design Annual Solar Fraction  Energy Usage per Year at the Meter	ge per Year at the Meter	2,732	10%	kWh / Year  Water Heated by Solar System Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element  kWh / Year Water Heated by	Program
Base SERWH Energy Usa  Design Annual Solar Fraction  Energy Usage per Year at the Meter  Back Up Element Energy Used at Meter	ge per Year at the Meter	2,732 2,732 273.2	10%	kWh / Year  Water Heated by Solar System Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element  kWh / Year Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element  kWh / Year	Program Design
Base SERWH Energy Usa  Design Annual Solar Fraction  Energy Usage per Year at the Meter  Back Up Element Energy Used at Meter  Circulation Pump Energy	ge per Year at the Meter	2,732 2,732 273.2	10%	kWh / Year  Water Heated by Solar System Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element  kWh / Year Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element kWh / Year  kWh / Year	Program Design  KEMA 2008 KEMA
Base SERWH Energy Usa  Design Annual Solar Fraction  Energy Usage per Year at the Meter  Back Up Element Energy Used at Meter  Circulation Pump Energy Pump Hours of Operation	ge per Year at the Meter	2,732 2,732 273.2	10%	kWh / Year  Water Heated by Solar System Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element  kWh / Year Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element  kWh / Year	Program Design KEMA 2008
Base SERWH Energy Usa  Design Annual Solar Fraction  Energy Usage per Year at the Meter  Back Up Element Energy Used at Meter  Circulation Pump Energy	ge per Year at the Meter	2,732 2,732 273.2	10%	kWh / Year  Water Heated by Solar System Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element  kWh / Year Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element kWh / Year  kWh / Year	Program Design  KEMA 2008 KEMA
Base SERWH Energy Usa  Design Annual Solar Fraction  Energy Usage per Year at the Meter  Back Up Element Energy Used at Meter  Circulation Pump Energy Pump Hours of Operation Pump Energy used per Year	ge per Year at the Meter	2,732 2,732 273.2 1,292	10%	kWh / Year  Water Heated by Solar System Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element  kWh / Year Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element kWh / Year  kWh / Year  kWh / Year	Program Design  KEMA 2008 KEMA
Base SERWH Energy Usa  Design Annual Solar Fraction  Energy Usage per Year at the Meter  Back Up Element Energy Used at Meter  Circulation Pump Energy Pump Hours of Operation Pump Energy used per Year  Back Up Element Energy Used at Meter	ge per Year at the Meter	2,732 2,732 273.2 1,292	10%	kWh / Year  Water Heated by Solar System Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element  kWh / Year Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element kWh / Year  kWh / Year  kWh / Year	Program Design  KEMA 2008 KEMA
Base SERWH Energy Usa  Design Annual Solar Fraction  Energy Usage per Year at the Meter  Back Up Element Energy Used at Meter  Circulation Pump Energy Pump Hours of Operation Pump Energy used per Year  Back Up Element	ge per Year at the Meter	2,732 2,732 273.2 1,292 106	10%	kWh / Year  Water Heated by Solar System Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element  kWh / Year Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element  kWh / Year  kW  Hours per Year  kWh / Year	Program Design  KEMA 2008 KEMA 2008
Base SERWH Energy Usa  Design Annual Solar Fraction  Energy Usage per Year at the Meter  Back Up Element Energy Used at Meter  Circulation Pump Energy Pump Hours of Operation Pump Energy used per Year  Back Up Element Energy Used at Meter Pump Energy used per	ge per Year at the Meter  x	2,732 2,732 273.2 1,292 106	10%	kWh / Year  Water Heated by Solar System Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element  kWh / Year Water Heated by Remaining Backup Element  kWh / Year  kW  Hours per Year  kWh / Year	Program Design  KEMA 2008 KEMA 2008



# Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Base SERWH Element Po Coincidence Factor Base SERWH On Peak Demand Base SERWH On Peak Demand Solar System Metered on Peak Demand	x	0.143 0.57 0.57 0.11 0.46	cf kW On Peak	8.6 KEMA 2008 KEMA 2008	Minutes per hour
Coincidence Factor Base SERWH On Peak Demand Base SERWH On Peak Demand Solar System Metered		0.143 0.57 0.57	cf kW On Peak kW On Peak	KEMA 2008 KEMA	
Coincidence Factor Base SERWH On Peak Demand Base SERWH On Peak Demand		0.143	cf kW On Peak	KEMA 2008	
Coincidence Factor Base SERWH On Peak Demand		0.143	cf	KEMA	
Coincidence Factor Base SERWH On Peak		0.143	cf	KEMA	
				8.6	
Base SERWH Element Po	ower Consumption	4.0	KVV		
	0 "	4.0	kW		
Residential Solar Water	Heater Energy Savings	2,057.00	kWh / Year Savings	KEMA 2008	
		2,057	kWh / Year	2008	
Persistence Factor	X	0.93	pf	2008 KEMA	
Performance Factor		0.94	pf	HE KEMA	
Design Solar System Energy Savings		2,353	kWh / Year		
Energy Savings		2,353	kWh / Year		
			KWII / Teal		
Energy Usage Design Solar System	-	379	kWh / Year		
Design Solar System	per Year at the Meter	2,732 379	kWh / Year		

### Table 5.7.2.c

TUDIC 3.7.2.C		
SWH	Peak Demand Savings (kW)	0.460
	Annual Energy Savings (kWh)	2057.00



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 5.7.3 Solar Water Heating Tune-up

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Water Heating **Equipment Type** Solar Water Heater

**Equipment Subtype** Tune Up **Equipment Size** None

### **VERSION HISTORY**

**Draft Date** February 21, 2011

Revision Date Review Date

### **Measure Description:**

Tune-up residential solar water heating systems for optimum performance.

### **Unit of Measure:**

One system

### **Baseline equipment:**

See Definitions & Assumptions

### Efficient equipment:

See Definitions & Assumptions

### Program criteria:

Systems must be more than 3 years old and can only receive a tune-up incentive once every 5 years.

### **ALGORITHMS**

peak kW savings per system tune-up = P\_base - P\_op annual kWh savings per system tune-up = E\_base - E\_op

### Table 5.7.3.a

DEFINITIONS & A	INPUT VALUES	
E_base	On Peak Demand for group "All"	577 <sup>1</sup>
E_op	On Peak Demand for group "Operating"	328 <sup>1</sup>



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

P_base	kWh per Unit for group "All"	$0.079^{1}$
P_op	kWh per Unit for group "Operating"	$0.050^{1}$
Measure Life	expected duration of energy savings	5 years

Source: <sup>1</sup>KEMA 2005-2007 Energy and Peak Demand Impact Evaluation Report

### **SAVINGS**

Table 5.7.3.b

Peak Demand Savings per Household (kW)	0.029
Annual Energy Savings per Household (kWh)	249.0



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 5.8 Other Residential Measures

### 5.8.1 Multifamily Direct-Install Kits

### HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE

Equipment Group
Equipment Type
Equipment Subtype
Equipment Size

### **VERSION HISTORY**

Draft Date July 1, 2015
Revision Date
Review Date

#### TRM Review Actions:

7/1/2015 – Pending review by EM&V

### **Major Changes:**

New measure

### **Measure Description:**

The Hawaii Energy/Honeywell team went in to multifamily residential buildings and offered free installation of energy efficiency devices, including light bulbs, low flow showerheads and faucet aerators, and an advanced power strip. The savings claim for each household depends on the type of water heating for each home, as well as the occupancy for each home (this data was collected by the team).

### **Baseline Efficiencies:**

100 W incandescent (replaced with 23 W CFL)

75 W incandescent (replaced with 20 W CFL)

60 W incandescent (replaced with 13 W CFL)

Showerhead = 2.5 gpm

Faucet = 2.2 gpm



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### **Enhanced Efficiencies:**

23 W CFL to replace the 100 W incandescent

20 W CFL to replace the 75 W incandescent

13 W CFL to replace the 60 W incandescent

Advanced power strip (7 plugs) for home entertainment system or home office

Low-flow showerheads = 1.5 gpm (40% reduction)

Low-flow faucet aerators = 1.5 gpm (32% reduction)

### **Persistence Factor:**

Given that this measure was directly installed by the Hawaii Energy/Honeywell team, persistence factors are:

For CFL lightbulbs: 0.96

For advanced power strip: 0.96

For showerheads and faucet aerators: 1.00

### **Peak Demand Coincidence Factor:**

For CFL lightbulbs: 0.12

For advanced power strip: 1.00

For showerheads and faucet aerators: 0.2

### **Measure Lives:**

For CFL lightbulbs: 6 years

For advanced power strip: 5 years

For showerheads and faucet aerators: 5 years

### **Energy Savings Algorithm:**



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### For advanced power strip: See 0, Smart Strips

- Savings = 102.8 kWh per year \* 0.96 pf = 98.7 kWh per year, first-year
- Given that this device was a 7-plug strip, the 7-plug strip value was used, rather than the TRM assumption of an average 6-plug unit.

### For light bulbs:

- For each bulb replaced, the reduced wattage was calculated. Run time was assumed to be 2.3 hours per day per bulb, 365 days per year. Persistence factor is 0.96 and measure life = 6 years.
- 100 W replacement = 23 W CFL = 62.1 kWh per year savings
- 75 W replacement = 20 W CFL = 44.3 kWh per year savings
- 60 W replacement = 13 W CFL = 37.9 kWh per year savings

#### For low-flow showerheads:

• Given standard electric resistance water heating: PY14 TRM value of 306 kWh for an average family size of 3.77 people. This value was normalized on a per person basis of 81.2 kWh. Then the value was multiplied by the actually occupancy of the household. These values were assumed to be the same regardless of individual electric resistance water heating in the residential unit or system level electric resistance water heating in the building. Also, these values were assumed to be the same regardless of individually metered electrical billing at the apartment or master-metered electrical billing at the building.

Table 5.8.1.a

Water Heater Type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Indiv Meter - Indiv WH - Elect	81.2	162.3	243.5	324.7	405.8	487.0	568.2	649.3
Indiv Meter - Sys WH - Elect	81.2	162.3	243.5	324.7	405.8	487.0	568.2	649.3
Master Meter - Indiv WH - Elect	81.2	162.3	243.5	324.7	405.8	487.0	568.2	649.3
Master Meter - Sys WH - Elect	81.2	162.3	243.5	324.7	405.8	487.0	568.2	649.3

• Given heat pump water heating: Starting with the PY14 TRM value of 2460 kWh per year required for heating all water for an average family size of 3.77 people, this value was divided by an average COP of 2.26 for heat pump water heaters, for 1088 kWh per year. Then the value was added up given 6% tank and pipe losses, for 1157 kWh per year. Given the assumption that showers account for 28% of all hot water use, heat pump water heating consumption for an average family is 324 kWh per year. By reducing shower water consumption by 40% with the low-flow showerhead, heat pump water heading consumption is reduced to 195 kWh per year per family, for a savings of 130 kWh per year per family. Per person energy savings is 34.5 kWh per person per year. Finally, the value was multiplied by the actually occupancy of the household.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

Savings is assumed to be the same regardless of individual heat pump, central heat pump, individually metered billing, or master-metered billing.

Table 5.8.1.b

Water Heater Type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Indiv Meter - Indiv WH - HP	34.5	69.0	103.5	137.9	172.4	206.9	241.4	275.9
Indiv Meter - Sys WH - Cent HP	34.5	69.0	103.5	137.9	172.4	206.9	241.4	275.9
Master Meter - Indiv WH - HP	34.5	69.0	103.5	137.9	172.4	206.9	241.4	275.9
Master Meter - Sys WH - HP	34.5	69.0	103.5	137.9	172.4	206.9	241.4	275.9

• Given on-demand electric water heating: Starting with the PY14 TRM value of 2460 kWh per year required for heating all water for an average family size of 3.77 people, this value was divided by an average efficiency of 0.98 for on-demand/instantaneous water heaters, for 2510 kWh per year. Then the value was added up given 2% tank and pipe losses, for 2561 kWh per year. Given the assumption that showers account for 28% of all hot water use, heat pump water heating consumption for an average family is 717 kWh per year. By reducing shower water consumption by 40% with the low-flow showerhead, on-demand water heading consumption is reduced to 430 kWh per year per family, for a savings of 287 kWh per year per family. Per person energy savings is 76.1 kWh per person per year. Finally, the value was multiplied by the actually occupancy of the household. Savings is assumed to be the same regardless of individually metered billing or master-metered billing. There are no central on-demand water heating systems, only individual systems.

Table 5.8.1.c

Water Heater Type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Indiv Meter - Indiv WH - OD	76.1	152.2	228.3	304.4	380.5	456.6	532.7	608.8
Master Meter - Indiv WH - OD	76.1	152.2	228.3	304.4	380.5	456.6	532.7	608.8

 Given boiler water heating: Savings were assumed to be the same for boiler water heating as for standard electric resistance water heating because gas-fired boilers were not in the scope of this measure. An electric-fired boiler is essentially the same as a standard electric resistance water heater. Savings are assumed to be the same regardless of individually metered billing or master-metered billing. There are no individual boiler water heaters in apartments, only central boilers.

Table 5.8.1.d

Water Heater Type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Indiv Meter - Sys WH - Boiler	81.2	162.3	243.5	324.7	405.8	487.0	568.2	649.3
Master Meter - Sys WH - Boiler	81.2	162.3	243.5	324.7	405.8	487.0	568.2	649.3

### For faucet aerators:



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

• Given standard electric-resistance water heating: PY14 TRM value for savings per year for an average family size of 3.77 people for faucet aerators is 65 kWh (assuming 90% efficiency). This value was normalized on a per person basis of 17.2 kWh. Then the value was multiplied by the actually occupancy of the household. These values were assumed to be the same regardless of individual electric resistance water heating in the residential unit or system level electric resistance water heating in the building. Also, these values were assumed to be the same regardless of individually metered electrical billing at the apartment or master-metered electrical billing at the building.

Table 5.8.1.e

Water Heater Type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Indiv Meter - Indiv WH - Elect	17.2	34.5	51.7	69.0	86.2	103.4	120.7	137.9
Indiv Meter - Sys WH - Elect	17.2	34.5	51.7	69.0	86.2	103.4	120.7	137.9
Master Meter - Indiv WH - Elect	17.2	34.5	51.7	69.0	86.2	103.4	120.7	137.9
Master Meter - Sys WH - Elect	17.2	34.5	51.7	69.0	86.2	103.4	120.7	137.9

• Given heat pump water heating: Starting with the PY14 TRM value of 58.44 kWh savings per year for a family of 3.77 for faucet aerators (assuming 100% efficiency), this value was divided by an average COP of 2.26 for heat pump water heaters, for 25.9 kWh per year. Then the value was added up given 6% tank and pipe losses, for 27.6 kWh per year per family, or 7.3 kWh per year per person. Finally, the value was multiplied by the actually occupancy of the household. Savings is assumed to be the same regardless of individual heat pump, central heat pump, individually metered billing, or master-metered billing.

Table 5.8.1.f

Water Heater Type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Indiv Meter - Indiv WH - HP	7.3	14.6	21.9	29.3	36.6	43.9	51.2	58.5
Indiv Meter - Sys WH - Cent HP	7.3	14.6	21.9	29.3	36.6	43.9	51.2	58.5
Master Meter - Indiv WH - HP	7.3	14.6	21.9	29.3	36.6	43.9	51.2	58.5
Master Meter - Sys WH - HP	7.3	14.6	21.9	29.3	36.6	43.9	51.2	58.5

• Given on-demand electric water heating: Starting with the PY14 TRM value of 58.44 kWh savings per year for a family of 3.77 for faucet aerators (assuming 100% efficiency), this value was divided by an average efficiency of 98% for on-demand/instantaneous water heaters, for 59.6 kWh per year. Then the value was added up given 2% tank and pipe losses, for 60.8 kWh per year per family, or 16.1 kWh per year per person. Finally, the value was multiplied by the actually occupancy of the household. Savings is assumed to be the same regardless of individually metered billing, or master-metered billing. There is only individual on-demand water heating, no central systems.



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### Table 5.8.1.g

Water Heater Type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Indiv Meter - Indiv WH - OD	16.1	32.3	48.4	64.6	80.7	96.8	113.0	129.1
Master Meter - Indiv WH - OD	16.1	32.3	48.4	64.6	80.7	96.8	113.0	129.1

 Given boiler water heating: Savings were assumed to be the same for boiler water heating as for standard electric resistance water heating because gas-fired boilers were not in the scope of this measure. An electric-fired boiler is essentially the same as a standard electric resistance water heater. Savings are assumed to be the same regardless of individually metered billing or master-metered billing. There are no individual boiler water heaters in apartments, only central boilers.

#### Table 5.8.1.h

Water Heater Type	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Indiv Meter - Sys WH - Boiler	17.2	34.5	51.7	69.0	86.2	103.4	120.7	137.9
Master Meter - Sys WH - Boiler	17.2	34.5	51.7	69.0	86.2	103.4	120.7	137.9

### **Demand Savings Algorithm**

### For lightbulbs:

- Peak coincidence demand factor is 0.12.
- 100 W replacement = 77 watt reduction \* 0.96 pf \* 0.12 cf = 0.0089 kW
- 75 W replacement = 55 watt reduction \* 0.96 pf \* 0.12 cf = 0.0063 kW
- 60 W replacement = 47 watt reduction \* 0.96 pf \* 0.12 cf = 0.0054 kW

### For advanced power strip:

98.7 kWh savings per year/8760 hours per year = 0.0113 kW peak demand reduction

### For low-flow showerheads

- Electric-resistance = PY14 TRM value = 0.1144 kW (see PY14 TRM)
- Heat pump = 0.1008 kW
- On-demand = assumed the same as electric-resistance (conservative value)

### For faucet aerators:

- Electric-resistance = TRM value = 0.017 kW (see PY14 TRM)
- Heat pump = TRM value = 0.0936 kW
- On-demand = assumed the same as electric-resistance (conservative value)



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

### 5.8.2 Peer Group Comparison

### **HAWAII ENERGY NOMENCLATURE**

**Equipment Group** Decision Making

Equipment Type Report

**Equipment Subtype** Peer Group Comparison

**Equipment Size** None

### **VERSION HISTORY**

**Draft Date** 

**Revision Date** 

**Review Date** 

### **Measure Description:**

Letters mailed monthly to participants educating and encouraging residents to reduce energy consumption. Comparing resident's energy usage to other similar homes is the driving factor in motivating energy reduction habits.

### **ALGORITHMS**

$$\Delta \mathbf{P} = \frac{\Delta E}{HRS_{deemed}}$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{E} = SVG_{deemed} \times E_{avg,year}$$

<b>DEFINITIONS 8</b>	& ASSUMPTIONS	Value	Unit	Notes
$\Delta P$	Peak power demand reduction	Calculated	kW	
$\Delta E$	Annual energy reduction	Calculated	kWh	
$E_{avg,year}$	Average annual billed energy consumption	6,633	kWh	Utility billing data
SVG <sub>deemed</sub>	Deemed savings factor	0.89	%	
HRS <sub>deemed</sub>	Deemed hours per year of active energy reduction	3000	hrs	Hawai'i Energy PY15 TRM
Measure Life	Expected duration of energy savings	15	yrs	



Program Year July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017

# **SAVINGS**

Measure Name	Peak Demand Savings (kW)	Annual Energy Savings (kWh)
Peer Group Comparison	0.0197	59.03